## 6. Benefit-Cost Analysis Narrative

## A. Project Overview

Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT) and Quonset Development Corporation (QDC) request $\$ 81$ million from the Multimodal Project Discretionary Grant (MPDG) Program to support Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Ramps to Quonset Business Park (QBP), a surface transportation project to construct a series of new interchanges and ramps to connect I-95 and RI-4 and move freight traffic from QBP off local roads. This $\$ 135$ million public-private partnership project will realize unfinished plans from the 1950s to improve safety and freight connectivity. The specific technical aspects of this project include:
, Safety: Remove traffic from local roads through interchange realignments and the construction of new ramps while incorporating high friction surface treatments on project pavement;
, State of Good Repair: Construct three new ramps, a new bridge overpass, and new signalized intersection to modernize RI-4 and replace outdated transportation infrastructure;
, Economic Impacts, Freight Movement \& Job Creation: Install three new ramps to provide a direct connection from QBP to RI-403, improving efficiency of freight movement through this important economic center;
) Climate Change, Resiliency, and the Environment: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions by more than 500 tons every year, and construct a more functional, resilient emergency evacuation route;
> Equity, Multimodal Options, and Quality of Life: Remove traffic from local roads in a Justice40 community through construction of three new ramps connecting QBP with RI-403, improving safety and reducing greenhouse gas emissions, particularly in disadvantaged neighborhoods; and
, Innovation: Deploy design-build project delivery methods to maximize efficiency and minimize traffic disruptions.

Component 1 will complete the "Missing Movements" between Interstate 95 (I-95) and RI-4 to create a direct freeway connection at one of the busiest junctions in the state while removing traffic from local roads. Component 2 will construct three ramps to service RI Route 403 (RI-403) which were deferred during initial construction in 2008, expanding access to Quonset Business Park (QBP) and improving safety in nearby neighborhoods. Taken together, these improvements will address immediate freight connectivity and safety issues while along this critical corridor in the heart of Rhode Island.

This project is an efficient and cost-effective investment, and so are each of its two components independently.
) Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Ramps to Quonset Business Park has a favorable Benefit-Cost Ratio of 2.18 and a net present value (NPV) of $\$ 134.68$ million.
) Component 1, The Missing Moves, has a B-C Ratio of 2.17 and a NPV of $\$ 91.73$ million.
, Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps, has a B-C Ratio of 2.21 and a NPV of $\$ 42.95$ million.

The analysis submitted shows that this project generates substantial safety, emissions, and travel time savings benefits. The benefit-cost analysis (BCA) for this project assumes a $7 \%$ real discount rate with an alternative yearly discount rate of $3 \%$. Complete calculations are included in the BCA attached as Appendix D.

Based on feedback from USDOT on RIDOT's 2022 INFRA grant application, the BCA for this project has been revised in the following ways:
) Traffic Modeling: As the preliminary design of the project has continued to progress, design details have been refined in terms of roadway alignments and lengths for storage lanes. Additionally, the original submission of this construction grant was made in 2021, at a time where traffic volumes were significantly impact by the pandemic.

For this 2023/2024 submission a full study area traffic count program was completed and that information used to update the traffic modeling to reflect more clearly the current conditions. In addition, following guidance from the USDOT grant review team, RIDOT utilized a $0.25 \%$ growth rate for traffic projections, plus additional traffic generated by estimated growth at Quonset Business Park. This is the growth rate USODT officials identified as an acceptable growth rate for this and future analyses. These updates carried through to the safety and air quality/emission models as well.
) Safety Analysis: Building on the updated traffic data, this BCA analysis used the most up to date crash data available (2016-2022) to project safety impacts due to the project.

For Route 403, the network changes cannot be captured using the Crash Modification Factor methodology, and therefore, predictive modeling was used. That modeling relies on traffic data, and so the predictive model was also updated with new traffic data from the counts program conducted earlier this year.
, Workzone Impact Refinements and Recalculations: As the preliminary design of the project has continued to progress, constructability and construction phasing details have been refined. As such, the design consultant has proposed an approach to constructing each of the ramps offline (not within the roadway network) which eliminates a need for work zones or lane closures/shifts.

The design consultant has identified a small window of time necessary for tying in the new ramps with the existing roadway network which will result in a small disruption to traffic. The goal will be to minimize impacts through off-peak period work and weekend work as feasible. A smaller workzone impact than was calculated in previous benefit-cost analyses of this project is therefore shown in the revised BCA analysis.

## B. Findings

Completing the Missing Move and Ramps to Quonset Business Park has a favorable benefitcost ratio of 2.18 , and a net present value of $\$ 134.68$ million. Component 1 has a BCA of 2.17 and Component 2 has a BCA of 2.21. It is therefore a costeffective investment. The Benefit-Cost Analysis shows that this project generates safety, emissions, and travel time savings over 30 years. Complete calculations are included in Appendix D and the BCA spreadsheet. Calculations are documented in the Benefit-Cost Analysis Calculations spreadsheet in Appendix D.

Figure 6-1 Benefit-Cost Analysis Summary

| Item | Value |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Project Benefits Evaluation <br> Period | 30 |  |
| Primary Discount Rate: | $7 \%$ |  |
| Alternative Discount Rate: | $3 \%$ |  |
| Present Value Benefit (7\%): | $\$ 248,841,254.66$ |  |
| Present Value Cost (7\%): | $\$ 114,160,328.08$ |  |
| Project Benefit-Cost Ratio (7\%): | $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ |  |
| Net Present Value (NPV) (7\%) | $\$ 134,680,926.58$ |  |

## C. Assumptions and Methodology

## (1) Baseline

The assumptions and methodology used to produce this analysis are detailed in the attached BCA. In general, this analysis compares the proposed alternative to a baseline/no-build scenario in which all roadway geometry would remain unchanged.

## No facility expansions or enhancements are included in the baseline.

Key assumptions for this analysis include:
) Safety: Recent crash history is considered representative of the future crashes over the planning horizon, and a correlated to roadway volume.
) Safety: Application of Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) is the preferred methodology, however, in the case of each interchange reconstruction use of ISATe, the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) crash prediction model is an appropriate surrogate. The national default model was applied and outcomes applied to the relevant crash history.
) Travel Time: VISSIM Microsimulation software was used to model the 2023 Existing and future 2028 No Build, 2058 No Build, 2028 Build, and 2058 Build Conditions.
) Travel Time: The modeled travel time results are limited to the smaller sub-study areas, and do not include counts for the portion of RI-4 linking the two component areas. While speed reductions in the future No Build Condition may at times spill back onto other portions of RI-4 and I-95, as well as into the upstream interchanges, those impacts are not included in this model.
, Travel Time: While the RI-4/I-95 study area supports a high volume of commuter, commercial, and freight traffic year-round and on all days of the week, this analysis conservatively assumes that benefits are only accrued on weekdays.
) Emissions: Emission factors for the study area were developed using the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator model (MOVES3) developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency.
) Emissions: Emissions were analyzed for the opening year of operations (2028) and the design year (2058). Analyses were conducted for the No Build and Build alternatives to determine the emissions reduction associated with the Project.
) Emissions: The emission factors utilized represent the corresponding year in the traffic modeling conducted for this analysis. The factors were derived by calculating a seasonal average during the evening peak hour with a representative vehicle mix.
This project will generate significant benefits for Safety, Travel Time Savings, and Emissions. The table below summarizes the quantified primary project benefits.

Figure 6-2 Summary of Primary Project Benefits

| Parameter | Baseline Scenario <br> (No INFRA Funding) | Preferred Action Scenario <br> (With INFRA Funding) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety | - No substantive safety enhancements | - Broad implementation of high friction surface <br> treatment. <br> - Interchange modifications to provide additional <br> access. |
| - Adaptive signal control on Post Road corridor |  |  |

## (2) Data Sources

Key sources of data used to project outcomes include but are not limited to
> 2022 RIDOT traffic count data;
) RIDOT crash data from January 1, 2016, to December 31, 2022;
) Highway Safety Manual ISATe default model and results;
) VISSIM Microsimulation results;
, Motor Vehicle Emissions Simulator (MOVES3) model;
) Cost data from the RIDOT Office of Bridge Engineering; and
) Preliminary design documents.

## (3) Key Input Parameters

In addition to the Data Sources listed, all key input parameters in this analysis are taken from USDOT's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs," January 2023, unless otherwise noted. Safety benefit calculations utilize Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse (CMF) inputs.

## (4) Spatial Extents

To accurately align the scope of the project with its estimated costs and benefits, two distinct spatial extents were used. The extents are shown in the graphic below.
Figure 6-3 Project Spatial Extents


## D. Project Benefits

This project generates a range of quantified benefits to the state and local communities by directly addressing several baseline challenges with targeted interventions.

To ensure project benefits were not overstated and the highest standard of transparency was maintained, RIDOT and supporting consultants made several enhancements to the benefit-cost analysis preparation process. The enhancements were made in direct response to feedback from USDOT in debriefs of recently submitted grant applications, which included a critique that RIDOT's future BCAs would benefit from additional supporting documentation to improve transparency.

All key input parameters in this analysis are taken from USDOT's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs," January 2023, unless otherwise noted. Safety benefit calculations utilize Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse (CMF) inputs.

The team was deliberately conservative in its assumptions supporting the BCA. While the study area experiences heavy traffic year-round, the number of affected days for the travel time savings calculation was assumed to be 270, rather than a year-round 365-day calculation.

This benefit-cost analysis is accompanied by several supporting technical analysis appended to this narrative to elaborate on assumptions made, methodological considerations, data utilized, scenario assessments, analysis results, model calibrations, and more.

Component 1 of this project is a major generator of travel time savings and, by extension, a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. More than 200,000 vehicles travel through the I-95/RI-4 interchange every day, and the proposed action will generate $\$ 795$ million in travel time savings over 30 years. The reduction in congestion during the same period will prevent an estimated 732 metric tons of greenhouse gas emissions. Overall, Component 1, The Missing Moves, has a positive Benefit-Cost Ratio of 2.17 and a NPV of $\$ 91.73$ million.

Component 2 will deliver safety enhancements and reduce travel times in its own right. Shifting traffic away from local roads will prevent an estimated 30 crashes each year. Improving freeway access will increase average speeds in the area by nearly 15 percent, reducing delays by an average of 1,020 hours in traffic each day. Overall, Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps, has a positive Benefit-Cost Ratio of 2.21 and a NPV of $\$ 42.95$ million.

Collectively, Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Ramps to Quonset Business Park has a favorable Benefit-Cost Ratio of 2.18 and a NPV of $\$ 134.68$ million, indicating that the entire project as proposed in this grant application is a cost-effective and worthwhile investment.

The figure below provides a summary of the baseline challenges addressed by this project, including a description of the proposed change to each baseline condition in the preferred action scenario and the anticipated impacts of each intervention. For the entire project, key impacts include safety enhancements, travel time savings, emissions reductions, and notably low workzone disbenefits due to the innovations proposed in the base technical concept.

Figure 6-4 Baseline Challenges, Proposed Changes, and Impacts

| Baseline Challenge | Change to Baseline |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Safety <br> High rate of crashes, particularly in <br> component 1 study area. | Install high friction surface treatment on key <br> curves and speed transitions in each study <br> area and adaptive traffic signal control at key <br> busy intersections. These safety benefits are <br> realized despite new conflict points <br> introduced as a result of improving freeway <br> access through new interchange ramps. | Component 1 : Nearly $\$ 0.6$ <br> annually and a reduction of <br> 3 fatal/serious injury <br> crashes over 30 years. |

## E. Project Costs

The costs associated with this project are [1] the $\$ 135$ million future eligible construction and design cost and [2] lifecycle management costs.

Detailed budget information can be found in the Project Budget section of this application including a budget by phase and a separate budget by item type, tracked internally by RIDOT from a project's conception to completion.

## F. Calculations

This benefit-cost analysis is accompanied by several supporting technical analysis appended to this narrative to elaborate on assumptions made, methodological considerations, data utilized, scenario assessments, analysis results, model calibrations, and more. They are:
) Appendix D - Benefit Cost Analysis: The calculations spreadsheet is reproduced here for easy reference. Each benefit and cost associated with the project is summarized and backup calculations are included. Each backup tab includes, at a minimum, a statement of the Assumptions, methodology, Baseline, Sources of Data, and Key Input Parameters, pursuant to the latest BCA guidance from USDOT (January 2023).
) Appendix D-1 - Safety: Two technical memos explaining and documenting the strategies and methodologies deployed to estimate safety issues, accident counts, and proposed interventions throughout each component Study Area. It identifies, explains, and justifies the use of selected Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) and supplies calculations utilized to arrive at data inputs for the master BCA spreadsheet.
) Appendix D. 2 - Travel Time: Two technical memos documenting the methodologies and assumptions used in the development of the VISSIM microsimulation model for each project component and provides documentation of the model results. Data collection, model calibration, and travel time segment comparisons are discussed.
) Appendix D-3 - Emissions: Two technical memos document the air quality study undertaken for each component of the project, including a detailed mesoscale analysis over two selected years within the benefits period.

Appendix D
Benefit-Cost Analysis

This project will generate considerable safety, emissions, and time travel savings, which more than offset the cost of construction, work zone impacts, and long-term maintenance costs. The project's costs and benefits are summarized here, and reported in more detail in the "Project B\&C Backup by Year" tab, which aggregates the annual benefits and costs from each area of this Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA).
This project has a favorable benefit-cost ratio of 2.18 , and a net present value (NPV) of $\$ 134,680,926.58$, indicating that the Completing the $\mathrm{I}-95$ Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Project is a worthwhile and cost effective investment.

Following a recommendation from USDOT, this BCA separates the two components of this project to evaluate their costs and benefits separately. The tabs pertaining to Component 1 , The Missing Moves at $1-95$ and RI-4, are each labeled with the prefix "MM_" for "Missing Moves." The tabs pertaining to Component 2, the Quonset Connector Ramps, are labeled with the prefix "Q_", for "Quonset".
neach section of this BCA, Component 1 costs and benefits appear first, followed by Component 2 . This tab and its companion tab, "ALL_ES-2. B\&C Summary Backup," tabulate the total costs and benefits of this entire project as a whole Both components have positive B-C ratios, making each component independently worthwhile and cost-effective investments. However, because the two components complement one another in completing an essential freeway link for reight trat.
contract.

Table ES-1.1 summarizes the project's costs and benefits.
Table ES-1.2 shows the calculation of the project's benefits by year, discounted at both the primary ( $7 \%$ ) and alternative ( $3 \%$ ) discount rates.
Table ES-1.3 summarizes the project's costs by year, discounted at both the primary ( $7 \%$ ) and alternative ( $3 \%$ ) discount rates.

| liem | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Benefits Evaluation Period |  | 30 |
| Primary Discount Rate: | 7\% |  |
| Alternative Discount Rate: | 3\% |  |
| Present Value Benefit ( $7 \%$ ): | \$ | 248,841,254.66 |
| Present Value Cost (7\%): | \$ | 114,160,328.08 |
| Project Benefit-Cost Ratio (7\%): |  | 2.18 |
| Net Present Value (NPV) (7\%) | \$ | 134,680,926.58 |
| Alternative B-C Ratio (3\%) |  | 3.97 |
| Alternative NPV (3\%) | \$ | 411,519,380.10 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Present Value (PV) } \\ & \text { Project Costs } \end{aligned}$ |  | Project Costs at $7 \%$ Discount Rate |  | Project Costs at $3 \%$ Discount Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 1,180,000.00 | \$ | 1,102,803.74 | \$ | 1,145,631.07 |
| \$ | 7,580,000.00 | \$ | 6,620,665.56 | \$ | 7,144,876.99 |
| \$ | 68,400,000.00 | \$ | 55,834,774.78 | \$ | 62,595,689.50 |
| \$ | 29,100,000.00 | \$ | 22,200,250.67 | \$ | 25,854,973.09 |
|  | 12,525,000.00 | \$ | 8,930,151.90 | \$ | 10,804,175.02 |
| \$ | 16,215,000.00 | \$ | 10,804,739.16 | \$ | 13,579,807.22 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 652,745.52 | \$ | 852,255.42 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 610,042.54 | \$ | 827,432.45 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 570,133.22 | \$ | 803,332.47 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 532,834.78 | \$ | 779,934.44 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 497,976.43 | \$ | 757,217.90 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 465,398.54 | \$ | 735,163.01 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 434,951.90 | \$ | 713,750.50 |
|  | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 406,497.10 | \$ | 692,961.65 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 379,903.84 | \$ | 672,778.30 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 355,050.31 | \$ | 653,182.81 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 331,822.72 | \$ | 634,158.07 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 310,114.69 | \$ | 615,687.45 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 289,826.82 | \$ | 597,754.80 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 270,866.18 | \$ | 580,344.47 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 253,145.97 | \$ | 563,441.23 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 236,585.02 | \$ | 547,030.32 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 221,107.49 | \$ | 531,097.40 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 206,642.52 | \$ | 515,628.54 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 193,123.85 | \$ | 500,610.24 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 180,489.58 | \$ | 486,029.36 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 168,681.85 | \$ | 471,873.16 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 157,646.59 |  | 458,129.28 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 147,333.26 | \$ | 444,785.71 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 137,694.63 | \$ | 431,830.79 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 128,686.57 | \$ | 419,253.19 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 120,267.83 | \$ | 407,041.93 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 112,399.84 | \$ | 395,186.34 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 105,046.58 | \$ | 383,676.06 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 98,174.37 | \$ | 372,501.03 |
| \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 91,751.75 | \$ | 361,651.49 |
| \$ | 166,445,000.00 | \$ | 114,160,328.08 | \$ | 138,330,872.73 |

This tab provides a summary of project costs and benefits by year
Table ES-2.1 shows all project benefits by year.
Table ES-2.2 shows all project costs by year.
Al estimates of project benefits and costs are derived from calculations presented in tabs 1 thru 12. All methodological assumptions and data sources are provided on the relevant sheets.

Table ES-2.1 Project Benefits by Year

| Year \# | Year |  | fety Benefits |  | missions nefits, NOT luding $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |  | ons Benefits, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Only |  | el Time Savings Benefits |  |  |  | Work Zone Disbenefits |  | TAL BENEFITS |  | L BENEFITS at Discount Rate iscounted at 3\% per NOFO) |  | BENEFITS at 3\% count Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2023 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2 | 2024 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(113,871.34)$ | \$ | $(113,871.34)$ | \$ | (99,459.64) | \$ | $(107,334.66)$ |
| 3 | 2025 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(498,662.78)$ | \$ | (498,662.78) | \$ | $(407,057.37)$ | \$ | (456,347.08) |
| 4 | 2026 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(628,195.04)$ | \$ | $(628,195.04)$ | \$ | $(479,246.99)$ | \$ | (558,143.16) |
| 5 | 2027 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(628,195.04)$ | \$ | (628,195.04) | \$ | $(447,894.38)$ | \$ | (541,886.56) |
| 6 | 2028 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 66,422.64 | \$ | 7,962.88 | \$ | 12,531,393.55 | \$ |  | \$ | $(400,452.36)$ | \$ | 12,929,052.51 | \$ | 8,616,536.38 | \$ | 10,827,877.93 |
| 7 | 2029 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 78,096.08 | \$ | 10,393.99 | \$ | 13,992,394.13 | \$ |  | \$ | $(86,354.84)$ | \$ | 14,718,255.16 | \$ | 9,167,768.01 | \$ | 11,967,288.33 |
| 8 | 2030 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 90,182.25 | \$ | 12,899.31 | \$ | 15,453,394.71 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 16,280,202.07 | \$ | 9,477,901.15 | \$ | 12,851,741.85 |
| 9 | 2031 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 102,573.45 | \$ | 15,720.70 | \$ | 16,914,395.29 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 17,756,415.24 | \$ | 9,661,810.98 | \$ | 13,608,813.75 |
| 10 | 2032 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 113,528.54 | \$ | 18,411.55 | \$ | 18,375,395.87 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 19,231,061.77 | \$ | 9,780,437.06 | \$ | 14,309,716.04 |
| 11 | 2033 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 124,483.64 | \$ | 21,912.92 | \$ | 19,836,396.45 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 20,706,518.82 | \$ | 9,842,937.62 | \$ | 14,958,829.76 |
| 12 | 2034 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 135,438.74 | \$ | 25,414.29 | \$ | 21,297,397.04 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 22,181,975.86 | \$ | 9,855,603.39 | \$ | 15,557,991.57 |
| 13 | 2035 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 146,393.83 | \$ | 28,915.66 | \$ | 22,758,397.62 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 23,657,432.91 | \$ | 9,824,684.77 | \$ | 16,109,560.64 |
| 14 | 2036 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 157,348.93 | \$ | 32,417.03 | \$ | 24,219,398.20 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 25,132,889.96 | \$ | 9,755,827.64 | \$ | 16,615,801.06 |
| 15 | 2037 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 168,304.03 | \$ | 35,918.40 | \$ | 25,680,398.78 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 26,608,347.01 | \$ | 9,654,125.64 | \$ | 17,078,885.43 |
| 16 | 2038 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 179,259.13 | \$ | 39,419.77 | \$ | 27,141,399.36 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 28,083,804.06 | \$ | 9,524,168.33 | \$ | 17,500,898.22 |
| 17 | 2039 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 190,214.22 | \$ | 42,921.14 | \$ | 28,602,399.94 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 29,559,261.11 | \$ | 9,370,085.33 | \$ | 17,883,839.10 |
| 18 | 2040 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 201,169.32 | \$ | 46,422.51 | \$ | 30,063,400.52 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 31,034,718.16 | \$ | 9,195,586.84 | \$ | 18,229,626.09 |
| 19 | 2041 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 212,124.42 | \$ | 49,923.89 | \$ | 31,524,401.10 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 32,510,175.20 | \$ | 9,004,000.88 | \$ | 18,540,098.65 |
| 20 | 2042 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 223,079.51 | \$ | 53,425.26 | \$ | 32,985,401.68 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 33,985,632.25 | \$ | 8,798,307.36 | \$ | 18,817,020.57 |
| 21 | 2043 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 234,034.61 | \$ | 56,926.63 | \$ | 34,446,402.27 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 35,461,089.30 | \$ | 8,581,169.48 | \$ | 19,062,082.88 |
| 22 | 2044 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 244,989.71 | \$ | 60,428.00 | \$ | 35,907,402.85 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 36,936,546.35 | \$ | 8,354,962.31 | \$ | 19,276,906.55 |
| 23 | 2045 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 255,944.80 | \$ | 63,929.37 | \$ | 37,368,403.43 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 38,412,003.40 | \$ | 8,121,799.18 | \$ | 19,463,045.16 |
| 24 | 2046 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 266,899.90 | \$ | 67,430.74 | \$ | 38,829,404.01 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 39,887,460.45 | \$ | 7,883,555.72 | \$ | 19,621,987.45 |
| 25 | 2047 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 277,855.00 | \$ | 70,932.11 | \$ | 40,290,404.59 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 41,362,917.50 | \$ | 7,641,891.92 | \$ | 19,755,159.76 |
| 26 | 2048 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 288,810.09 | \$ | 74,433.48 | \$ | 41,751,405.17 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 42,838,374.54 | S | 7,398,272.33 | \$ | 19,863,928.41 |
| 27 | 2049 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 299,765.19 | \$ | 77,934.85 | \$ | 43,212,405.75 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 44,313,831.59 | \$ | 7,153,984.53 | \$ | 19,949,602.00 |
| 28 | 2050 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 310,720.29 | \$ | 81,436.22 | \$ | 44,673,406.33 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 45,789,288.64 | \$ | 6,910,156.01 | \$ | 20,013,433.61 |
| 29 | 2051 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 321,675.38 | \$ | 84,937.59 | \$ | 46,134,406.91 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | 47,264,745.69 |  | 6,667,769.61 | \$ | 20,056,622.90 |
| 30 | 2052 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 332,630.48 | \$ | 88,438.96 | \$ | 47,595,407.49 | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | 48,740,202.74 | \$ | 6,427,677.63 | \$ | 20,080,318.18 |
| 31 | 2053 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 343,585.58 | \$ | 91,940.33 | \$ | 49,056,408.08 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 50,215,659.79 | \$ | 6,190,614.70 | \$ | 20,085,618.40 |
| 32 | 2054 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 354,540.67 | \$ | 95,441.71 | \$ | 50,517,408.66 | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | 51,691,116.83 | \$ | 5,957,209.50 | \$ | 20,073,575.00 |
| 33 | 2055 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 365,495.77 | \$ | 98,943.08 | \$ | 51,978,409.24 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 53,166,573.88 | \$ | 5,727,995.54 | \$ | 20,045,193.80 |
| 34 | 2056 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 376,450.87 | \$ | 102,444.45 | \$ | 53,439,409.82 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 54,642,030.93 | \$ | 5,503,420.88 | \$ | 20,001,436.73 |
| 35 | 2057 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 387,405.96 | \$ | 105,945.82 | \$ | 54,890,561.88 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 56,107,639.46 | \$ | 5,282,934.60 | \$ | 19,939,723.55 |
| 36 | 2058 | \$ | 723,725.80 | \$ | 398,361.06 | \$ | 109,447.19 | \$ | 54,900,410.40 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 56,131,944.45 | \$ | 4,941,717.73 | \$ | 19,367,340.92 |
| Present Value Benefits |  | \$ | 22,435,499.80 | \$ | 7,247,784.09 | \$ | 1,773,069.82 | \$ | 1,066,367,621.12 | \$ |  | \$ | (2,355,731.41) | \$ | 1,095,468,243.41 | \$ | 248,841,254.66 | \$ | 549,850,252.82 |

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves

Table ES-2.2 Project Costs by Year

| Year \# <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \hline 2023 \end{aligned}$ | Future Eligible Project Costs |  | Lifecycle Management Costs |  | total costs |  | TOTAL COSTS at 7\% Discount Rate |  | TOTAL COSTS at 3\% Discount Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ | 1,180,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,180,000.00 | \$ | 1,102,803.74 | \$ | 1,145,631.07 |
| 2 | 2024 | \$ | 7,580,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,580,000.00 | \$ | 6,620,665.56 | \$ | 7,144,876.99 |
| 3 | 2025 | \$ | 68,400,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 68,400,000.00 | \$ | 55,834,774.78 | \$ | 62,595,689.50 |
| 4 | 2026 | \$ | 29,100,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 29,100,000.00 | \$ | 22,200,250.67 | \$ | 25,854,973.09 |
| 5 | 2027 | \$ | 12,525,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 12,525,000.00 | \$ | 8,930,151.90 | \$ | 10,804,175.02 |
| 6 | 2028 | \$ | 16,215,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,215,000.00 | \$ | 10,804,739.16 | \$ | 13,579,807.22 |
| 7 | 2029 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 652,745.52 | \$ | 852,255.42 |
| 8 | 2030 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 610,042.54 | \$ | 827,432.45 |
| 9 | 2031 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 570,133.22 | \$ | 803,332.47 |
| 10 | 2032 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 532,834.78 | \$ | 779,934.44 |
| 11 | 2033 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 497,976.43 | \$ | 757,217.90 |
| 12 | 2034 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 465,398.54 | \$ | 735,163.01 |
| 13 | 2035 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 434,951.90 | \$ | 713,750.50 |
| 14 | 2036 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 406,497.10 | \$ | 692,961.65 |
| 15 | 2037 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 379,903.84 | \$ | 672,778.30 |
| 16 | 2038 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 355,050.31 | \$ | 653,182.81 |
| 17 | 2039 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 331,822.72 | \$ | 634,158.07 |
| 18 | 2040 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 310,114.69 | \$ | 615,687.45 |
| 19 | 2041 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 289,826.82 | \$ | 597,754.80 |
| 20 | 2042 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 270,866.18 | \$ | 580,344.47 |
| 21 | 2043 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 253,145.97 | \$ | 563,441.23 |
| 22 | 2044 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 236,585.02 | \$ | 547,030.32 |
| 23 | 2045 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 221,107.49 | \$ | 531,097.40 |
| 24 | 2046 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 206,642.52 | \$ | 515,628.54 |
| 25 | 2047 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 193,123.85 | \$ | 500,610.24 |
| 26 | 2048 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 180,489.58 | \$ | 486,029.36 |
| 27 | 2049 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 168,681.85 | \$ | 471,873.16 |
| 28 | 2050 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 157,646.59 | \$ | 458,129.28 |
| 29 | 2051 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 147,333.26 | \$ | 444,785.71 |
| 30 | 2052 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 137,694.63 | \$ | 431,830.79 |
| 31 | 2053 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 128,686.57 | \$ | 419,253.19 |
| 32 | 2054 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 120,267.83 | \$ | 407,041.93 |
| 33 | 2055 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 112,399.84 | \$ | 395,186.34 |
| 34 | 2056 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 105,046.58 | \$ | 383,676.06 |
| 35 | 2057 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 98,174.37 | \$ | 372,501.03 |
| 36 | 2058 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 1,048,166.67 | \$ | 91,751.75 | \$ | 361,651.49 |
| Present Value Costs |  | \$ | 135,000,000.00 | \$ | 31,445,000.00 | \$ | 166,445,000.00 | \$ | 114,160,328.08 | \$ | 138,330,872.73 |

 eported in more detail in the "Project B\&C Backup by Year" tab, which aggregates the annual benefits and costs from each area of this Benefit-Cost Analysis.

Table ES-1.1 summarizes the project's costs and benefits.
able ES-1.2 shows the calculation of the project's benefits by year, discounted at both the primary ( $7 \%$ ) and alternative $(3 \%)$ discount rates.
able ES-1.3 summarizes the project's costs by year, discounted at both the primary ( $7 \%$ ) and alternative $(3 \%)$ discount rate

Table ES-1.1 Summary of Project Costs and Benefits

| Item | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Benefits Evaluation Period |  | 30 |
| Primary Discount Rate: |  | 7\% |
| Alternative Discount Rate: |  | 3\% |
| Present Value Benefit (7\%): | \$ | 170,246,453.10 |
| Present Value Cost (7\%): | \$ | 78,517,069.21 |
| Project Benefit-Cost Ratio (7\%): |  | 2.17 |
| Net Present Value (NPV) (7\%) | \$ | 91,729,383.89 |
| Alternative B-C Ratio (3\%) |  | 4.14 |
| Alternative NPV (3\%) | \$ | 301,228,065.35 |

Table ES-1.2 Project Benefits by Year



This tab provides a summary of project costs and benefits by year.
Table ES-2.1 shows all project benefits by year.
Table ES-2.2 shows all project costs by year
All estimates of project benefits and costs are derived from calculations presented in tabs 1 thrugh 12. All methodological assumptions and data sources are provided on the relevant sheets.

Table ES-2.1 Project Benefits by Year

| Year \# <br> 1 | Year$2023$ | Safety Benefits |  | Emissions Benefits, NOT Including $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |  | Emissions Benefits, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Only |  | Travel Time Savings Benefits |  | Foregone Cost Savings |  | Work Zone Disbenefits |  | TOTAL BENEFITS |  | TOTAL BENEFITS at 7\% Discount Rate ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Discounted at 3\% per NOFO) |  | TOTAL BENEFITS at 3\% Discount Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2 | 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(113,871.34)$ | \$ | (113,871.34) | \$ | $(99,459.64)$ | \$ | $(107,334.66)$ |
| 3 | 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(455,485.36)$ | \$ | $(455,485.36)$ | \$ | ( $371,811.73$ ) | \$ | $(416,833.63)$ |
| 4 | 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(455,485.36)$ | \$ | $(455,485.36)$ | \$ | $(347,487.60)$ | \$ | (404,692.84) |
| 5 | 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(455,485.36)$ | \$ | (455,485.36) | \$ | ( $324,754.77$ ) | \$ | $(392,905.67)$ |
| 6 | 2028 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 16,416.52 | \$ | 6,147.80 | \$ | 3,950,990.33 |  |  | \$ | (227,742.68) | S | 4,342,493.96 | \$ | 2,894,639.23 | \$ | 3,636,770.33 |
| 7 | 2029 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 27,685.59 | \$ | 8,844.22 | \$ | 5,402,142.39 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 6,035,354.20 | \$ | 3,760,198.69 | \$ | 4,907,295.26 |
| 8 | 2030 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 39,337.53 | \$ | 11,624.37 | \$ | 6,853,294.45 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 7,500,938.35 | \$ | 4,368,025.31 | \$ | 5,921,310.00 |
| 9 | 2031 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 51,329.32 | \$ | 14,714.63 | \$ | 8,304,446.51 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,967,172.47 | \$ | 4,880,821.43 | \$ | 6,872,591.02 |
| 10 | 2032 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 62,694.54 | \$ | 17,704.10 | \$ | 9,755,598.58 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 10,432,679.22 | \$ | 5,307,618.75 | \$ | 7,762,893.13 |
| 11 | 2033 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 74,059.76 | \$ | 21,615.21 | \$ | 11,206,750.64 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 11,899,107.61 | \$ | 5,658,526.36 | \$ | 8,596,168.51 |
| 12 | 2034 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 85,424.97 | \$ | 25,526.32 | \$ | 12,657,902.70 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 13,365,535.99 | \$ | 5,941,027.48 | \$ | 9,374,318.03 |
| 13 | 2035 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 96,790.19 | \$ | 29,437.42 | \$ | 14,109,054.76 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 14,831,964.38 | \$ | 6,162,567.88 | \$ | 10,099,846.02 |
| 14 | 2036 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 108,155.41 | \$ | 33,348.53 | \$ | 15,560,206.83 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 16,298,392.76 | \$ | 6,329,911.89 | \$ | 10,775,157.66 |
| 15 | 2037 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 119,520.62 | \$ | 37,259.64 | \$ | 17,011,358.89 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 17,764,821.15 | \$ | 6,449,199.65 | \$ | 11,402,562.70 |
| 16 | 2038 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 130,885.84 | \$ | 41,170.74 | \$ | 18,462,510.95 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 19,231,249.53 | \$ | 6,525,999.87 | \$ | 11,984,278.91 |
| 17 | 2039 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 142,251.05 | \$ | 45,081.85 | \$ | 19,913,663.01 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 20,697,677.92 | \$ | 6,565,358.27 | \$ | 12,522,435.53 |
| 18 | 2040 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 153,616.27 | \$ | 48,992.95 | \$ | 21,364,815.08 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 22,164,106.30 | \$ | 6,571,842.24 | \$ | 13,019,076.52 |
| 19 | 2041 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 164,981.49 | \$ | 52,904.06 | \$ | 22,815,967.14 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 23,630,534.69 | \$ | 6,549,581.79 | \$ | 13,476,163.74 |
| 20 | 2042 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 176,346.70 |  | 56,815.17 | \$ | 24,267,119.20 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 25,096,963.07 | \$ | 6,502,307.23 | \$ | 13,895,579.96 |
| 21 | 2043 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 187,711.92 | \$ | 60,726.27 | \$ | 25,718,271.26 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 26,563,391.46 | \$ | 6,433,383.84 | \$ | 14,279,131.84 |
| 22 | 2044 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 199,077.14 | \$ | 64,637.38 | \$ | 27,169,423.33 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 28,029,819.84 | \$ | 6,345,843.61 | \$ | 14,628,552.78 |
| 23 | 2045 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 210,442.35 | \$ | 68,548.48 | \$ | 28,620,575.39 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 29,496,248.23 | \$ | 6,242,414.50 | \$ | 14,945,505.59 |
| 24 | 2046 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 221,807.57 | \$ | 72,459.59 | \$ | 30,071,727.45 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 30,962,676.61 | \$ | 6,125,547.19 | \$ | 15,231,585.19 |
| 25 | 2047 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 233,172.79 | \$ | 76,370.70 | \$ | 31,522,879.51 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 32,429,105.00 | \$ | 5,997,439.76 | \$ | 15,488,321.15 |
| 26 | 2048 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 244,538.00 | \$ | 80,281.80 | \$ | 32,974,031.58 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 33,895,533.38 | \$ | 5,860,060.17 | \$ | 15,717,180.11 |
| 27 | 2049 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 255,903.22 | \$ | 84,192.91 | \$ | 34,425,183.64 | \$ |  | \$ | - | S | 35,361,961.77 | \$ | 5,715,167.03 | \$ | 15,919,568.18 |
| 28 | 2050 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 267,268.43 | \$ | 88,104.01 | \$ | 35,876,335.70 | \$ | - | \$ | - | s | 36,828,390.15 | \$ | 5,564,328.54 | \$ | 16,096,833.19 |
| 29 | 2051 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 278,633.65 | \$ | 92,015.12 |  | 37,327,487.76 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 38,294,818.53 | \$ | 5,408,939.88 | \$ | 16,250,266.94 |
| 30 | 2052 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 289,998.87 | \$ | 95,926.23 | \$ | 38,778,639.83 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 39,761,246.92 | \$ | 5,250,239.17 | \$ | 16,381,107.27 |
| 31 | 2053 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 301,364.08 | \$ | 99,837.33 | \$ | 40,229,791.89 | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | 41,227,675.30 | \$ | 5,089,321.98 | \$ | 16,490,540.15 |
| 32 | 2054 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 312,729.30 | \$ | 103,748.44 | \$ | 41,680,943.95 | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | 42,694,103.69 | \$ | 4,927,154.75 | \$ | 16,579,701.60 |
| 33 | 2055 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 324,094.52 | \$ | 107,659.54 | \$ | 43,132,096.01 | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | 44,160,532.07 | \$ | 4,764,586.99 | \$ | 16,649,679.66 |
| 34 | 2056 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 335,459.73 | \$ | 111,570.65 | \$ | 44,583,248.08 | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | 45,626,960.46 | \$ | 4,602,362.41 | \$ | 16,701,516.17 |
| 35 | 2057 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 346,824.95 | \$ | 115,481.76 | \$ | 46,034,400.14 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 47,093,388.84 | \$ | 4,441,129.14 | \$ | 16,736,208.54 |
| 36 | 2058 | \$ | 596,682.00 | \$ | 358,190.17 | \$ | 119,392.86 | \$ | 46,034,400.14 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 47,108,665.17 | \$ | 4,154,421.83 | \$ | 16,254,016.98 |
| Present Value Benefits |  | \$ | 18,497,142.00 | \$ | 5,816,712.49 | \$ | 1,892,140.07 | \$ | 795,815,257.12 | \$ | - | \$ | (1,708,070.10) | \$ | 820,313,181.59 | \$ | 170,246,453.10 | \$ | 397,274,395.85 |

Table ES-2.2 Project Costs by Year

| Year \# | Year |  | ture Eligible roject Costs |  | Lifecycle agement Costs |  | OTAL COSTS |  | COSTS at 7\% count Rate |  | COSTS at $3 \%$ count Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2023 | \$ | 700,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 700,000.00 | \$ | 654,205.61 | \$ | 679,611.65 |
| 2 | 2024 | \$ | 5,390,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 5,390,000.00 | \$ | 4,707,834.75 | \$ | 5,080,591.95 |
| 3 | 2025 | \$ | 48,500,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 48,500,000.00 | \$ | 39,590,447.03 | \$ | 44,384,370.48 |
| 4 | 2026 | \$ | 18,200,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 18,200,000.00 | \$ | 13,884,692.86 | \$ | 16,170,464.27 |
| 5 | 2027 | \$ | 7,400,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 7,400,000.00 | \$ | 5,276,097.73 | \$ | 6,383,305.00 |
| 6 | 2028 | \$ | 10,810,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 10,810,000.00 | \$ | 7,203,159.44 | \$ | 9,053,204.81 |
| 7 | 2029 | \$ |  | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 542,311.23 | \$ | 708,067.19 |
| 8 | 2030 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 506,832.93 | \$ | 687,443.87 |
| 9 | 2031 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 473,675.63 | \$ | 667,421.24 |
| 10 | 2032 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 442,687.51 | \$ | 647,981.78 |
| 11 | 2033 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 413,726.64 | \$ | 629,108.53 |
| 12 | 2034 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 386,660.41 | \$ | 610,784.98 |
| 13 | 2035 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 361,364.87 | \$ | 592,995.13 |
| 14 | 2036 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 337,724.18 | \$ | 575,723.42 |
| 15 | 2037 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 315,630.08 | \$ | 558,954.78 |
| 16 | 2038 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 294,981.38 | \$ | 542,674.54 |
| 17 | 2039 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 275,683.53 | \$ | 526,868.49 |
| 18 | 2040 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 257,648.16 | \$ | 511,522.80 |
| 19 | 2041 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 240,792.67 | \$ | 496,624.08 |
| 20 | 2042 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 225,039.88 | \$ | 482,159.30 |
| 21 | 2043 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 210,317.65 | \$ | 468,115.83 |
| 22 | 2044 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 196,558.55 | \$ | 454,481.39 |
| 23 | 2045 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 183,699.58 | \$ | 441,244.06 |
| 24 | 2046 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | - | 171,681.85 | \$ | 428,392.30 |
| 25 | 2047 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | - | 160,450.33 | \$ | 415,914.85 |
| 26 | 2048 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 149,953.58 | \$ | 403,800.83 |
| 27 | 2049 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 140,143.53 | \$ | 392,039.64 |
| 28 | 2050 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 130,975.26 | \$ | 380,621.01 |
| 29 | 2051 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 122,406.79 | \$ | 369,534.96 |
| 30 | 2052 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 114,398.86 | \$ | 358,771.80 |
| 31 | 2053 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 106,914.83 | \$ | 348,322.14 |
| 32 | 2054 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 99,920.40 | \$ | 338,176.83 |
| 33 | 2055 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 93,383.55 | \$ | 328,327.02 |
| 34 | 2056 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 87,274.35 | \$ | 318,764.10 |
| 35 | 2057 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 870,833.33 | \$ | 81,564.81 | \$ | 309,479.71 |
| 36 | 2058 | \$ | - | \$ | 870,833.33 | S | 870,833.33 | \$ | 76,228.79 | \$ | 300,465.74 |
| Present Value Costs |  | \$ | 91,000,000.00 | \$ 26,125,000.00 |  | \$ | 117,125,000.00 | \$ | 78,517,069.21 | \$ | 96,046,330.51 |

Component 2 of this project, the Quonset Connector Ramps, will generate considerable safety, emissions, and time travel savings, which more than offset the cost of construction, work zone impacts, and long-term maintenance costs. The project's costs and benefits are summarized here, and reported in more detail in the "Project B\&C Backup by Year" tab, which aggregates the annual benefits and costs from each area of this Benefit-Cost Analysis,

Component 2 of this project has a favorable benefit-cost ratio of 2.21 and a net present value (NPV) of $\$ 42,951,542.69$, indicating that Component 2 of the Completing the I-95 Missing Moves and Quonset Connector Ramps project is a worthwhile and cost-effective investment.
Table ES-1.1 summarizes the project's costs and benefits.
able ES-1.2 shows the calculation of the project's benefits by year, discounted at both the primary ( $7 \%$ ) and alternative ( $3 \%$ ) discount rates.
Table ES-1.3 summarizes the project's costs by year, discounted at both the primary ( $7 \%$ ) and alternative $(3 \%)$ discount rates.

Table ES-1.1 Summary of Project Costs and Benefits

| Item | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Benefits Evaluation Period |  | 30 |
| Primary Discount Rate: |  | 7\% |
| Alternative Discount Rate: |  | 3\% |
| Present Value Benefit (7\%): | \$ | 78,594,801.56 |
| Present Value Cost (7\%): | \$ | 35,643,258.87 |
| Project Benefit-Cost Ratio (7\%): |  | 2.21 |
| Net Present Value (NPV) (7\%) | \$ | 42,951,542.69 |
| Alternative B-C Ratio (3\%) |  | 3.61 |
| Alternative NPV (3\%) | \$ | 110,291,314.75 |

Table ES-1.2 Project Benefits by Year


## This tab provides a summary of project costs and benefits by year.

Table ES-2.1 shows all project benefits by year.
Table ES-2.2 shows all project costs by year.
All estimates of project benefits and costs are derived from calculations presented in tabs 1 through 12. All methodological assumptions and data sources are provided on the relevant sheets.

Table ES-2.1 Project Benefits by Year

| Year \# | Year |  | Safety Benefits |  | ions Benefits, ncluding $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |  | ns Benefits, 2 Only |  | I Time Savings Benefits |  | Foregone Cost Savings |  | ne Disbenefits |  | TAL BENEFITS |  | L BENEFITS at Discount Rate Discounted at per NOFO) |  | AL BENEFITS at Discount Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2 | 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | - |
| 3 | 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(43,177.42)$ | \$ | $(43,177.42)$ | \$ | $(35,245.64)$ | \$ | $(39,513.46)$ |
| 4 | 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (172,709.68) | \$ | $(172,709.68)$ | \$ | ( $131,759.39$ ) | \$ | (153,450.32) |
| 5 | 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | (172,709.68) | \$ | $(172,709.68)$ | \$ | $(123,139.62)$ | \$ | $(148,980.89)$ |
| 6 | 2028 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 50,006.12 | \$ | 1,815.08 | \$ | 8,580,403.22 |  |  | \$ | (172,709.68) | \$ | 8,586,558.55 | \$ | 5,721,897.15 | \$ | 7,191,107.60 |
| 7 | 2029 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 50,410.49 | \$ | 1,549.77 | \$ | 8,590,251.74 | \$ |  | \$ | $(86,354.84)$ | \$ | 8,682,900.96 | \$ | 5,407,569.32 | \$ | 7,059,993.07 |
| 8 | 2030 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 50,844.72 | \$ | 1,274.94 | \$ | 8,600,100.26 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,779,263.71 | \$ | 5,109,875.84 | \$ | 6,930,431.85 |
| 9 | 2031 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 51,244.12 | \$ | 1,006.07 | \$ | 8,609,948.78 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,789,242.77 | \$ | 4,780,989.55 | \$ | 6,736,222.72 |
| 10 | 2032 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 50,834.00 | \$ | 707.44 | \$ | 8,619,797.30 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,798,382.54 | \$ | 4,472,818.31 | \$ | 6,546,822.91 |
| 11 | 2033 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 50,423.88 | \$ | 297.71 | \$ | 8,629,645.82 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,807,411.21 | \$ | 4,184,411.25 | \$ | 6,362,661.25 |
| 12 | 2034 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 50,013.76 | \$ | (112.03) | \$ | 8,639,494.33 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,816,439.87 | \$ | 3,914,575.91 | \$ | 6,183,673.54 |
| 13 | 2035 | \$ | 127,043.80 |  | 49,603.65 | \$ | (521.76) |  | 8,649,342.85 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,825,468.54 | \$ | 3,662,116.90 |  | 6,009,714.63 |
| 14 | 2036 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 49,193.53 | \$ | (931.50) |  | 8,659,191.37 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,834,497.20 | \$ | 3,425,915.75 | \$ | 5,840,643.40 |
| 15 | 2037 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 48,783.41 | \$ | $(1,341.23)$ | \$ | 8,669,039.89 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,843,525.86 | \$ | 3,204,925.99 | \$ | 5,676,322.73 |
| 16 | 2038 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 48,373.29 | \$ | $(1,750.97)$ | \$ | 8,678,888.41 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,852,554.53 | \$ | 2,998,168.47 | \$ | 5,516,619.31 |
| 17 | 2039 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 47,963.17 | \$ | $(2,160.70)$ | \$ | 8,688,736.93 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,861,583.19 | \$ | 2,804,727.06 | \$ | 5,361,403.57 |
| 18 | 2040 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 47,553.05 | \$ | $(2,570.44)$ | \$ | 8,698,585.45 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,870,611.85 | \$ | 2,623,744.60 | \$ | 5,210,549.57 |
| 19 | 2041 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 47,142.93 | \$ | $(2,980.17)$ | \$ | 8,708,433.96 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,879,640.52 | \$ | 2,454,419.09 | \$ | 5,063,934.91 |
| 20 | 2042 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 46,732.81 | \$ | $(3,389.91)$ | \$ | 8,718,282.48 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,888,669.18 | \$ | 2,296,000.13 | \$ | 4,921,440.61 |
| 21 | 2043 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 46,322.69 | \$ | (3,799.64) | \$ | 8,728,131.00 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,897,697.85 | \$ | 2,147,785.64 | \$ | 4,782,951.03 |
| 22 | 2044 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 45,912.57 | \$ | $(4,209.38)$ | \$ | 8,737,979.52 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,906,726.51 | \$ | 2,009,118.70 | \$ | 4,648,353.77 |
| 23 | 2045 | \$ | $127,043.80$ | \$ | 45,502.45 | \$ | (4,619.12) |  | 8,747,828.04 | \$ | - |  | - | \$ | 8,915,755.17 | \$ | 1,879,384.69 | \$ | 4,517,539.58 |
| 24 | 2046 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 45,092.33 | \$ | $(5,028.85)$ | \$ | 8,757,676.56 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,924,783.84 |  | 1,758,008.53 | \$ | 4,390,402.26 |
| 25 | 2047 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 44,682.21 | \$ | $(5,438.59)$ | \$ | 8,767,525.08 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,933,812.50 | \$ | 1,644,452.16 | \$ | 4,266,838.60 |
| 26 | 2048 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 44,272.09 | \$ | $(5,848.32)$ | \$ | 8,777,373.59 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 8,942,841.16 | \$ | 1,538,212.16 | \$ | 4,146,748.30 |
| 27 | 2049 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 43,861.97 | \$ | $(6,258.06)$ | \$ | 8,787,222.11 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,951,869.83 | \$ | 1,438,817.50 | \$ | 4,030,033.82 |
| 28 | 2050 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 43,451.85 | \$ | $(6,667.79)$ | \$ | 8,797,070.63 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,960,898.49 | \$ | 1,345,827.47 | \$ | 3,916,600.42 |
| 29 | 2051 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 43,041.73 | \$ | $(7,077.53)$ | \$ | 8,806,919.15 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,969,927.15 | \$ | 1,258,829.73 | \$ | 3,806,355.96 |
| 30 | 2052 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 42,631.61 | \$ | $(7,487.26)$ |  | 8,816,767.67 | \$ | - |  | - | \$ | 8,978,955.82 | \$ | 1,177,438.47 | \$ | 3,699,210.91 |
| 31 | 2053 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 42,221.49 | \$ | $(7,897.00)$ |  | 8,826,616.19 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,987,984.48 | \$ | 1,101,292.72 | \$ | 3,595,078.25 |
| 32 | 2054 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 41,811.37 | \$ | $(8,306.73)$ |  | 8,836,464.71 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 8,997,013.15 | \$ | 1,030,054.75 | \$ | 3,493,873.40 |
| 33 | 2055 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 41,401.25 | \$ | $(8,716.47)$ |  | 8,846,313.22 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,006,041.81 | \$ | 963,408.55 | \$ | 3,395,514.14 |
| 34 | 2056 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 40,991.13 | \$ | $(9,126.20)$ | \$ | 8,856,161.74 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,015,070.47 | \$ | 901,058.48 | \$ | 3,299,920.57 |
| 35 | 2057 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 40,581.01 | \$ | $(9,535.94)$ | \$ | 8,856,161.74 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,014,250.62 | \$ | 841,805.46 | \$ | 3,203,515.01 |
| 36 | 2058 | \$ | 127,043.80 | \$ | 40,170.89 | \$ | $(9,945.67)$ | \$ | 8,866,010.26 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 9,023,279.28 | \$ | 787,295.89 | \$ | 3,113,323.93 |
| Present Value Benefits |  | \$ | 3,938,357.80 | \$ | 1,431,071.59 | \$ | $(119,070.25)$ | \$ | 270,552,364.00 | \$ | - | \$ | $(647,661.31)$ | \$ | 275,155,061.83 | \$ | 78,594,801.56 | \$ | 152,575,856.97 |

Table ES-2.2 Project Costs by Year

| Year \# | Year | Future Eligible Project Costs |  | Lifecycle <br> Management Costs |  | TOTAL COSTS |  | TOTAL COSTS at 7\% Discount Rate |  | TOTAL COSTS at 3\% Discount Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2023 | \$ | 480,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 480,000.00 | \$ | 448,598.13 | \$ | 466,019.42 |
| 2 | 2024 | \$ | 2,190,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 2,190,000.00 | \$ | 1,912,830.81 | S | 2,064,285.04 |
| 3 | 2025 | \$ | 19,900,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 19,900,000.00 | \$ | 16,244,327.75 |  | 18,211,319.02 |
| 4 | 2026 | \$ | 10,900,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 10,900,000.00 | \$ | 8,315,557.81 | \$ | 9,684,508.82 |
| 5 | 2027 | \$ | 5,125,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 5,125,000.00 | \$ | 3,654,054.17 | S | 4,420,870.02 |
| 6 | 2028 | \$ | 5,405,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 5,405,000.00 | \$ | 3,601,579.72 | \$ | 4,526,602.41 |
| 7 | 2029 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 110,434.29 | \$ | 144,188.23 |
| 8 | 2030 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 103,209.61 | \$ | 139,988.57 |
| 9 | 2031 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 96,457.58 | \$ | 135,911.23 |
| 10 | 2032 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 90,147.27 | \$ | 131,952.65 |
| 11 | 2033 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 84,249.79 | \$ | 128,109.37 |
| 12 | 2034 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 78,738.12 | \$ | 124,378.03 |
| 13 | 2035 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 73,587.03 | \$ | 120,755.37 |
| 14 | 2036 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 68,772.92 | \$ | 117,238.22 |
| 15 | 2037 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 64,273.76 | \$ | 113,823.52 |
| 16 | 2038 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 60,068.94 | \$ | 110,508.27 |
| 17 | 2039 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 56,139.19 | \$ | 107,289.58 |
| 18 | 2040 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 52,466.53 | \$ | 104,164.64 |
| 19 | 2041 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 49,034.14 | \$ | 101,130.72 |
| 20 | 2042 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 45,826.30 | \$ | 98,185.17 |
| 21 | 2043 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 42,828.32 | \$ | 95,325.40 |
| 22 | 2044 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 40,026.47 | \$ | 92,548.94 |
| 23 | 2045 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 37,407.91 | \$ | 89,853.34 |
| 24 | 2046 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 34,960.67 | \$ | 87,236.25 |
| 25 | 2047 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 32,673.52 | \$ | 84,695.39 |
| 26 | 2048 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 30,536.00 | \$ | 82,228.53 |
| 27 | 2049 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 28,538.32 | \$ | 79,833.53 |
| 28 | 2050 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 26,671.33 | \$ | 77,508.28 |
| 29 | 2051 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 24,926.47 | \$ | 75,250.75 |
| 30 | 2052 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 23,295.77 | \$ | 73,058.99 |
| 31 | 2053 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 21,771.75 | \$ | 70,931.05 |
| 32 | 2054 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 20,347.43 | \$ | 68,865.10 |
| 33 | 2055 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 19,016.29 | \$ | 66,859.32 |
| 34 | 2056 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 17,772.23 | \$ | 64,911.96 |
| 35 | 2057 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 16,609.56 | \$ | 63,021.32 |
| 36 | 2058 | \$ | - | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 177,333.33 | \$ | 15,522.95 | \$ | 61,185.75 |
| Present Value Costs |  | \$ | 44,000,000.00 | \$ | 5,320,000.00 | \$ | 49,320,000.00 | \$ | 35,643,258.87 | \$ | 42,284,542.22 |


 national research review.

 ol-95 NB curve. The assumed CMF for this improvement is CMF \#10318, and CMF \#10319.

For both analyses, crash data were collected for the study area between January 1, 2016 and December 31, 2022. From the U.S. Department of Transportation's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs", the monetized value for the value of injuries were obtained to determine a monetary benefit.

Safety impacts are summarized in the tables below. New ramp connections create some new merge, diverge, and weave areas on Route 4 and I-95. Given the high volume of vehicles traveling on Route 4 and I-95 the number of vehicles
 provides a clear benefit for reducing run-off-the-road crashes. These benefits do not outweigh the risk associated with improved freeway connectivity.
 crashes they are property damage only, low severity. The potential severe crash reductions outweight the low severity crash increases.


 severity.

The assumptions, methodology, a description of the baseline scenario, source of data, and key input parameters are documented in Tab 1B as well as Appendix A-1.

Table 1-1. Safety Issue 1: Missing Move at I-95, Route 2, and Route 4 Interchange
Countermeasure(s): Provide Missing Move Ramps Alt 2E - CMF Estimated using ISATe Build and No-Build Predictions for Design Year 2058, Added HFST for Exit Loop Ramps

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Crash Reduction Factor | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction |  | alue of Injuries |  | ual Savings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-No Injury | 201.00 | 201.00 | (0.09) | (18.09) | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | (72,360.00) |
| C - Possible Injury | 46.00 | 46.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | \$ | 78,500.00 | S | 14,444.00 |
| B - Non-Incapacitating | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.05 | 0.20 | \$ | 153,700.00 | \$ | 30,740.00 |
| A - Incapacitating | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | \$ | 564,300.00 | \$ | 33,858.00 |
| K - Killed | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | \$ | 11,800,000.00 | \$ | 590,000.00 |
| U - Injured (Severity Unknown) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 213,900.00 | \$ | - |
| \# Accidents Reported (Unknown if Injured) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 162,600.00 | \$ | - |
| Injury Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 307,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Fatal Crash |  | - |  | - | S | 13,046,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Total | 253.00 | 253.00 | 0.01 | -17.60 |  |  | \$ | 596,682.00 |

Table 1-2. Safety Issue 2 : Countermeasure(s)

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Crash Reduction Factor | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction |  | lue of Injuries |  | ngs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-No Injury |  | - |  |  | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | - |
| C - Possible Injury |  | - |  |  | \$ | 78,500.00 | \$ | - |
| B - Non-Incapacitating |  | - |  | - | \$ | 153,700.00 | \$ | - |
| A - Incapacitating |  | - |  | - | \$ | 564,300.00 | \$ | - |
| K - Killed |  | - |  | - | \$ | 11,800,000.00 | \$ | - |
| U - Injured (Severity Unknown) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 213,900.00 | \$ | - |
| \# Accidents Reported (Unknown if Injured) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 162,600.00 | \$ | - |
| Injury Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 307,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Fatal Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 13,046,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Total | 0.00 | 0.00 | \#DIV/0! | 0.00 |  |  | \$ | - |

## Table 1-3. Safety Issue 3 Countermeasure(s):

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Crash Reduction Factor | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction |  | lue of Injuries | Annual Savings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-No Injury |  | - |  | - | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | - |
| C - Possible Injury |  | - |  | - |  | 78,500.00 | \$ |  |
| B - Non-Incapacitating |  | - |  | - |  | 153,700.00 | \$ |  |
| A - Incapacitating |  | - |  | - |  | 564,300.00 | \$ |  |
| K - Killed |  | - |  | - |  | 11,800,000.00 | \$ |  |
| U - Injured (Severity Unknown) |  | - |  | - |  | 213,900.00 | \$ |  |
| \# Accidents Reported (Unknown if Injured) |  | - |  | - |  | 162,600.00 | \$ | - |
| Injury Crash |  | - |  | - |  | 307,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Fatal Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 13,046,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Total | 0.00 | 0.00 | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  |  | - |

Table 1-5. Safety Benefits Summary

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Crash Reduction Factor | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction | Value of Injuries | Annual Savings | 30-Year Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | 253.00 | 253.00 | \#DIV/0! | (17.60) | - | 596,682.00 | 18,497,142.00 |


| Assumptions | The analysis assumes that crashes experienced over the 5 year period are consistent throughout the 30 year planning horizon. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Methodology | The analysis used the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) Part C methodology to estimate the potential change in safety performance. A no-build prediction was built using ISATe and other relevant HSM Spreadsheets using proposed traffic volumes with the development in 2058. A build prediction was also made for the 2058 volumes, then the two were compared to estimate a safety performance function (SPF) These were applied to an "existing" crash frequency, which was based on crash frequency at the site for 2016 through 2022 then grown to 2058 using the ratio between the no-build 2028 prediction and 2058 nobuild prediction. |
| Baseline | The Existing Conditions model represents crashes between 2016 and 2022. |
| Sources of Data | RIDOT Crash Data 2016-2022; FHWA CMF Clearinghouse |
| Key Input Parameters | The model required crash frequency and severity derived from the RIDOT crash reports as well as Crash Modification Factors (form the FHWA Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse) determined to be most appropriate to existing conditions. |

rable 1B-1. Safety Benefits by Year

| Year | Trafic Count | Estimated Total Crashes, No Build Scenario (Crashes/Yr) | Estimated Total Crashes, Preferred Action Scenario | $\begin{gathered} \text { Predicted Crash } \\ \text { Reduction, Preferred } \\ \text { Action Scenario } \end{gathered}$ | Realized Safety Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | 217,868.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ |
| 2024 | 218,843.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ |
| 2025 | 219,817.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ |
| 2026 | 220,791.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ |
| 2027 | 221,766.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ - |
| 2028 | 222,740.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2029 | 223,714.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2030 | 224,689.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2031 | 225,663.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2032 | 226,637.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2033 | 227,612.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2034 | 228,586.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2035 | 229,560.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2036 | 230,535.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2037 | 231,509.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2038 | 232,483.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2039 | 233,458.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2040 | 234,432.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2041 | 235,406.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2042 | 236,381.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ 596,682.00 |
| 2043 | 237,355.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2044 | 238,329.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ 596,682.00 |
| 2045 | 239,304.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2046 | 240,278.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2047 | 241,252.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ 596,682.00 |
| 2048 | 242,227.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2049 | 243,201.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ 596,682.00 |
| 2050 | 244,175.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2051 | 245,150.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ 596,882.00 |
| 2052 | 246,124.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | \$ 596,682.00 |
| 2053 | 247,098.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2054 | 248,073.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2055 | 249,047.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2056 | 250,021.67 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2057 | 250,996.00 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
| 2058 | 251,970.33 | 253.00 | 270.60 | (17.60) | 596,682.00 |
|  | 8,457,102 | 9,108 | 9,741.46 \$ | (633.46) | \$ 18,497,142.00 |

## 1. Saiety Benerits Summary

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps
Date: August 21, 2023
 not available for certain countermeasures, local and national research is reviewed and applied. Crash data was collected for the study area between January 1, 2016 and December 31, 2022. For safety improvements on newly constructed ssets, surrogates for crash modification factors were derived from a comparison of predictive analyses between no-build and preferred action scenarios. From the U.S. Department of Transportation's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs," the monetized value for the value of injuries were obtained to determine a monetary benefit.

Safety impacts are summarized in the tables below. New ramp connections create some new merge, diverge, and weave areas on Route 403. Additionally, a higher volume of vehicles in a future condition (after significant development) ncreases exposure. These drawbacks are offset, however, by more efficient access and egress to/from the freeway which elminiates many conflict points (intersections) from the vehicle route
 year.

The assumptions, methodology, a description of the baseline scenario, source of data, and key input parameters are documented in Tab 1B as well as in Appendix A-1

Table 1-1. Safety Issue 1: Missing Ramps in Route 403 Interchange Areas
Countermeasure(s): Provide Missing Ramps - CMF Derived from comparison of predictive analyses for no-build, build in 2058 with proposed development

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Crash Reduction Factor | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction | Value of Injuries |  | Annual Savings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-No Injury | 23.50 | 23.50 | 0.11 | 2.59 | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | 10,340.00 |
| C - Possible Injury | 4.70 | 4.70 | 0.09 | 0.42 | \$ | 78,500.00 | \$ | 33,205.50 |
| B - Non-Incapacitating | 1.40 | 1.40 | (0.09) | (0.13) | \$ | 153,700.00 | \$ | (19,366.20) |
| A - Incapacitating | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.02 | \$ | 564,300.00 | \$ | 8,464.50 |
| K - Killed | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.01 | \$ | 11,800,000.00 | \$ | 94,400.00 |
| U - Injured (Severity Unknown) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 213,900.00 | \$ | - |
| \# Accidents Reported (Unknown if Injured) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 162,600.00 | \$ | - |
| Injury Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 307,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Fatal Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 13,046,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Total | 30.10 | 30.10 | 0.04 | 2.91 |  |  | \$ | 127,043.80 |

Table 1-2. Safety Issue 2: Countermeasure(s)

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Crash Reduction Factor | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction |  | lue of Injuries |  | ngs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-No Injury |  | - |  |  | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | - |
| C - Possible Injury |  | - |  |  | \$ | 78,500.00 | \$ | - |
| B - Non-Incapacitating |  | - |  | - | \$ | 153,700.00 | \$ | - |
| A - Incapacitating |  | - |  | - | \$ | 564,300.00 | \$ | - |
| K - Killed |  | - |  | - | \$ | 11,800,000.00 | \$ | - |
| U - Injured (Severity Unknown) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 213,900.00 | \$ | - |
| \# Accidents Reported (Unknown if Injured) |  | - |  | - | \$ | 162,600.00 | \$ | - |
| Injury Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 307,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Fatal Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 13,046,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Total | 0.00 | 0.00 | \#DIV/0! | 0.00 |  |  | \$ | - |

## Table 1-3. Safety Issue 3 Countermeasure(s):

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Crash Reduction Factor | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction |  | lue of Injuries | Annual Savings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-No Injury |  | - |  | - | \$ | 4,000.00 | \$ | - |
| C - Possible Injury |  | - |  | - |  | 78,500.00 | \$ |  |
| B - Non-Incapacitating |  | - |  | - |  | 153,700.00 | \$ |  |
| A - Incapacitating |  | - |  | - |  | 564,300.00 | \$ |  |
| K - Killed |  | - |  | - |  | 11,800,000.00 | \$ |  |
| U - Injured (Severity Unknown) |  | - |  | - |  | 213,900.00 | \$ |  |
| \# Accidents Reported (Unknown if Injured) |  | - |  | - |  | 162,600.00 | \$ | - |
| Injury Crash |  | - |  | - |  | 307,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Fatal Crash |  | - |  | - | \$ | 13,046,800.00 | \$ | - |
| Total | 0.00 | 0.00 | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  |  | - |

Table 1-5. Safety Benefits Summary

| Crash Severity | Total Crashes | Number of Crashes per Year | Predicted Annual Crash Reduction | Value of Injuries |  |  | Savings | 30-Year Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | 30.10 | 30.10 | 2.91 |  | - | \$ | 127,043.80 | 3,938,357.80 |


| Assumptions | The analysis assumes that crashes experienced over the 5 year period are consistent throughout the 30 year planning horizon. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Methodology | The analysis used the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) Part C methodology to estimate the potential change in safety performance. A no-build prediction was built using ISATe and other relevant HSM Spreadsheets using proposed traffic volumes with the development in 2058. A build prediction was also made for the 2058 volumes, then the two were compared to estimate a safety performance function (SPF) These were applied to an "existing" crash frequency, which was based on crash frequency at the site for 2016 through 2022 then grown to 2058 using the ratio between the no-build 2028 prediction and 2058 nobuild prediction. |
| Baseline | The Existing Conditions model represents crashes between 2016 and 2022. |
| Sources of Data | RIDOT Crash Data 2016-2022; FHWA CMF Clearinghouse |
| Key Input Parameters | The model required crash frequency and severity derived from the RIDOT crash reports as well as Crash Modification Factors (form the FHWA Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse) determined to be most appropriate to existing conditions. |

Table 1B-1. Safety Benefits by Year

| Year | Traffic Count | Estimated Total Crashes, No Build Scenario (Crashes/Yr) | Estimated Total Crashes, Preferred Action Scenario | Predicted Crash Reduction, Preferred Action Scenario (Crashes $/$ Yr) | Realized Safety Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | 67,440.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | \$ |
| 2024 | 67,888.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | \$ - |
| 2025 | 68,335.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | \$ |
| 2026 | 68,783.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | \$ |
| 2027 | 69,231.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | \$ |
| 2028 | 69,678.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2029 | 70,126.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2030 | 70,574.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2031 | 71,021.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2032 | 71,469.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2033 | 71,917.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2034 | 72,364.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2035 | 72,812.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2036 | 73,260.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2037 | 73,707.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2038 | 74,155.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2039 | 74,603.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2040 | 75,050.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2041 | 75,498.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2042 | 75,946.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2043 | 76,393.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2044 | 76,841.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2045 | 77,289.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2046 | 77,736.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2047 | 78,184.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2048 | 78,632.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2049 | 79,079.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2050 | 79,527.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2051 | 79,975.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2052 | 80,422.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2053 | 80,870.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2054 | 81,318.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2055 | 81,765.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2056 | 82,213.33 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | \$ 127,043.80 |
| 2057 | 82,661.00 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
| 2058 | 83,108.67 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 2.91 | 127,043.80 |
|  | 2,709,882 | 1,084 | 979.02 | 04.58 | 3,938,357.80 |

This project will benefit local air quality by improving transportation operations and reducing vehicle delay in the corridor. Improvements in air quality will benefit the area by reducing local pollutant concentrations and regional emissions reductions.
An air quality study of the Project was conducted using traffic data developed with VISSIM to calculate Travel Time Savings. Emission factors for the study area were developed using the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator model (MOVES3) developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Emissions were analyzed for the first year of operations (2028) and design year 2058) for Baseline and Proposed Action alternatives

The Preferred Alternative will result in emission costs savings for all years of analysis. Reduced congestion across the network reduces the emissions sufficiently to counteract the expected increase in VMT resulting in an emissions benefit. This project will reduce emissions by a projected 732 tons, a net savings of $\$ 7.7$ million over 30 years.

The assumptions, methodology, a description of the baseline scenario, source of data, and key input parameters are documented in Tab 2B and Appendix A-3.

Table 2-1. Projected Emissions Reduction by Year, Selected Years (Metric Tons/Year)

| Year | NOX | Sox | PM ${ }_{2,5}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | PM ${ }_{10}$ | VOC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2028 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 100.78 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 101.11 |
| 2029 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 142.65 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 143.18 |
| 2030 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 184.51 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 185.24 |
| 2031 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 226.38 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 227.31 |
| 2032 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 268.24 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 269.37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2058 | 2.01 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 1,356.74 | 2.81 | 1.15 | 1,363.07 |
| Average | 1.08 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 728.76 | 1.45 | 0.60 | 732.09 |

Table 2-2. Projected Monetary Benefit from Emissions Reductions by Year, Selected Years

| Year | NOx |  | sox |  | PM ${ }_{2,5}$ |  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |  | PM ${ }_{10}$ |  | VOC |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2028 | \$ | 2,785.69 | \$ | 29.70 | \$ | 13,601.14 | \$ | 6,147.80 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 22,564.32 |
| 2029 | \$ | 3,959.19 | \$ | 42.71 | \$ | 23,683.69 | \$ | 8,844.22 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 36,529.81 |
| 2030 | \$ | 5,197.79 | \$ | 56.24 | \$ | 34,083.50 | \$ | 11,624.37 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 50,961.90 |
| 2031 | \$ | 6,451.78 | \$ | 70.23 | \$ | 44,807.32 | \$ | 14,714.63 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 66,043.95 |
| 2032 | \$ | 7,621.93 | \$ | 83.21 | \$ | 54,989.40 | \$ | 17,704.10 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 80,398.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2058 | \$ | 38,045.98 | \$ | 420.74 | \$ | 319,723.45 | \$ | 119,392.86 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 477,583.03 |
| Average | \$ | 21,070.85 | \$ | 232.42 | \$ | 172,039.93 | \$ | 61,740.75 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 255,083.95 |

## Table 2-3. Emissions Benefits Summary



|  | The analysis relies on assumption made for the traffic analysis which assumes that traffic patterns remain consistent through this study area, however, traffic <br> volumes will continue to grow at a rate of approximately 0.25\% annually over the 30-year planning horizon with additional background trips added to account for the <br> build out of Quonset Business Parkfor this analysis. Quonset Business Park is projected to generate 5,000 jobs upon full buildout, a portion of which will travel <br> through this study area. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Methodology | An air quality study of the Project was conducted using traffic data developed in the transportation analysis. Emission factors for the study area were developed <br> using the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator model (MOVES3) developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency. Emmissions were analyzed for the first five <br> years of operation (2023-2028) and the design year (2058). Analyses were conducted for the No Build and Build alternatives to determine the emissions reduction <br> associated with the Project. The emission factors represent the corresponding year of the traffic modeling. The factors were derived by calculating a seasonal <br> average during the evening peak hour with a representative vehicle mix. Oxides of Nitrogen (NOX), Sulfur Dioxide (SO2), Particulate Matter (PM2.5) and Carbon <br> Dioxide (CO2) were studied based on the latest grant application guidance. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Particulate Matter 10 (PM10) emissions <br> savings were included for informational purposes, but cost savings were not quantified per the latest grant application guidance. <br> Emissions reductions are scaled linearly by year between the opening and design years. |
| Baseline | The Existing Conditions model represents a 2028 No Build Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis |
| Sources of Data | Output from project's VISSIM microsimulation model |
| Key Input Parameters | Average speed; Vehicle Miles Traveled; Vehicle Hours Traveled |

Table 2B-1. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Air Pollutants by Year, No-Build Scenario

| Year | NOX | SOx | PM2.5 | CO2 | PM10 | VOC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2028 | 46.69 | 0.56 | 2.31 | 89,103.51 | 8.67 | 34.72 | 89,196.46 |
| 2029 | 46.06 | 0.55 | 2.32 | 88,974.78 | 8.85 | 34.54 | 89,067.11 |
| 2030 | 45.43 | 0.55 | 2.32 | 88,846.05 | 9.04 | 34.37 | 88,937.76 |
| 2031 | 44.81 | 0.55 | 2.32 | 88,717.32 | 9.22 | 34.20 | 88,808.41 |
| 2032 | 44.18 | 0.55 | 2.32 | 88,588.58 | 9.40 | 34.02 | 88,679.06 |
| 2033 | 43.55 | 0.55 | 2.32 | 88,459.85 | 9.58 | 33.85 | 88,549.71 |
| 2034 | 42.92 | 0.55 | 2.33 | 88,331.12 | 9.77 | 33.68 | 88,420.36 |
| 2035 | 42.29 | 0.55 | 2.33 | 88,202.39 | 9.95 | 33.50 | 88,291.01 |
| 2036 | 41.66 | 0.55 | 2.33 | 88,073.66 | 10.13 | 33.33 | 88,161.66 |
| 2037 | 41.03 | 0.55 | 2.33 | 87,944.93 | 10.32 | 33.15 | 88,032.31 |
| 2038 | 40.40 | 0.55 | 2.33 | 87,816.20 | 10.50 | 32.98 | 87,902.96 |
| 2039 | 39.78 | 0.55 | 2.34 | 87,687.46 | 10.68 | 32.81 | 87,773.61 |
| 2040 | 39.15 | 0.54 | 2.34 | 87,558.73 | 10.86 | 32.63 | 87,644.26 |
| 2041 | 38.52 | 0.54 | 2.34 | 87,430.00 | 11.05 | 32.46 | 87,514.91 |
| 2042 | 37.89 | 0.54 | 2.34 | 87,301.27 | 11.23 | 32.29 | 87,385.56 |
| 2043 | 37.26 | 0.54 | 2.34 | 87,172.54 | 11.41 | 32.11 | 87,256.21 |
| 2044 | 36.63 | 0.54 | 2.34 | 87,043.81 | 11.60 | 31.94 | 87,126.86 |
| 2045 | 36.00 | 0.54 | 2.35 | 86,915.08 | 11.78 | 31.77 | 86,997.51 |
| 2046 | 35.38 | 0.54 | 2.35 | 86,786.34 | 11.96 | 31.59 | 86,868.16 |
| 2047 | 34.75 | 0.54 | 2.35 | 86,657.61 | 12.14 | 31.42 | 86,738.81 |
| 2048 | 34.12 | 0.54 | 2.35 | 86,528.88 | 12.33 | 31.25 | 86,609.46 |
| 2049 | 33.49 | 0.54 | 2.35 | 86,400.15 | 12.51 | 31.07 | 86,480.11 |
| 2050 | 32.86 | 0.54 | 2.36 | 86,271.42 | 12.69 | 30.90 | 86,350.76 |
| 2051 | 32.23 | 0.53 | 2.36 | 86,142.69 | 12.88 | 30.73 | 86,221.41 |
| 2052 | 31.60 | 0.53 | 2.36 | 86,013.96 | 13.06 | 30.55 | 86,092.06 |
| 2053 | 30.97 | 0.53 | 2.36 | 85,885.22 | 13.24 | 30.38 | 85,962.72 |
| 2054 | 30.35 | 0.53 | 2.36 | 85,756.49 | 13.42 | 30.21 | 85,833.37 |
| 2055 | 29.72 | 0.53 | 2.37 | 85,627.76 | 13.61 | 30.03 | 85,704.02 |
| 2056 | 29.09 | 0.53 | 2.37 | 85,499.03 | 13.79 | 29.86 | 85,574.67 |
| 2057 | 28.46 | 0.53 | 2.37 | 85,370.30 | 13.97 | 29.69 | 85,445.32 |
| 2058 | 27.83 | 0.53 | 2.37 | 85,241.57 | 14.16 | 29.51 | 85,315.97 |
| Total | 1,155.11 | 16.79 | 72.63 | 2,702,348.69 | 353.81 | 995.55 | 2,704,942.57 |

Table 2B-2. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Air Pollutants by Year, Preferred Action Scenario

| Year | NOX | SOx | PM2.5 | CO2 | PM10 | VOC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2028 | 46.54 | 0.55 | 2.30 | 89,002.73 | 8.57 | 34.66 | 89,095.35 |
| 2029 | 45.85 | 0.55 | 2.29 | 88,832.13 | 8.67 | 34.45 | 88,923.93 |
| 2030 | 45.16 | 0.55 | 2.28 | 88,661.53 | 8.76 | 34.24 | 88,752.52 |
| 2031 | 44.46 | 0.55 | 2.27 | 88,490.94 | 8.85 | 34.03 | 88,581.10 |
| 2032 | 43.77 | 0.55 | 2.26 | 88,320.34 | 8.94 | 33.82 | 88,409.69 |
| 2033 | 43.08 | 0.55 | 2.25 | 88,149.74 | 9.04 | 33.61 | 88,238.27 |
| 2034 | 42.39 | 0.55 | 2.24 | 87,979.15 | 9.13 | 33.40 | 88,066.86 |
| 2035 | 41.70 | 0.55 | 2.23 | 87,808.55 | 9.22 | 33.19 | 87,895.44 |
| 2036 | 41.01 | 0.55 | 2.22 | 87,637.95 | 9.31 | 32.98 | 87,724.03 |
| 2037 | 40.32 | 0.54 | 2.21 | 87,467.36 | 9.41 | 32.77 | 87,552.61 |
| 2038 | 39.63 | 0.54 | 2.21 | 87,296.76 | 9.50 | 32.56 | 87,381.20 |
| 2039 | 38.94 | 0.54 | 2.20 | 87,126.16 | 9.59 | 32.35 | 87,209.78 |
| 2040 | 38.25 | 0.54 | 2.19 | 86,955.57 | 9.68 | 32.14 | 87,038.37 |
| 2041 | 37.56 | 0.54 | 2.18 | 86,784.97 | 9.78 | 31.93 | 86,866.95 |
| 2042 | 36.87 | 0.54 | 2.17 | 86,614.37 | 9.87 | 31.72 | 86,695.54 |
| 2043 | 36.18 | 0.54 | 2.16 | 86,443.78 | 9.96 | 31.51 | 86,524.12 |
| 2044 | 35.49 | 0.54 | 2.15 | 86,273.18 | 10.05 | 31.30 | 86,352.71 |
| 2045 | 34.80 | 0.53 | 2.14 | 86,102.58 | 10.15 | 31.09 | 86,181.29 |
| 2046 | 34.11 | 0.53 | 2.13 | 85,931.99 | 10.24 | 30.88 | 86,009.88 |
| 2047 | 33.41 | 0.53 | 2.12 | 85,761.39 | 10.33 | 30.67 | 85,838.47 |
| 2048 | 32.72 | 0.53 | 2.11 | 85,590.80 | 10.42 | 30.46 | 85,667.05 |
| 2049 | 32.03 | 0.53 | 2.10 | 85,420.20 | 10.52 | 30.25 | 85,495.64 |
| 2050 | 31.34 | 0.53 | 2.09 | 85,249.60 | 10.61 | 30.04 | 85,324.22 |
| 2051 | 30.65 | 0.53 | 2.08 | 85,079.01 | 10.70 | 29.83 | 85,152.81 |
| 2052 | 29.96 | 0.53 | 2.08 | 84,908.41 | 10.79 | 29.62 | 84,981.39 |
| 2053 | 29.27 | 0.53 | 2.07 | 84,737.81 | 10.89 | 29.41 | 84,809.98 |
| 2054 | 28.58 | 0.52 | 2.06 | 84,567.22 | 10.98 | 29.20 | 84,638.56 |
| 2055 | 27.89 | 0.52 | 2.05 | 84,396.62 | 11.07 | 29.00 | 84,467.15 |
| 2056 | 27.20 | 0.52 | 2.04 | 84,226.02 | 11.16 | 28.79 | 84,295.73 |
| 2057 | 26.51 | 0.52 | 2.03 | 84,055.43 | 11.26 | 28.58 | 84,124.32 |
| 2058 | 25.82 | 0.52 | 2.02 | 83,884.83 | 11.35 | 28.37 | 83,952.90 |
| Total | 1,121.49 | 16.65 | 66.92 | 2,679,757.12 | 308.80 | 976.87 | 2,682,247.86 |

Table 2B-3. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Estimated Reduction in Air Pollutants by Year, Preferred Action Scenario

| Year | NOX | SOx | PM2.5 | CO2 | PM10 | VOC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | - | - | - | . | - | . | - |
| 2024 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2025 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2026 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2027 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2028 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 100.78 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 101.11 |
| 2029 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 142.65 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 143.18 |
| 2030 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 184.51 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 185.24 |
| 2031 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 226.38 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 227.31 |
| 2032 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 268.24 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 269.37 |
| 2033 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 310.11 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 311.44 |
| 2034 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 351.97 | 0.64 | 0.28 | 353.50 |
| 2035 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 393.84 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 395.57 |
| 2036 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 435.70 | 0.82 | 0.35 | 437.63 |
| 2037 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 477.57 | 0.91 | 0.38 | 479.70 |
| 2038 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 519.43 | 1.00 | 0.42 | 521.76 |
| 2039 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 561.30 | 1.09 | 0.46 | 563.83 |
| 2040 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 603.16 | 1.18 | 0.49 | 605.89 |
| 2041 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 645.03 | 1.27 | 0.53 | 647.96 |
| 2042 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 686.90 | 1.36 | 0.57 | 690.02 |
| 2043 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 728.76 | 1.45 | 0.60 | 732.09 |
| 2044 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 770.63 | 1.54 | 0.64 | 774.15 |
| 2045 | 1.21 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 812.49 | 1.63 | 0.68 | 816.22 |
| 2046 | 1.27 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 854.36 | 1.72 | 0.71 | 858.28 |
| 2047 | 1.33 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 896.22 | 1.81 | 0.75 | 900.35 |
| 2048 | 1.39 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 938.09 | 1.90 | 0.78 | 942.41 |
| 2049 | 1.46 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 979.95 | 1.99 | 0.82 | 984.48 |
| 2050 | 1.52 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 1,021.82 | 2.08 | 0.86 | 1,026.54 |
| 2051 | 1.58 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 1,063.68 | 2.17 | 0.89 | 1,068.61 |
| 2052 | 1.64 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 1,105.55 | 2.26 | 0.93 | 1,110.67 |
| 2053 | 1.70 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 1,147.41 | 2.36 | 0.97 | 1,152.74 |
| 2054 | 1.77 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 1,189.28 | 2.45 | 1.00 | 1,194.80 |
| 2055 | 1.83 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 1,231.14 | 2.54 | 1.04 | 1,236.87 |
| 2056 | 1.89 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 1,273.01 | 2.63 | 1.07 | 1,278.93 |
| 2057 | 1.95 | 0.01 | 0.34 | 1,314.87 | 2.72 | 1.11 | 1,321.00 |
| 2058 | 2.01 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 1,356.74 | 2.81 | 1.15 | 1,363.07 |
| Total | 33.61 | 0.14 | 5.70 | 22,591.57 | 45.01 | 18.67 | 22,694.71 |

Table 2B-4. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Estimated Reduction in Air Pollutants by Year, Preferred Action Scenario

| Year | NOX |  |  | SOx |  | PM2.5 |  | $\mathrm{CO2}$ |  | PM10 |  | VOC | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ | . | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2024 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2025 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2026 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2027 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2028 | \$ | 2,785.69 | \$ | 29.70 | \$ | 13,601.14 | \$ | 6,147.80 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 22,564.32 |
| 2029 | \$ | 3,959.19 | \$ | 42.71 | \$ | 23,683.69 | \$ | 8,844.22 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 36,529.81 |
| 2030 | \$ | 5,197.79 | \$ | 56.24 | \$ | 34,083.50 | \$ | 11,624.37 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 50,961.90 |
| 2031 | \$ | 6,451.78 | \$ | 70.23 | \$ | 44,807.32 | \$ | 14,714.63 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 66,043.95 |
| 2032 | \$ | 7,621.93 | \$ | 83.21 | \$ | 54,989.40 | \$ | 17,704.10 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 80,398.65 |
| 2033 | \$ | 8,792.09 | \$ | 96.19 | \$ | 65,171.47 | \$ | 20,777.31 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 94,837.07 |
| 2034 | \$ | 9,962.24 | \$ | 109.18 | \$ | 75,353.55 | \$ | 23,934.25 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 109,359.22 |
| 2035 | \$ | 11,132.40 | \$ | 122.16 | \$ | 85,535.63 | \$ | 27,174.92 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 123,965.11 |
| 2036 | \$ | 12,302.55 | \$ | 135.14 | \$ | 95,717.71 | \$ | 30,499.31 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 138,654.72 |
| 2037 | \$ | 13,472.71 | \$ | 148.12 | \$ | 105,899.79 | \$ | 34,385.01 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 153,905.63 |
| 2038 | \$ | 14,642.87 | \$ | 161.10 | \$ | 116,081.87 | \$ | 37,918.73 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 168,804.57 |
| 2039 | \$ | 15,813.02 | \$ | 174.09 | \$ | 126,263.95 | \$ | 41,536.19 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 183,787.24 |
| 2040 | \$ | 16,983.18 | \$ | 187.07 | \$ | 136,446.03 | \$ | 45,237.37 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 198,853.64 |
| 2041 | \$ | 18,153.33 | \$ | 200.05 | \$ | 146,628.11 | \$ | 49,022.29 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 214,003.77 |
| 2042 | \$ | 19,323.49 | \$ | 213.03 | \$ | 156,810.18 | \$ | 53,577.82 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 229,924.53 |
| 2043 | \$ | 20,493.64 | \$ | 226.01 | \$ | 166,992.26 | \$ | 57,572.06 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 245,283.98 |
| 2044 | \$ | 21,663.80 | \$ | 238.99 | \$ | 177,174.34 | \$ | 61,650.03 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 260,727.17 |
| 2045 | \$ | 22,833.96 | \$ | 251.98 | \$ | 187,356.42 | \$ | 65,811.73 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 276,254.09 |
| 2046 | \$ | 24,004.11 | \$ | 264.96 | \$ | 197,538.50 | \$ | 70,057.16 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 291,864.73 |
| 2047 | \$ | 25,174.27 | \$ | 277.94 | \$ | 207,720.58 | \$ | 75,282.55 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 308,455.33 |
| 2048 | \$ | 26,344.42 | \$ | 290.92 | \$ | 217,902.66 | \$ | 79,737.30 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 324,275.30 |
| 2049 | \$ | 27,514.58 | \$ | 303.90 | \$ | 228,084.74 | \$ | 84,275.79 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 340,179.01 |
| 2050 | \$ | 28,684.73 | \$ | 316.89 | \$ | 238,266.82 | \$ | 88,898.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 356,166.44 |
| 2051 | \$ | 29,854.89 | \$ | 329.87 | \$ | 248,448.89 | \$ | 93,603.95 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 372,237.60 |
| 2052 | \$ | 31,025.04 | \$ | 342.85 | \$ | 258,630.97 | \$ | 97,288.08 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 387,286.95 |
| 2053 | \$ | 32,195.20 | \$ | 355.83 | \$ | 268,813.05 | \$ | 100,972.21 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 402,336.29 |
| 2054 | \$ | 33,365.36 | \$ | 368.81 | \$ | 278,995.13 | \$ | 104,656.34 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 417,385.64 |
| 2055 | \$ | 34,535.51 | \$ | 381.79 | \$ | 289,177.21 | \$ | 108,340.47 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 432,434.99 |
| 2056 | \$ | 35,705.67 | \$ | 394.78 | \$ | 299,359.29 | \$ | 112,024.60 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 447,484.34 |
| 2057 | \$ | 36,875.82 | \$ | 407.76 | \$ | 309,541.37 | \$ | 115,708.73 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 462,533.68 |
| 2058 | \$ | 38,045.98 | \$ | 420.74 | \$ | 319,723.45 | \$ | 119,392.86 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 477,583.03 |
| Total | \$ | 634,911.24 | \$ | 7,002.24 | \$ | 5,174,799.01 | \$ | 1,858,370.21 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 7,675,082.70 |

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps
Date: August 21, 2023

This project will benefit local air quality by improving transportation operations and reducing vehicle delay in the corridor. Improvements in air quality will benefit the area by reducing local pollutant concentrations and regional emissions reductions.
An air quality study of the Project was conducted using traffic data developed with VISSIM to calculate Travel Time Savings. Emission factors for the study area were developed using the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator model (MOVES3) developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Emissions were analyzed for the first year of operations (2028) and design year 2058) for Baseline and Proposed Action alternatives

The Preferred Alternative will result in emission costs savings for all years of analysis. Reduced congestion across the network reduces the emissions sufficiently to counteract the expected increase in VMT resulting in an emissions benefit. This project will reduce generate a net greenhouse gas reduction savings of $\$ 1.3$ million over 30 years.

The assumptions, methodology, a description of the baseline scenario, source of data, and key input parameters are documented in Tab 2B.

Table 2-1. Projected Emissions Reduction by Year, Selected Years (Metric Tons/Year)

| Year | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | SOx | $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | PM ${ }_{10}$ | voc | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2028 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 29.76 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 30.50 |
| 2029 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 25.00 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 25.74 |
| 2030 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 20.24 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 20.98 |
| 2031 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 15.48 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 16.22 |
| 2032 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 10.72 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 11.46 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2058 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (113.02) | 0.30 | 0.01 | (112.32) |
| Average | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (41.63) | 0.29 | 0.04 | (40.91) |

Table 2-2. Projected Monetary Benefit from Emissions Reductions by Year, Selected Years

| Year |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | SOx |  | PM ${ }_{2,5}$ |  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |  | PM ${ }_{10}$ |  | VOC |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2028 | \$ | 5,723.93 | \$ | (8.69) | \$ | 44,290.88 | \$ | 1,815.08 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 51,821.21 |
| 2029 | \$ | 5,846.66 | \$ | (10.32) | \$ | 44,574.15 | \$ | 1,549.77 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 51,960.26 |
| 2030 | \$ | 6,002.55 | \$ | (12.02) | \$ | 44,854.19 | \$ | 1,274.94 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 52,119.66 |
| 2031 | \$ | 6,127.19 | \$ | (13.78) | \$ | 45,130.71 | \$ | 1,006.07 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 52,250.19 |
| 2032 | \$ | 6,155.02 | \$ | (15.32) | \$ | 44,694.31 | \$ | 707.44 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 51,541.45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2058 | \$ | 6,878.59 | \$ | (55.43) | \$ | 33,347.73 | \$ | $(9,945.67)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 30,225.22 |
| Average | \$ | 6,464.34 | \$ | (33.04) | \$ | 39,604.22 | \$ | $(3,795.77)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 42,239.75 |

## Table 2-3. Emissions Benefits Summary

| Year |  | NOX |  | SOx |  | PM2.5 |  | CO2 |  | PM10 |  |  | VOC |  | 30-Year Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2028-2058 | \$ | 199,654.11 | \$ | $(1,000.00)$ | \$ | 1,232,417.48 | \$ | $(112,058.01)$ | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | 13190 |

# Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps 

| Assumptions | The analysis relies on assumption made for the traffic analysis which assumes that traffic patterns remain consistent through this study area, however, traffic <br> volumes will continue to grow at a rate of approximately 0.25\% annually over the 30-year planning horizon with additional background trips added to account for the <br> build out of Quonset Business Parkfor this analysis. Quonset Business Park is projected to generate 5,000 jobs upon full buildout. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Methodology | An air quality study of the Project was conducted using traffic data developed in the transportation analysis. Emission factors for the study area were developed <br> using the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator model (MOVES3) developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency. Emissions were analyzed for the first five <br> years of operation (2028-2031) and the design year (2058). Analyses were conducted for the No Build and Build alternatives to determine the emissions reduction <br> associated with the Project. The emission factors represent the corresponding year of the traffic modeling. The factors were derived by calculating a seasonal <br> average during the evening peak hour with a representative vehicle mix. Oxides of Nitrogen (NOX), Sulfur Dioxide (SO2), Particulate Matter (PM2.5) and Carbon <br> Dioxide (CO2) were studied based on the latest grant application guidance. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Particulate Matter 10 (PM10) emissions <br> savings were included for informational purposes, but cost savings were not quantified per the latest grant application guidance. <br> Emissions reductions are scaled linearly by year between the opening and design years. |
| Baseline | The Existing Conditions model represents a 2028 No Build Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis |
| Sources of Data | Output from project's VISSIM microsimulation model |
| Key Input Parameters | Average speed; Vehicle Miles Traveled; Vehicle Hours Traveled |

Table 2B-1. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Air Pollutants by Year, No-Build Scenario

| Year | NOX | SOx | PM2.5 | CO2 | PM10 | VOC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2028 | 6.68 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 11,908.12 | 1.98 | 4.91 | 11,922.21 |
| 2029 | 6.60 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 11,900.50 | 1.99 | 4.89 | 11,914.47 |
| 2030 | 6.52 | 0.07 | 0.43 | 11,892.87 | 2.00 | 4.86 | 11,906.74 |
| 2031 | 6.43 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 11,885.24 | 2.00 | 4.83 | 11,899.01 |
| 2032 | 6.35 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 11,877.61 | 2.01 | 4.80 | 11,891.27 |
| 2033 | 6.27 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 11,869.99 | 2.02 | 4.77 | 11,883.54 |
| 2034 | 6.18 | 0.07 | 0.42 | 11,862.36 | 2.03 | 4.75 | 11,875.81 |
| 2035 | 6.10 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 11,854.73 | 2.03 | 4.72 | 11,868.07 |
| 2036 | 6.02 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 11,847.10 | 2.04 | 4.69 | 11,860.34 |
| 2037 | 5.93 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 11,839.48 | 2.05 | 4.66 | 11,852.61 |
| 2038 | 5.85 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 11,831.85 | 2.06 | 4.64 | 11,844.87 |
| 2039 | 5.77 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 11,824.22 | 2.06 | 4.61 | 11,837.14 |
| 2040 | 5.68 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 11,816.60 | 2.07 | 4.58 | 11,829.41 |
| 2041 | 5.60 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 11,808.97 | 2.08 | 4.55 | 11,821.67 |
| 2042 | 5.52 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 11,801.34 | 2.09 | 4.52 | 11,813.94 |
| 2043 | 5.44 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 11,793.71 | 2.09 | 4.50 | 11,806.21 |
| 2044 | 5.35 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 11,786.09 | 2.10 | 4.47 | 11,798.47 |
| 2045 | 5.27 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 11,778.46 | 2.11 | 4.44 | 11,790.74 |
| 2046 | 5.19 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 11,770.83 | 2.12 | 4.41 | 11,783.01 |
| 2047 | 5.10 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 11,763.21 | 2.12 | 4.38 | 11,775.27 |
| 2048 | 5.02 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 11,755.58 | 2.13 | 4.36 | 11,767.54 |
| 2049 | 4.94 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 11,747.95 | 2.14 | 4.33 | 11,759.81 |
| 2050 | 4.85 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 11,740.32 | 2.15 | 4.30 | 11,752.07 |
| 2051 | 4.77 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 11,732.70 | 2.15 | 4.27 | 11,744.34 |
| 2052 | 4.69 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,725.07 | 2.16 | 4.25 | 11,736.61 |
| 2053 | 4.60 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,717.44 | 2.17 | 4.22 | 11,728.87 |
| 2054 | 4.52 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,709.82 | 2.17 | 4.19 | 11,721.14 |
| 2055 | 4.44 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,702.19 | 2.18 | 4.16 | 11,713.41 |
| 2056 | 4.35 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,694.56 | 2.19 | 4.13 | 11,705.67 |
| 2057 | 4.27 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,686.93 | 2.20 | 4.11 | 11,697.94 |
| 2058 | 4.19 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,679.31 | 2.20 | 4.08 | 11,690.21 |
| Total | 168.49 | 2.26 | 12.25 | 365,605.15 | 64.89 | 139.38 | 365,992.43 |

Table 2B-2. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Air Pollutants by Year, Preferred Action Scenario

| Year | NOX | SOx | PM2.5 | CO2 | PM10 | VOC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2028 | 6.36 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 11,878.37 | 1.69 | 4.83 | 11,891.71 |
| 2029 | 6.28 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 11,875.50 | 1.70 | 4.81 | 11,888.74 |
| 2030 | 6.20 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 11,872.63 | 1.70 | 4.78 | 11,885.76 |
| 2031 | 6.11 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,869.76 | 1.71 | 4.76 | 11,882.79 |
| 2032 | 6.03 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,866.89 | 1.72 | 4.73 | 11,879.82 |
| 2033 | 5.94 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,864.03 | 1.73 | 4.71 | 11,876.84 |
| 2034 | 5.86 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,861.16 | 1.73 | 4.68 | 11,873.87 |
| 2035 | 5.77 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 11,858.29 | 1.74 | 4.65 | 11,870.90 |
| 2036 | 5.69 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,855.42 | 1.75 | 4.63 | 11,867.93 |
| 2037 | 5.60 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,852.55 | 1.76 | 4.60 | 11,864.95 |
| 2038 | 5.52 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,849.69 | 1.76 | 4.58 | 11,861.98 |
| 2039 | 5.43 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,846.82 | 1.77 | 4.55 | 11,859.01 |
| 2040 | 5.35 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,843.95 | 1.78 | 4.53 | 11,856.03 |
| 2041 | 5.26 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 11,841.08 | 1.78 | 4.50 | 11,853.06 |
| 2042 | 5.18 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 11,838.21 | 1.79 | 4.48 | 11,850.09 |
| 2043 | 5.09 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 11,835.35 | 1.80 | 4.45 | 11,847.12 |
| 2044 | 5.01 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 11,832.48 | 1.81 | 4.43 | 11,844.14 |
| 2045 | 4.92 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 11,829.61 | 1.81 | 4.40 | 11,841.17 |
| 2046 | 4.84 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 11,826.74 | 1.82 | 4.38 | 11,838.20 |
| 2047 | 4.75 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 11,823.87 | 1.83 | 4.35 | 11,835.22 |
| 2048 | 4.67 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 11,821.01 | 1.84 | 4.32 | 11,832.25 |
| 2049 | 4.58 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 11,818.14 | 1.84 | 4.30 | 11,829.28 |
| 2050 | 4.50 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 11,815.27 | 1.85 | 4.27 | 11,826.31 |
| 2051 | 4.42 | 0.07 | 0.34 | 11,812.40 | 1.86 | 4.25 | 11,823.33 |
| 2052 | 4.33 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 11,809.53 | 1.87 | 4.22 | 11,820.36 |
| 2053 | 4.25 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 11,806.67 | 1.87 | 4.20 | 11,817.39 |
| 2054 | 4.16 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 11,803.80 | 1.88 | 4.17 | 11,814.41 |
| 2055 | 4.08 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 11,800.93 | 1.89 | 4.15 | 11,811.44 |
| 2056 | 3.99 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 11,798.06 | 1.89 | 4.12 | 11,808.47 |
| 2057 | 3.91 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 11,795.19 | 1.90 | 4.10 | 11,805.49 |
| 2058 | 3.82 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 11,792.33 | 1.91 | 4.07 | 11,802.52 |
| Total | 157.89 | 2.28 | 10.89 | 366,895.73 | 55.78 | 138.00 | 367,260.57 |

Table 2B-3. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Estimated Reduction in Air Pollutants by Year, Preferred Action Scenario

| Year | NOX | Sox | PM2. 5 | CO2 | PM10 | VOC | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2024 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2025 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2026 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2027 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2028 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 29.76 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 30.50 |
| 2029 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 25.00 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 25.74 |
| 2030 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 20.24 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 20.98 |
| 2031 | 0.32 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 15.48 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 16.22 |
| 2032 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 10.72 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 11.46 |
| 2033 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 5.96 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 6.70 |
| 2034 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | 1.20 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 1.94 |
| 2035 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | (3.56) | 0.29 | 0.06 | (2.82) |
| 2036 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | (8.32) | 0.29 | 0.06 | (7.58) |
| 2037 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | (13.08) | 0.29 | 0.06 | (12.34) |
| 2038 | 0.33 | (0.00) | 0.05 | (17.84) | 0.29 | 0.06 | (17.11) |
| 2039 | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.05 | (22.60) | 0.29 | 0.05 | (21.87) |
| 2040 | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.05 | (27.35) | 0.29 | 0.05 | (26.63) |
| 2041 | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (32.11) | 0.29 | 0.05 | (31.39) |
| 2042 | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (36.87) | 0.29 | 0.05 | (36.15) |
| 2043 | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (41.63) | 0.29 | 0.04 | (40.91) |
| 2044 | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (46.39) | 0.29 | 0.04 | (45.67) |
| 2045 | 0.34 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (51.15) | 0.29 | 0.04 | (50.43) |
| 2046 | 0.35 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (55.91) | 0.29 | 0.04 | (55.19) |
| 2047 | 0.35 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (60.67) | 0.29 | 0.03 | (59.95) |
| 2048 | 0.35 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (65.43) | 0.29 | 0.03 | (64.71) |
| 2049 | 0.35 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (70.19) | 0.29 | 0.03 | (69.47) |
| 2050 | 0.35 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (74.95) | 0.29 | 0.03 | (74.23) |
| 2051 | 0.35 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (79.70) | 0.29 | 0.03 | (78.99) |
| 2052 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (84.46) | 0.30 | 0.02 | (83.75) |
| 2053 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (89.22) | 0.30 | 0.02 | (88.51) |
| 2054 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (93.98) | 0.30 | 0.02 | (93.27) |
| 2055 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (98.74) | 0.30 | 0.02 | (98.03) |
| 2056 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (103.50) | 0.30 | 0.01 | (102.79) |
| 2057 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (108.26) | 0.30 | 0.01 | (107.56) |
| 2058 | 0.36 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (113.02) | 0.30 | 0.01 | (112.32) |
| Total | 10.60 | (0.02) | 1.36 | $(1,290.59)$ | 9.12 | 1.39 | $(1,268.14)$ |

Table 2B-4. Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis - Estimated Reduction in Air Pollutants by Year, Preferred Action Scenario

| Year |  | NOX |  | SOx |  | PM2. 5 |  | CO2 |  | PM10 |  | VOC |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2024 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2025 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2026 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2027 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2028 | \$ | 5,723.93 | \$ | (8.69) | \$ | 44,290.88 | \$ | 1,815.08 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 51,821.21 |
| 2029 | \$ | 5,846.66 | \$ | (10.32) | \$ | 44,574.15 | \$ | 1,549.77 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 51,960.26 |
| 2030 | \$ | 6,002.55 | \$ | (12.02) | \$ | 44,854.19 | \$ | 1,274.94 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 52,119.66 |
| 2031 | \$ | 6,127.19 | \$ | (13.78) | \$ | 45,130.71 | \$ | 1,006.07 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 52,250.19 |
| 2032 | \$ | 6,155.02 | \$ | (15.32) | \$ | 44,694.31 | \$ | 707.44 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 51,541.45 |
| 2033 | \$ | 6,182.85 | \$ | (16.87) | \$ | 44,257.90 | \$ | 399.30 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 50,823.18 |
| 2034 | \$ | 6,210.68 | \$ | (18.41) | \$ | 43,821.49 | \$ | 81.64 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 50,095.40 |
| 2035 | \$ | 6,238.51 | \$ | (19.95) | \$ | 43,385.09 | \$ | (245.54) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 49,358.10 |
| 2036 | \$ | 6,266.34 | \$ | (21.49) | \$ | 42,948.68 | \$ | (582.24) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 48,611.28 |
| 2037 | \$ | 6,294.17 | \$ | (23.04) | \$ | 42,512.27 | \$ | (941.54) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 47,841.87 |
| 2038 | \$ | 6,322.00 | \$ | (24.58) | \$ | 42,075.87 | \$ | $(1,302.03)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 47,071.26 |
| 2039 | \$ | 6,349.83 | \$ | (26.12) | \$ | 41,639.46 | \$ | $(1,672.04)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 46,291.12 |
| 2040 | \$ | 6,377.66 | \$ | (27.66) | \$ | 41,203.05 | \$ | (2,051.58) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 45,501.47 |
| 2041 | \$ | 6,405.49 | \$ | (29.21) | \$ | 40,766.65 | \$ | $(2,440.63)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 44,702.30 |
| 2042 | \$ | 6,433.32 | \$ | (30.75) | \$ | 40,330.24 | \$ | $(2,876.07)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 43,856.74 |
| 2043 | \$ | 6,461.15 | \$ | (32.29) | \$ | 39,893.83 | \$ | $(3,288.91)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 43,033.78 |
| 2044 | \$ | 6,488.98 | \$ | (33.83) | \$ | 39,457.43 | \$ | $(3,711.28)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 42,201.29 |
| 2045 | \$ | 6,516.81 | \$ | (35.38) | \$ | 39,021.02 | \$ | $(4,143.16)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 41,359.29 |
| 2046 | \$ | 6,544.64 | \$ | (36.92) | \$ | 38,584.61 | \$ | $(4,584.56)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 40,507.77 |
| 2047 | \$ | 6,572.47 | \$ | (38.46) | \$ | 38,148.21 | \$ | $(5,096.14)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 39,586.07 |
| 2048 | \$ | 6,600.30 | \$ | (40.00) | \$ | 37,711.80 | \$ | $(5,561.34)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 38,710.75 |
| 2049 | \$ | 6,628.13 | \$ | (41.55) | \$ | 37,275.39 | \$ | $(6,036.06)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 37,825.92 |
| 2050 | \$ | 6,655.96 | \$ | (43.09) | \$ | 36,838.99 | \$ | $(6,520.29)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 36,931.56 |
| 2051 | \$ | 6,683.78 | \$ | (44.63) | \$ | 36,402.58 | \$ | $(7,014.04)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 36,027.69 |
| 2052 | \$ | 6,711.61 | \$ | (46.17) | \$ | 35,966.17 | \$ | $(7,432.84)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 35,198.77 |
| 2053 | \$ | 6,739.44 | \$ | (47.72) | \$ | 35,529.77 | \$ | $(7,851.65)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 34,369.84 |
| 2054 | \$ | 6,767.27 | \$ | (49.26) | \$ | 35,093.36 | \$ | $(8,270.45)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 33,540.92 |
| 2055 | \$ | 6,795.10 | \$ | (50.80) | \$ | 34,656.95 | \$ | $(8,689.26)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 32,711.99 |
| 2056 | \$ | 6,822.93 | \$ | (52.35) | \$ | 34,220.55 | \$ | $(9,108.06)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 31,883.07 |
| 2057 | \$ | 6,850.76 | \$ | (53.89) | \$ | 33,784.14 | \$ | $(9,526.87)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 31,054.14 |
| 2058 | \$ | 6,878.59 | \$ | (55.43) | \$ | 33,347.73 | \$ | $(9,945.67)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 30,225.22 |
| Total | \$ | 199,654.11 | \$ | $(1,000.00)$ | \$ | 1,232,417.48 | \$ | $(112,058.01)$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,319,013.58 |

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps

This project will reduce travel times and distance by providing more efficient access to the freeway at the critical junction of Route 4 at I-95. The proposed improvements in this project were conceived to allow traffic to flow through the network more easily through the construction of missing ramps connecting Route 4 and l-95 and to remove pass-through traffic from Route 2 .

This project will generate an estimated $\$ 1.4$ million in travel time savings benefits in the first year of operations (2028). Over 30 years, travel time savings are total $\$ 795$ million.
Assumptions, methodology, a description of the baseline scenario, source of data, and key input parameters are documented in Tabs 3B, 3C, and 3D and Appendix A-2.

Table 3-1. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary, Opening Year (2028)

| Scenario | Total Traffic in Network (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Average Delay (Hrs/Veh/Day) |  | el Time senefit Day) | Annual Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2028 No-Build | 221,892.00 | 707,210.63 | 15,151.49 | 47.20 | 0.01 | \$ | - | - |
| 2028 Preferred Action | 221,766.00 | 708,319.06 | 14,993.33 | 47.64 | 0.01 | \$ | 3,861.52 | 1,409,453.96 |

Table 3-2. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary, Design Year (2058)

| Scenario | Total Traffic in Network (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Average Delay (Hrs/Veh/Day) |  | vel Time gs Benefit \$/Day) | Annual Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2058 No-Build | 255,302.00 | 790,801.94 | 25,823.43 | 35.91 | 0.04 | \$ | - | - |
| 2058 Preferred Action | 250,996.00 | 795,377.31 | 20,613.33 | 41.55 | 0.02 | \$ | 164,887.68 | 60,184,003.16 |

## Table 3-3. Travel Time Savings Change Rates, 2028-2058

| Scenario | Total Traffic in Network (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Average Delay (Hrs/Veh/Day) |  | Time s Benefit Day) | Annual Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Change No-Build | 1,113.67 | 2,786.38 | 355.73 | (0.38) | 0.00 | \$ | - | - |
| Annual Change Preferred Action | 974.33 | 2,901.94 | 187.33 | (0.20) | 0.00 | \$ | 5,367.54 | 1,959,151.64 |

## Table 3.4 Travel Time Savings Summary

| Scenario | Total Traffic in Network (Avg. Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (Avg. VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (Avg. VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Total Delay (Avg. Hours/Day) | Travel Time Savings Benefit (Avg. \$/Year) |  | 30-Year Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No-Build, } \\ & \text { 2028-2058 } \end{aligned}$ | 236,926.50 | 744,826.72 | 19,953.86 | 42.12 | 5,683.24 | 0 |  | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preferred Action, } \\ & 2028-2058 \end{aligned}$ | 234,919.50 | 747,495.27 | 17,522.33 | 44.90 | 3,253.15 | \$ 26,527,175.24 | \$ | 795,815,257.12 |
| Total | (2,007.00) | 2,668.55 | $(2,431.53)$ | 2.78 | $(2,430.09)$ | \$ 26,527,175.24 | \$ | 795,815,257.12 |

The analysis assumes that traffic patterns remain consistent through this study area, however, traffic volumes will continue to grow at a rate of approximately $0.25 \%$ annually over the 5 -year construction period and then $0.10 \%$ annually over the 30 -year planning horizon for this analysis. Additionally, known development in the study area anticipated before Opening Year (2028) is also included as part of traffic growth. Quonset Business Park projects generating 5,000 new jobs upon full buildout, a portion of which will travel through this study area.

Growth in delay times and volumes are assumed to be linear between the opening year and design year.
VISSIM microscopic traffic modelling software was used to project travel times through the study area for five different scenarios (2023 Existing, 2028 No-Build, 2028 Preferred Action - Opening Year, 2058 No-Build, and 2058 Preferred Action). Each scenarios was run for a 15-hour period from 5:00am-8:00pm for 10 iterations (random seeds) each. Model outputs included vehicles in the network, vehicle miles traveled, vehicle hours traveled, average speed, and average delay

In this tab (3B), 2028 travel time impacts are profiled for existing, no-action, and preferred action scenarios. In Tab 3C, no-action and preferred action scenarios are profiled for the design year (2058).

In Tab 3D, those inputs were then extended to annual impacts. 2028 impacts are calculated as a baseline benefits year, but benefits are not captured in that year as the project is expected to complete in late 2028.

| Baseline | The Existing Condtion model represents a 2023 condition. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sources of Data | Historic and 2022 turning movement counts and traffic volume data adjusted to represent a 2023 Existing Condition. |
| Key Input Parameters | The model outputs were monetized using the value of travel time savings and assumed vehicle occupancy rates provided by the Benefit Cost Analysis Guidance <br> for Discretionary Grant Programs (March 2023). |

Table 3B-1. Project Limit Network MOEs Summary - Existing 2023 Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay (hr) | Average Delay (hr/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 6,259 | 19,846 | 407 | 49 | 25 | 0.004 |
| 6:00 AM | 10,446 | 34,186 | 700 | 49 | 46 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 17,572 | 57,829 | 1,234 | 47 | 127 | 0.007 |
| 8:00 AM | 16,024 | 52,430 | 1,098 | 48 | 96 | 0.006 |
| 9:00 AM | 12,889 | 42,264 | 868 | 49 | 61 | 0.005 |
| 10:00 AM | 11,730 | 38,536 | 788 | 49 | 52 | 0.004 |
| 11:00 AM | 11,722 | 38,737 | 792 | 49 | 52 | 0.004 |
| 12:00 PM | 13,591 | 42,741 | 894 | 48 | 73 | 0.005 |
| 1:00 PM | 13,943 | 44,687 | 950 | 47 | 90 | 0.006 |
| 2:00 PM | 18,943 | 58,870 | 1,300 | 45 | 165 | 0.009 |
| 3:00 PM | 20,189 | 62,978 | 1,422 | 44 | 209 | 0.010 |
| 4:00 PM | 20,864 | 65,272 | 1,488 | 44 | 231 | 0.011 |
| 5:00 PM | 18,352 | 57,237 | 1,245 | 46 | 144 | 0.008 |
| 6:00 PM | 12,671 | 39,142 | 820 | 48 | 68 | 0.005 |
| 7:00 PM | 9,115 | 28,250 | 584 | 48 | 41 | 0.005 |
| Total | 214,310.00 | 683,005.63 | 14,588.61 | 47.28 | 1,479.99 | 0.01 |

3B. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2028 (Opening Year)
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves

Table 3B-2. Delays by Vehicle Type, Existing 2023 Conditions

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Car Delay } \\ \text { (hrs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,883 | 23.68 | 376 | 1.51 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 9,819 | 43.29 | 627 | 2.76 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 16,518 | 119.48 | 1054 | 7.63 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 15,063 | 90.04 | 961 | 5.75 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 12,116 | 57.38 | 773 | 3.66 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,026 | 48.88 | 704 | 3.12 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,019 | 48.97 | 703 | 3.13 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 12,776 | 68.28 | 815 | 4.36 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,106 | 84.61 | 837 | 5.40 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 17,806 | 154.67 | 1137 | 9.87 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 18,978 | 196.05 | 1211 | 12.51 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 19,612 | 217.42 | 1252 | 13.88 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 17,251 | 135.08 | 1101 | 8.62 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,911 | 64.38 | 760 | 4.11 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 8,568 | 38.87 | 547 | 2.48 |
| Total |  |  | 201,451.40 | 1,391.08 | 12,858.60 | 88.79 |

Table 3B-3. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary, Projected 2028 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay (hr) | Average Delay (hr/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 14,031 | 44,060 | 921 | 48 | 75 | 0.005 |
| 6:00 AM | 6,463 | 20,469 | 419 | 49 | 26 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 10,868 | 35,529 | 725 | 49 | 47 | 0.004 |
| 8:00 AM | 18,206 | 59,807 | 1,287 | 46 | 144 | 0.008 |
| 9:00 AM | 16,662 | 54,327 | 1,167 | 47 | 130 | 0.008 |
| 10:00 AM | 13,454 | 43,984 | 911 | 48 | 72 | 0.005 |
| 11:00 AM | 12,215 | 40,097 | 817 | 49 | 53 | 0.004 |
| 12:00 PM | 12,231 | 40,377 | 823 | 49 | 53 | 0.004 |
| 1:00 PM | 14,405 | 46,316 | 982 | 47 | 91 | 0.006 |
| 2:00 PM | 19,590 | 61,016 | 1,342 | 45 | 166 | 0.008 |
| 3:00 PM | 20,829 | 65,144 | 1,467 | 44 | 212 | 0.010 |
| 4:00 PM | 21,428 | 67,097 | 1,541 | 44 | 250 | 0.012 |
| 5:00 PM | 19,025 | 59,335 | 1,300 | 46 | 158 | 0.008 |
| 6:00 PM | 13,086 | 40,511 | 849 | 48 | 71 | 0.005 |
| 7:00 PM | 9,399 | 29,143 | 601 | 48 | 42 | 0.004 |
| Total | 221,892.00 | 707,210.63 | 15,151.49 | 47.20 | 1,589.16 | 0.01 |

3B. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2028 (Opening Year)
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves

Table 3B-4. Delays by Vehicle Type, Projected 2028 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | Car Delay (hrs) | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,189 | 70.23 | 842 | 4.48 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 6,075 | 24.03 | 388 | 1.53 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 10,216 | 43.87 | 652 | 2.80 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 17,114 | 135.25 | 1092 | 8.63 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 15,662 | 121.86 | 1000 | 7.78 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 12,647 | 67.55 | 807 | 4.31 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,482 | 49.50 | 733 | 3.16 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,497 | 49.85 | 734 | 3.18 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,541 | 85.83 | 864 | 5.48 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 18,415 | 155.55 | 1175 | 9.93 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 19,579 | 199.49 | 1250 | 12.73 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 20,142 | 235.39 | 1286 | 15.02 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 17,884 | 148.43 | 1142 | 9.47 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 12,301 | 66.63 | 785 | 4.25 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 8,835 | 39.59 | 564 | 2.53 |
| Total |  |  | 208,578.48 | 1,493.06 | 13,313.52 | 95.30 |

Table 3B-5. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2028 (Opening Year) Proposed Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles Traveling Within the Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time Within the Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay: All Vehicles (hr) | Average Delay: Per Vehicle (hr/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 14,046 | 44,430 | 926 | 48 | 76 | 0.005 |
| 6:00 AM | 6,463 | 19,954 | 410 | 49 | 27 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 10,867 | 35,968 | 730 | 49 | 47 | 0.004 |
| 8:00 AM | 18,211 | 60,494 | 1,282 | 47 | 131 | 0.007 |
| 9:00 AM | 16,659 | 54,921 | 1,141 | 48 | 97 | 0.006 |
| 10:00 AM | 13,409 | 44,407 | 906 | 49 | 63 | 0.005 |
| 11:00 AM | 12,229 | 40,511 | 822 | 49 | 53 | 0.004 |
| 12:00 PM | 12,242 | 40,833 | 829 | 49 | 54 | 0.004 |
| 1:00 PM | 14,405 | 45,999 | 970 | 47 | 89 | 0.006 |
| 2:00 PM | 19,587 | 60,802 | 1,331 | 46 | 163 | 0.008 |
| 3:00 PM | 20,834 | 65,127 | 1,440 | 45 | 191 | 0.009 |
| 4:00 PM | 21,453 | 67,084 | 1,506 | 45 | 221 | 0.010 |
| 5:00 PM | 18,908 | 58,833 | 1,272 | 46 | 145 | 0.008 |
| 6:00 PM | 13,068 | 40,156 | 838 | 48 | 69 | 0.005 |
| 7:00 PM | 9,385 | 28,800 | 591 | 49 | 40 | 0.004 |
| Total | 221,766.00 | 708,319.06 | 14,993.33 | 47.64 | 1,465.69 | 0.01 |

Table 3B-6. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2028 (Opening Year) Proposed Action Delays

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Car Delay } \\ \text { (hrs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,203 | 71.55 | 843 | 4.57 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 6,075 | 25.33 | 388 | 1.62 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 10,215 | 43.81 | 652 | 2.80 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 17,118 | 122.92 | 1093 | 7.85 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 15,659 | 90.82 | 1000 | 5.80 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 12,604 | 58.86 | 805 | 3.76 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,495 | 49.69 | 734 | 3.17 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,507 | 50.60 | 735 | 3.23 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,541 | 83.95 | 864 | 5.36 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 18,412 | 153.58 | 1175 | 9.80 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 19,584 | 179.68 | 1250 | 11.47 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 20,166 | 207.32 | 1287 | 13.23 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 17,774 | 136.51 | 1134 | 8.71 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 12,284 | 65.10 | 784 | 4.16 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 8,822 | 37.93 | 563 | 2.42 |
| Total |  |  | 208,460.04 | 1,377.67 | 13,305.96 | 87.94 |

Table 3B-7. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary - Projected 2028 Conditions

| Time | Car Delay Savings (hrs) | Truck Delay Savings (hrs) |  | Savings ts (Daily) |  | avings (Daily) |  | tal Benefits, w (270 Days) |  | tal Benefits, h (365 Days) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | (1.32) | (0.08) | \$ | (41.51) | \$ | (2.73) | \$ | (11,945.17) | \$ | $(16,148.10)$ |
| 6:00 AM | (1.30) | (0.08) | \$ | (40.80) | \$ | (2.69) | \$ | (11,740.67) | \$ | $(15,871.65)$ |
| 7:00 AM | 0.06 | 0.00 | \$ | 1.91 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 549.23 | \$ | 742.47 |
| 8:00 AM | 12.33 | 0.79 | \$ | 386.99 | \$ | 25.49 | \$ | 111,370.07 | \$ | 150,555.84 |
| 9:00 AM | 31.04 | 1.98 | \$ | 974.42 | \$ | 64.19 | \$ | 280,423.02 | \$ | 379,090.38 |
| 10:00 AM | 8.70 | 0.56 | \$ | 273.11 | \$ | 17.99 | \$ | 78,597.65 | \$ | 106,252.38 |
| 11:00 AM | (0.18) | (0.01) | \$ | (5.79) | \$ | (0.38) | \$ | (1,666.65) | \$ | (2,253.06) |
| 12:00 PM | (0.75) | (0.05) | \$ | (23.49) | \$ | (1.55) | \$ | (6,759.04) | \$ | $(9,137.22)$ |
| 1:00 PM | 1.88 | 0.12 | \$ | 59.04 | \$ | 3.89 | \$ | 16,992.27 | \$ | 22,971.03 |
| 2:00 PM | 1.97 | 0.13 | \$ | 61.76 | \$ | 4.07 | \$ | 17,775.03 | \$ | 24,029.21 |
| 3:00 PM | 19.81 | 1.26 | \$ | 621.89 | \$ | 40.96 | \$ | 178,971.93 | \$ | 241,943.53 |
| 4:00 PM | 28.07 | 1.79 | \$ | 881.27 | \$ | 58.05 | \$ | 253,616.95 | \$ | 342,852.54 |
| 5:00 PM | 11.92 | 0.76 | \$ | 374.32 | \$ | 24.66 | \$ | 107,723.78 | \$ | 145,626.59 |
| 6:00 PM | 1.52 | 0.10 | \$ | 47.87 | \$ | 3.15 | \$ | 13,776.80 | \$ | 18,624.19 |
| 7:00 PM | 1.65 | 0.11 | \$ | 51.86 | \$ | 3.42 | \$ | 14,924.58 | \$ | 20,175.82 |
| Total |  |  | \$ | \$ 3,622.88 | \$ | 238.64 | \$ | 1,042,609.78 | \$ | 1,409,453.96 |

3C. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2057 (Last Year of Analysis)
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves

Table 3C-1. Project Limit Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2058 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay (hr) | Average Delay (hriveh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 15,553 | 49,472 | 1,042 | 47 | 92 | 0.006 |
| 6:00 AM | 7,206 | 22,892 | 469 | 49 | 29 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 12,329 | 40,524 | 830 | 49 | 57 | 0.005 |
| 8:00 AM | 20,201 | 64,187 | 1,844 | 35 | 620 | 0.031 |
| 9:00 AM | 18,949 | 58,008 | 2,476 | 24 | 1,369 | 0.073 |
| 10:00 AM | 16,656 | 53,025 | 1,727 | 32 | 717 | 0.043 |
| 11:00 AM | 14,737 | 48,159 | 1,201 | 42 | 284 | 0.018 |
| 12:00 PM | 14,365 | 47,429 | 1,077 | 45 | 175 | 0.012 |
| 1:00 PM | 15,906 | 51,638 | 1,101 | 47 | 108 | 0.007 |
| 2:00 PM | 21,382 | 67,061 | 1,626 | 41 | 338 | 0.016 |
| 3:00 PM | 21,890 | 68,218 | 2,312 | 30 | 1,014 | 0.046 |
| 4:00 PM | 21,166 | 60,575 | 3,588 | 17 | 2,433 | 0.115 |
| 5:00 PM | 21,696 | 61,035 | 3,240 | 19 | 2,064 | 0.095 |
| 6:00 PM | 19,270 | 55,070 | 1,992 | 28 | 927 | 0.048 |
| 7:00 PM | 13,996 | 43,511 | 1,300 | 34 | 460 | 0.032 |
| Total | 255,302.00 | 790,801.94 | 25,823.43 | 35.91 | 10,687.11 | 0.04 |

Table 3C-2. Delays by Vehicle Type, Projected 2058 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | Car Delay (hrs) | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 14,619.82 | 86.38 | 933.18 | 5.51 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 6,773.64 | 27.60 | 432.36 | 1.76 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,589.26 | 53.66 | 739.74 | 3.43 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 18,988.94 | 582.64 | 1,212.06 | 37.19 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 17,812.06 | 1,302.95 | 1,136.94 | 83.17 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 15,656.64 | 670.58 | 999.36 | 42.80 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,852.78 | 252.97 | 884.22 | 16.15 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,503.10 | 157.24 | 861.90 | 10.04 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 14,951.64 | 101.88 | 954.36 | 6.50 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 20,099.08 | 317.51 | 1,282.92 | 20.27 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 20,576.60 | 954.64 | 1,313.40 | 60.93 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 19,896.04 | 2,292.19 | 1,269.96 | 146.31 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 20,394.24 | 1,943.46 | 1,301.76 | 124.05 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 18,113.80 | 870.72 | 1,156.20 | 55.58 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,156.24 | 426.59 | 839.76 | 27.23 |
| Total |  |  | 239,983.88 | 10,041.02 | 15,318.12 | 640.92 |

3C. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2057 (Last Year of Analysis)
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves
Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3C-3. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2058 Proposed Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles Traveling Within the Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time Within the Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay: All Vehicles (hr) | Average Delay: Per Vehicle (hriveh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 15,563 | 49,748 | 1,046 | 48 | 95 | 0.006 |
| 6:00 AM | 7,206 | 22,338 | 460 | 49 | 31 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 12,326 | 40,988 | 835 | 49 | 57 | 0.005 |
| 8:00 AM | 20,244 | 65,432 | 1,768 | 37 | 524 | 0.026 |
| 9:00 AM | 19,247 | 61,579 | 1,910 | 32 | 741 | 0.038 |
| 10:00 AM | 16,148 | 53,625 | 1,445 | 37 | 429 | 0.026 |
| 11:00 AM | 13,988 | 46,501 | 951 | 49 | 69 | 0.005 |
| 12:00 PM | 13,986 | 46,798 | 955 | 49 | 67 | 0.005 |
| 1:00 PM | 15,906 | 51,268 | 1,087 | 47 | 106 | 0.007 |
| 2:00 PM | 21,697 | 67,950 | 1,553 | 44 | 250 | 0.012 |
| 3:00 PM | 22,748 | 70,210 | 2,051 | 35 | 709 | 0.032 |
| 4:00 PM | 23,312 | 71,183 | 2,437 | 29 | 1,079 | 0.046 |
| 5:00 PM | 22,061 | 66,488 | 2,190 | 30 | 916 | 0.041 |
| 6:00 PM | 16,129 | 49,077 | 1,251 | 40 | 308 | 0.019 |
| 7:00 PM | 10,435 | 32,192 | 673 | 48 | 57 | 0.005 |
| Total | 250,996.00 | 795,377.31 | 20,613.33 | 41.55 | 5,437.82 | 0.02 |

Table 3C-4. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2058 Delays, Proposed Action

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | Car Delay (hrs) | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 14,629.22 | 89.16 | 933.78 | 5.69 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 6,773.64 | 29.11 | 432.36 | 1.86 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 11,586.44 | 53.39 | 739.56 | 3.41 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 19,029.36 | 492.49 | 1,214.64 | 31.44 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 18,092.18 | 696.40 | 1,154.82 | 44.45 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 15,179.12 | 401.95 | 968.88 | 25.66 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,148.72 | 64.36 | 839.28 | 4.11 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 13,146.84 | 63.18 | 839.16 | 4.03 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 14,951.64 | 99.59 | 954.36 | 6.36 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 20,395.18 | 235.00 | 1,301.82 | 15.00 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 21,383.12 | 675.88 | 1,364.88 | 43.14 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 21,913.28 | 1,014.77 | 1,398.72 | 64.77 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 20,737.34 | 860.31 | 1,323.66 | 54.91 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 15,161.26 | 285.07 | 967.74 | 18.20 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 9,808.90 | 53.05 | 626.10 | 3.39 |
| Total |  |  | 235,936.24 | 5,113.71 | 15,059.76 | 326.41 |

Table 4C-7. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary - Projected 2058 Conditions

| Time | Car Delay Savings (hrs) | Truck Delay Savings (hrs) | Car Savings Benefits (Daily) |  | Truck Savings Benefits (Daily) |  |  | otal Benefits, ow (270 Days) | Total Benefits, High (365 Days) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | (2.78) | (0.18) | \$ | (87.22) | \$ | (5.75) | \$ | $(25,101.87)$ | \$ | $(33,934.01)$ |
| 6:00 AM | (1.51) | (0.10) | \$ | (47.26) | \$ | (3.11) | \$ | $(13,600.42)$ | \$ | $(18,385.75)$ |
| 7:00 AM | 0.27 | 0.02 | \$ | 8.49 | \$ | 0.56 | \$ | 2,444.36 | \$ | 3,304.42 |
| 8:00 AM | 90.15 | 5.75 | \$ | 2,830.46 | \$ | 186.45 | \$ | 814,564.79 | \$ | 1,101,170.92 |
| 9:00 AM | 606.55 | 38.72 | \$ | 19,043.37 | \$ | 1,254.41 | \$ | 5,480,399.41 | \$ | 7,408,688.09 |
| 10:00 AM | 268.63 | 17.15 | \$ | 8,433.94 | \$ | 555.55 | \$ | 2,427,163.01 | \$ | 3,281,164.81 |
| 11:00 AM | 188.61 | 12.04 | \$ | 5,921.65 | \$ | 390.06 | \$ | 1,704,161.82 | \$ | 2,303,774.32 |
| 12:00 PM | 94.06 | 6.00 | \$ | 2,953.05 | \$ | 194.52 | \$ | 849,844.59 | \$ | 1,148,863.98 |
| 1:00 PM | 2.28 | 0.15 | \$ | 71.72 | \$ | 4.72 | \$ | 20,639.15 | \$ | 27,901.07 |
| 2:00 PM | 82.51 | 5.27 | \$ | 2,590.54 | \$ | 170.64 | \$ | 745,519.45 | \$ | 1,007,831.84 |
| 3:00 PM | 278.76 | 17.79 | \$ | 8,751.80 | \$ | 576.49 | \$ | 2,518,637.15 | \$ | 3,404,824.30 |
| 4:00 PM | 1,277.42 | 81.54 | \$ | 40,105.95 | \$ | 2,641.82 | \$ | 11,541,896.01 | \$ | 15,602,933.49 |
| 5:00 PM | 1,083.15 | 69.14 | \$ | 34,006.46 | \$ | 2,240.04 | \$ | 9,786,553.90 | \$ | 13,229,971.01 |
| 6:00 PM | 585.65 | 37.38 | \$ | 18,386.96 | \$ | 1,211.17 | \$ | 5,291,493.57 | \$ | 7,153,315.38 |
| 7:00 PM | 373.54 | 23.84 | \$ | 11,727.70 | \$ | 772.52 | \$ | 3,375,058.65 | \$ | 4,562,579.29 |
| Total | 4,927.30 | 314.51 | \$ | 154,697.60 | \$ | 10,190.08 | \$ | 44,519,673.57 | \$ | 60,184,003.16 |

Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3D-1. Daily Project Limits Network MOEs Summary, No-Action Scenario by Year

| Year | Total Traffic in Network (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Total Delay (hrs/day) | Average Delay (Hrs/Veh/Day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | 217,437 | 696,065.12 | 13,728.56 | 48.70 | 376.10 | 0.0025 |
| 2024 | 218,551 | 698,851.50 | 14,084.29 | 48.32 | 679.37 | 0.0035 |
| 2025 | 219,665 | 701,637.88 | 14,440.02 | 47.95 | 982.63 | 0.0045 |
| 2026 | 220,778 | 704,424.25 | 14,795.75 | 47.57 | 1,285.90 | 0.0055 |
| 2027 | 221,892 | 707,210.63 | 15,151.49 | 47.20 | 1,589.16 | 0.0065 |
| 2028 | 223,006 | 709,997.01 | 15,507.22 | 46.82 | 1,892.43 | 0.0075 |
| 2029 | 224,119 | 712,783.38 | 15,862.95 | 46.44 | 2,195.69 | 0.0086 |
| 2030 | 225,233 | 715,569.76 | 16,218.68 | 46.07 | 2,498.96 | 0.0096 |
| 2031 | 226,347 | 718,356.14 | 16,574.41 | 45.69 | 2,802.22 | 0.0106 |
| 2032 | 227,460 | 721,142.52 | 16,930.14 | 45.31 | 3,105.49 | 0.0116 |
| 2033 | 228,574 | 723,928.89 | 17,285.88 | 44.94 | 3,408.75 | 0.0126 |
| 2034 | 229,688 | 726,715.27 | 17,641.61 | 44.56 | 3,712.02 | 0.0136 |
| 2035 | 230,801 | 729,501.65 | 17,997.34 | 44.19 | 4,015.28 | 0.0146 |
| 2036 | 231,915 | 732,288.02 | 18,353.07 | 43.81 | 4,318.55 | 0.0156 |
| 2037 | 233,029 | 735,074.40 | 18,708.80 | 43.43 | 4,621.81 | 0.0166 |
| 2038 | 234,142 | 737,860.78 | 19,064.53 | 43.06 | 4,925.08 | 0.0176 |
| 2039 | 235,256 | 740,647.15 | 19,420.26 | 42.68 | 5,228.34 | 0.0186 |
| 2040 | 236,370 | 743,433.53 | 19,776.00 | 42.31 | 5,531.61 | 0.0196 |
| 2041 | 237,483 | 746,219.91 | 20,131.73 | 41.93 | 5,834.87 | 0.0206 |
| 2042 | 238,597 | 749,006.29 | 20,487.46 | 41.55 | 6,138.14 | 0.0216 |
| 2043 | 239,711 | 751,792.66 | 20,843.19 | 41.18 | 6,441.40 | 0.0226 |
| 2044 | 240,824 | 754,579.04 | 21,198.92 | 40.80 | 6,744.67 | 0.0237 |
| 2045 | 241,938 | 757,365.42 | 21,554.65 | 40.42 | 7,047.93 | 0.0247 |
| 2046 | 243,052 | 760,151.79 | 21,910.38 | 40.05 | 7,351.20 | 0.0257 |
| 2047 | 244,165 | 762,938.17 | 22,266.12 | 39.67 | 7,654.46 | 0.0267 |
| 2048 | 245,279 | 765,724.55 | 22,621.85 | 39.30 | 7,957.73 | 0.0277 |
| 2049 | 246,393 | 768,510.92 | 22,977.58 | 38.92 | 8,260.99 | 0.0287 |
| 2050 | 247,506 | 771,297.30 | 23,333.31 | 38.54 | 8,564.26 | 0.0297 |
| 2051 | 248,620 | 774,083.68 | 23,689.04 | 38.17 | 8,867.52 | 0.0307 |
| 2052 | 249,734 | 776,870.06 | 24,044.77 | 37.79 | 9,170.79 | 0.0317 |
| 2053 | 250,847 | 779,656.43 | 24,400.51 | 37.41 | 9,474.05 | 0.0327 |
| 2054 | 251,961 | 782,442.81 | 24,756.24 | 37.04 | 9,777.32 | 0.0337 |
| 2055 | 253,075 | 785,229.19 | 25,111.97 | 36.66 | 10,080.58 | 0.0347 |
| 2056 | 254,188 | 788,015.56 | 25,467.70 | 36.29 | 10,383.85 | 0.0357 |
| 2057 | 255,302 | 790,801.94 | 25,823.43 | 35.91 | 10,687.11 | 0.0367 |
| 2058 | 256,416 | 793,588.32 | 26,179.16 | 35.53 | 10,990.38 | 0.0378 |
| Average | 236,927 | 744,826.72 | 19,953.86 | 42.12 | 5,683.24 | 0.0201 |

Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3D-2. Daily Project Limits Network MOEs Summary, Preferred Action Scenario by Year

| Year | Total Traffic in Network (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Total Delay (hrs/day) | Average Delay <br> (Hrs/Veh/Day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | 217,869 | 696,711.29 | 14,243.99 | 48.45 | 936.07 | 0.0044 |
| 2024 | 218,843 | 699,613.24 | 14,431.33 | 48.25 | 1,068.48 | 0.0049 |
| 2025 | 219,817 | 702,515.18 | 14,618.66 | 48.05 | 1,200.88 | 0.0053 |
| 2026 | 220,792 | 705,417.12 | 14,805.99 | 47.84 | 1,333.29 | 0.0057 |
| 2027 | 221,766 | 708,319.06 | 14,993.33 | 47.64 | 1,465.69 | 0.0061 |
| 2028 | 222,740 | 711,221.00 | 15,180.66 | 47.44 | 1,598.09 | 0.0065 |
| 2029 | 223,715 | 714,122.94 | 15,367.99 | 47.23 | 1,730.50 | 0.0069 |
| 2030 | 224,689 | 717,024.89 | 15,555.33 | 47.03 | 1,862.90 | 0.0073 |
| 2031 | 225,663 | 719,926.83 | 15,742.66 | 46.83 | 1,995.31 | 0.0078 |
| 2032 | 226,638 | 722,828.77 | 15,929.99 | 46.63 | 2,127.71 | 0.0082 |
| 2033 | 227,612 | 725,730.71 | 16,117.33 | 46.42 | 2,260.12 | 0.0086 |
| 2034 | 228,586 | 728,632.65 | 16,304.66 | 46.22 | 2,392.52 | 0.0090 |
| 2035 | 229,561 | 731,534.59 | 16,492.00 | 46.02 | 2,524.92 | 0.0094 |
| 2036 | 230,535 | 734,436.54 | 16,679.33 | 45.81 | 2,657.33 | 0.0098 |
| 2037 | 231,509 | 737,338.48 | 16,866.66 | 45.61 | 2,789.73 | 0.0102 |
| 2038 | 232,484 | 740,240.42 | 17,054.00 | 45.41 | 2,922.14 | 0.0106 |
| 2039 | 233,458 | 743,142.36 | 17,241.33 | 45.21 | 3,054.54 | 0.0111 |
| 2040 | 234,432 | 746,044.30 | 17,428.66 | 45.00 | 3,186.94 | 0.0115 |
| 2041 | 235,407 | 748,946.24 | 17,616.00 | 44.80 | 3,319.35 | 0.0119 |
| 2042 | 236,381 | 751,848.19 | 17,803.33 | 44.60 | 3,451.75 | 0.0123 |
| 2043 | 237,355 | 754,750.13 | 17,990.66 | 44.39 | 3,584.16 | 0.0127 |
| 2044 | 238,330 | 757,652.07 | 18,178.00 | 44.19 | 3,716.56 | 0.0131 |
| 2045 | 239,304 | 760,554.01 | 18,365.33 | 43.99 | 3,848.97 | 0.0135 |
| 2046 | 240,278 | 763,455.95 | 18,552.66 | 43.79 | 3,981.37 | 0.0139 |
| 2047 | 241,253 | 766,357.89 | 18,740.00 | 43.58 | 4,113.77 | 0.0144 |
| 2048 | 242,227 | 769,259.84 | 18,927.33 | 43.38 | 4,246.18 | 0.0148 |
| 2049 | 243,201 | 772,161.78 | 19,114.66 | 43.18 | 4,378.58 | 0.0152 |
| 2050 | 244,176 | 775,063.72 | 19,302.00 | 42.97 | 4,510.99 | 0.0156 |
| 2051 | 245,150 | 777,965.66 | 19,489.33 | 42.77 | 4,643.39 | 0.0160 |
| 2052 | 246,124 | 780,867.60 | 19,676.67 | 42.57 | 4,775.80 | 0.0164 |
| 2053 | 247,099 | 783,769.54 | 19,864.00 | 42.36 | 4,908.20 | 0.0168 |
| 2054 | 248,073 | 786,671.49 | 20,051.33 | 42.16 | 5,040.60 | 0.0173 |
| 2055 | 249,047 | 789,573.43 | 20,238.67 | 41.96 | 5,173.01 | 0.0177 |
| 2056 | 250,022 | 792,475.37 | 20,426.00 | 41.76 | 5,305.41 | 0.0181 |
| 2057 | 250,996 | 795,377.31 | 20,613.33 | 41.55 | 5,437.82 | 0.0185 |
| 2058 | 251,970 | 798,279.25 | 20,800.67 | 41.35 | 5,570.22 | 0.0189 |
| Average | 234,920 | 747,495.27 | 17,522.33 | 44.90 | 3,253.15 | 0.0117 |

Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3D-3. Tavel Time Savings Benefits Summary, Preferred Action Scenario, All Years

| Year | Car Delay Savings (Hrs/Day) | Truck Delay Savings (Hrs/Day) | Car Savings Benefits (\$/Day) |  | Truck Savings Benefits (\$/Day) |  | Annual Benefits, Low (270 Days) |  | Annual Benefits, High (365 Days) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2023$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2027 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2028 | 437.28 | 27.91 | \$ | 13,728.96 | \$ | 904.34 | \$ | 3,950,990.33 | \$ | 5,341,153.59 |
| 2029 | 597.89 | 38.16 | \$ | 18,771.44 | \$ | 1,236.49 | \$ | 5,402,142.39 | \$ | 7,302,896.19 |
| 2030 | 758.50 | 48.42 | \$ | 23,813.93 | \$ | 1,568.65 | \$ | 6,853,294.45 | \$ | 9,264,638.80 |
| 2031 | 919.11 | 58.67 | \$ | 28,856.41 | \$ | 1,900.80 | \$ | 8,304,446.51 | \$ | 11,226,381.40 |
| 2032 | 1,079.72 | 68.92 | \$ | 33,898.89 | \$ | 2,232.95 | \$ | 9,755,598.58 | \$ | 13,188,124.00 |
| 2033 | 1,240.33 | 79.17 | \$ | 38,941.38 | \$ | 2,565.11 | \$ | 11,206,750.64 | \$ | 15,149,866.61 |
| 2034 | 1,400.94 | 89.42 | \$ | 43,983.86 | \$ | 2,897.26 | \$ | 12,657,902.70 | \$ | 17,111,609.21 |
| 2035 | 1,561.55 | 99.67 | \$ | 49,026.35 | \$ | 3,229.41 | \$ | 14,109,054.76 | \$ | 19,073,351.81 |
| 2036 | 1,722.16 | 109.92 | \$ | 54,068.83 | \$ | 3,561.57 | \$ | 15,560,206.83 | \$ | 21,035,094.41 |
| 2037 | 1,882.77 | 120.18 | \$ | 59,111.31 | \$ | 3,893.72 | \$ | 17,011,358.89 | \$ | 22,996,837.02 |
| 2038 | 2,043.37 | 130.43 | \$ | 64,153.80 | \$ | 4,225.87 | \$ | 18,462,510.95 | \$ | 24,958,579.62 |
| 2039 | 2,203.98 | 140.68 | \$ | 69,196.28 | \$ | 4,558.03 | \$ | 19,913,663.01 | \$ | 26,920,322.22 |
| 2040 | 2,364.59 | 150.93 | \$ | 74,238.76 | \$ | 4,890.18 | \$ | 21,364,815.08 | \$ | 28,882,064.83 |
| 2041 | 2,525.20 | 161.18 | \$ | 79,281.25 | \$ | 5,222.33 | \$ | 22,815,967.14 | \$ | 30,843,807.43 |
| 2042 | 2,685.81 | 171.43 | \$ | 84,323.73 | \$ | 5,554.49 | \$ | 24,267,119.20 | \$ | 32,805,550.03 |
| 2043 | 2,846.42 | 181.69 | \$ | 89,366.22 | \$ | 5,886.64 | \$ | 25,718,271.26 | \$ | 34,767,292.63 |
| 2044 | 3,007.03 | 191.94 | \$ | 94,408.70 | \$ | 6,218.79 | \$ | 27,169,423.33 | \$ | 36,729,035.24 |
| 2045 | 3,167.64 | 202.19 | \$ | 99,451.18 | \$ | 6,550.95 | \$ | 28,620,575.39 | \$ | 38,690,777.84 |
| 2046 | 3,328.25 | 212.44 | \$ | 104,493.67 | \$ | 6,883.10 | \$ | 30,071,727.45 | \$ | 40,652,520.44 |
| 2047 | 3,488.86 | 222.69 | \$ | 109,536.15 | \$ | 7,215.25 | \$ | 31,522,879.51 | \$ | 42,614,263.05 |
| 2048 | 3,649.47 | 232.94 | \$ | 114,578.64 | \$ | 7,547.41 | \$ | 32,974,031.58 | \$ | 44,576,005.65 |
| 2049 | 3,810.08 | 243.20 | \$ | 119,621.12 | \$ | 7,879.56 | \$ | 34,425,183.64 | \$ | 46,537,748.25 |
| 2050 | 3,970.68 | 253.45 | \$ | 124,663.60 | \$ | 8,211.71 | \$ | 35,876,335.70 | \$ | 48,499,490.85 |
| 2051 | 4,131.29 | 263.70 | \$ | 129,706.09 | \$ | 8,543.87 | \$ | 37,327,487.76 | \$ | 50,461,233.46 |
| 2052 | 4,291.90 | 273.95 | \$ | 134,748.57 | \$ | 8,876.02 | \$ | 38,778,639.83 | \$ | 52,422,976.06 |
| 2053 | 4,452.51 | 284.20 | \$ | 139,791.06 | \$ | 9,208.17 | \$ | 40,229,791.89 | \$ | 54,384,718.66 |
| 2054 | 4,613.12 | 294.45 | \$ | 144,833.54 | \$ | 9,540.33 | \$ | 41,680,943.95 | \$ | 56,346,461.27 |
| 2055 | 4,773.73 | 304.71 | \$ | 149,876.02 | \$ | 9,872.48 | \$ | 43,132,096.01 | \$ | 58,308,203.87 |
| 2056 | 4,934.34 | 314.96 | \$ | 154,918.51 | \$ | 10,204.63 | \$ | 44,583,248.08 | \$ | 60,269,946.47 |
| 2057 | 5,094.95 | 325.21 | \$ | 159,960.99 | \$ | 10,536.79 | \$ | 46,034,400.14 | \$ | 62,231,689.08 |
| 2058 | 5,094.95 | 325.21 | \$ | 159,960.99 | \$ | 10,536.79 | \$ | 46,034,400.14 | \$ | 62,231,689.08 |
| Total |  |  | \$ | 2,765,310.24 | \$ | 182,153.68 | \$ | 795,815,257.12 | \$ | 1,075,824,329.07 |

This project will reduce travel times and distance by providing more efficient access to the freeway for the Quonset Development Corporation campus and adjacent community. The challenge of congestion and delay becomes more significant in future years as the Quonset Development Corporation continues to expand the campus.
Traffic analyses for this application studied the network as a year-round trip generator and destination because it is home to commercial developments that are busy every day. The proposed improvements in this project were conceived to allow traffic to flow through the network more easily through the construction of missing ramps accessing Route 403 WB. The missing ramps provide more efficient connectivity to Route 403 removing commuter and commercial traffic from adjacent residential roads. The estimated shift in vehicles off of Devil's Foot Road and on to Route 403 is 2,500 vehicles per day. This is a mix is commuters working in the area and commercial vehicles that typically travel between sections of the QDC campus
This project will generate an estimated $\$ 13.8$ million in travel time savings benefits in the first year of operations (2028). Over 30 years, travel time savings are total $\$ 270$ million.
Assumptions, methodology, a description of the baseline scenario, source of data, and key input parameters are documented in Tabs 3B, 3C, and 3D and in Appendix A-2.

Table 3-1. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary, Opening Year (2028)

| Scenario | Total Traffic in Network (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Average Delay (Hrs/Veh/Day) | Travel Time Savings Benefit (\$/Day) |  | Annual Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2028 No-Build | 68,660.00 | 87,630.58 | 3,627.45 | 31.04 | 0.03 | \$ | - |  |
| 2028 Preferred |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Action | 69,231.00 | 90,511.74 | 2,552.50 | 35.77 | 0.01 | \$ | 37,841.57 | 13,812,174.08 |

Table 3-2. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary, Design Year (2058)

| Scenario | Total Traffic in <br> Network <br> (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles <br> Traveled <br> (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours <br> Traveled <br> (VHT/Day) | Average Speed <br> (MPH) | Average Delay <br> (Hrs/Veh/Day) | Travel Time <br> Savings Benefit <br> (\$/Day) | Annual Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Table 3-3. Travel Time Savings Change Rates, 2028-2058

| Scenario | Total Traffic in <br> Network <br> (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles <br> Traveled <br> (VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours <br> Traveled <br> (VHT/Day) | Average Speed <br> (MPH) | Average Delay <br> (Hrs/Veh/Day) | Travel Time <br> Savings Benefit <br> ( $\$ /$ Day) | Annual Benefit (\$/Year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Table 3.4 Travel Time Savings Summary

| Scenario | Total Traffic in Network (Avg. Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled (Avg. VMT/Day) | Vehicle Hours Traveled (Avg. VHT/Day) | Average Speed (MPH) | Total Delay (Avg. Hours/Day) |  | Travel Time avings Benefit (Avg. \$/Year) |  | 30-Year Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No-Build, 2028-2058 | 74,032.55 | 95,447.03 | 3,886.60 | 31.18 | 1,511.84 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preferred Action, } \\ & 2028-2058 \end{aligned}$ | 75,274.50 | 99,149.71 | 2,806.49 | 35.82 | 488.24 | \$ | 9,018,412.13 | \$ | 270,552,364.00 |
| Total | 1,241.95 | 3,702.68 | $(1,080.11)$ | 4.64 | $(1,023.60)$ | \$ | 9,018,412.13 | \$ | 270,552,364.00 |


| Assumptions | The analysis assumes that traffic patterns remain consistent through this study area, however, traffic volumes will continue to grow at a rate of approximately $0.25 \%$ annually over the 30 -year planning horizon for this analysis. Additionally, known development in the study area anticipated before Opening Year (2028) is also included as part of traffic growth. Quonset Business Park is projected to generate 5,000 new jobs upon full buildout. <br> Growth in delay times and volumes are assumed to be linear between the opening year and design year. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Methodology | VISSIM microscopic traffic modelling software was used to project travel times through the study area for five different scenarios (2023 Existing, 2028 No-Build, 2028 Preferred Action - Opening Year, 2058 No-Build, and 2058 Preferred Action). Each scenarios was run for a 15-hour period from 5:00am-8:00pm for 10 iterations (random seeds) each. Model outputs included vehicles in the network, vehicle miles traveled, vehicle hours traveled, average speed, and average delay. <br> In this tab (3B), 2028 travel time impacts are profiled for existing, no-action, and preferred action scenarios. In Tab 3C, no-action and preferred action scenarios are profiled for the design year (2058). <br> In Tab 3D, those inputs were then extended to annual impacts. 2028 impacts are calculated as a baseline benefits year, but benefits are not captured in that year as the project is expected to complete in late 2028. |
| Baseline | The Existing Condtion model represents a 2023 condition. |
| Sources of Data | Historic and 2022 turning movement counts and traffic volume data adjusted to represent a 2023 Existing Condition. |
| Key Input Parameters | The model outputs were monetized using the value of travel time savings and assumed vehicle occupancy rates provided by the Benefit Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs (March 2022). |


| Time | Total Vehicles in Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay (hr) | Average Delay (hr/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 3,192 | 5,787 | 132 | 44 | 9 | 0.003 |
| 6:00 AM | 3,919 | 5,935 | 153 | 39 | 15 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 4,185 | 6,172 | 161 | 38 | 18 | 0.004 |
| 8:00 AM | 4,470 | 5,957 | 172 | 35 | 25 | 0.006 |
| 9:00 AM | 3,004 | 3,831 | 116 | 33 | 17 | 0.006 |
| 10:00 AM | 2,912 | 3,412 | 109 | 31 | 17 | 0.006 |
| 11:00 AM | 3,509 | 4,021 | 134 | 30 | 24 | 0.007 |
| 12:00 PM | 5,190 | 6,214 | 209 | 30 | 42 | 0.008 |
| 1:00 PM | 4,893 | 5,954 | 193 | 31 | 35 | 0.007 |
| 2:00 PM | 7,193 | 9,418 | 298 | 32 | 66 | 0.009 |
| 3:00 PM | 7,394 | 9,142 | 329 | 28 | 98 | 0.013 |
| 4:00 PM | 5,617 | 6,663 | 220 | 30 | 49 | 0.009 |
| 5:00 PM | 4,957 | 5,751 | 187 | 31 | 35 | 0.007 |
| 6:00 PM | 3,408 | 3,823 | 127 | 30 | 22 | 0.006 |
| 7:00 PM | 2,392 | 2,714 | 87 | 31 | 12 | 0.005 |
| Total | 66,235.00 | 84,792.24 | 2,626.55 | 32.86 | 484.97 | 0.01 |

3B. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2027 (Opening Year)
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps

Table 3B-2. Delays by Vehicle Type, Existing 2023 Conditions

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | Car Delay (hrs) | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,000 | 8.48 | 192 | 0.54 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,684 | 14.06 | 235 | 0.90 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,934 | 16.70 | 251 | 1.07 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,202 | 23.86 | 268 | 1.52 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,824 | 15.59 | 180 | 0.99 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,737 | 15.88 | 175 | 1.01 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,298 | 22.14 | 211 | 1.41 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,879 | 39.87 | 311 | 2.54 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,599 | 33.35 | 294 | 2.13 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 6,761 | 62.21 | 432 | 3.97 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 6,950 | 92.77 | 444 | 5.92 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,280 | 45.76 | 337 | 2.92 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,660 | 33.30 | 297 | 2.13 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,204 | 20.54 | 204 | 1.31 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,248 | 11.59 | 144 | 0.74 |
| Total |  |  | 62,260.90 | 456.09 | 3,974.10 | 29.11 |

Table 3B-3. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary, Projected 2028 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay (hr) | Average Delay (hr/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 3,329 | 6,006 | 138 | 44 | 10 | 0.003 |
| 6:00 AM | 4,189 | 6,327 | 163 | 39 | 16 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 4,440 | 6,544 | 172 | 38 | 19 | 0.004 |
| 8:00 AM | 4,731 | 6,326 | 184 | 34 | 27 | 0.006 |
| 9:00 AM | 3,207 | 4,104 | 124 | 33 | 18 | 0.006 |
| 10:00 AM | 3,002 | 3,548 | 113 | 31 | 17 | 0.006 |
| 11:00 AM | 3,598 | 4,156 | 139 | 30 | 24 | 0.007 |
| 12:00 PM | 5,313 | 6,377 | 216 | 29 | 45 | 0.008 |
| 1:00 PM | 5,019 | 6,112 | 199 | 31 | 37 | 0.007 |
| 2:00 PM | 7,503 | 9,872 | 315 | 31 | 72 | 0.010 |
| 3:00 PM | 7,790 | 9,711 | 396 | 25 | 154 | 0.020 |
| 4:00 PM | 5,735 | 6,768 | 356 | 24 | 185 | 0.039 |
| 5:00 PM | 4,915 | 5,640 | 392 | 26 | 249 | 0.069 |
| 6:00 PM | 3,405 | 3,604 | 373 | 25 | 277 | 0.100 |
| 7:00 PM | 2,484 | 2,537 | 347 | 26 | 280 | 0.112 |
| Total | 68,660.00 | 87,630.58 | 3,627.45 | 31.04 | 1,430.46 | 0.03 |

3B. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2027 (Opening Year)
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps

Table 3B-4. Delays by Vehicle Type, Projected 2028 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Car Delay } \\ \text { (hrs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,129 | 8.94 | 200 | 0.57 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,938 | 15.29 | 251 | 0.98 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,174 | 17.96 | 266 | 1.15 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,447 | 25.50 | 284 | 1.63 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,015 | 16.85 | 192 | 1.08 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,822 | 16.39 | 180 | 1.05 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,382 | 22.86 | 216 | 1.46 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,994 | 42.24 | 319 | 2.70 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,718 | 34.74 | 301 | 2.22 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 7,053 | 68.06 | 450 | 4.34 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 7,323 | 147.29 | 467 | 9.40 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,391 | 211.68 | 344 | 13.51 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,620 | 319.20 | 295 | 20.37 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,201 | 318.84 | 204 | 20.35 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,335 | 262.08 | 149 | 16.73 |
| Total |  |  | 64,540.40 | 1,527.91 | 4,119.60 | 97.53 |

Table 3B-5. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2028 (Opening Year) Proposed Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles Traveling Within the Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time Within the Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay: All Vehicles (hr) | Average Delay: Per Vehicle (hr/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 3,332 | 6,011 | 133 | 45 | 11 | 0.003 |
| 6:00 AM | 4,193 | 6,366 | 154 | 41 | 14 | 0.003 |
| 7:00 AM | 4,398 | 6,538 | 161 | 41 | 18 | 0.004 |
| 8:00 AM | 4,708 | 6,355 | 171 | 37 | 25 | 0.005 |
| 9:00 AM | 3,188 | 4,136 | 116 | 36 | 17 | 0.005 |
| 10:00 AM | 2,990 | 3,559 | 103 | 35 | 15 | 0.005 |
| 11:00 AM | 3,593 | 4,190 | 126 | 33 | 20 | 0.006 |
| 12:00 PM | 5,288 | 6,399 | 195 | 33 | 37 | 0.007 |
| 1:00 PM | 5,016 | 6,192 | 182 | 34 | 30 | 0.006 |
| 2:00 PM | 7,473 | 10,009 | 292 | 34 | 60 | 0.008 |
| 3:00 PM | 7,864 | 10,086 | 305 | 33 | 67 | 0.009 |
| 4:00 PM | 5,880 | 7,268 | 214 | 34 | 41 | 0.007 |
| 5:00 PM | 5,259 | 6,349 | 189 | 34 | 35 | 0.007 |
| 6:00 PM | 3,570 | 4,120 | 126 | 33 | 21 | 0.006 |
| 7:00 PM | 2,479 | 2,933 | 85 | 34 | 11 | 0.005 |
| Total | 69,231.00 | 90,511.74 | 2,552.50 | 35.77 | 422.51 | 0.01 |

Table 3B-6. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2028 (Opening Year) Proposed Action Delays

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Car Delay } \\ \text { (hrs) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,132 | 9.89 | 200 | 0.63 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,941 | 13.50 | 252 | 0.86 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,134 | 17.18 | 264 | 1.10 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,426 | 23.52 | 282 | 1.50 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,997 | 15.82 | 191 | 1.01 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,811 | 13.69 | 179 | 0.87 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,377 | 18.67 | 216 | 1.19 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,971 | 34.41 | 317 | 2.20 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,715 | 28.54 | 301 | 1.82 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 7,025 | 56.63 | 448 | 3.61 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 7,392 | 63.18 | 472 | 4.03 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,527 | 38.54 | 353 | 2.46 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,943 | 32.97 | 316 | 2.10 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,356 | 19.99 | 214 | 1.28 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,330 | 10.58 | 149 | 0.68 |
| 65,077.14 |  |  |  | 397.10 | 4,153.86 | 25.35 |

Table 3B-7. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary - Projected 2028 Conditions

| Time | Car Delay Savings (hrs) | Truck Delay Savings (hrs) |  | Savings fits (Daily) |  | Savings ts (Daily) |  | otal Benefits, ow (270 Days) | Total Benefits, High (365 Days) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | (0.96) | (0.06) | \$ | (30.03) | \$ | (1.98) | \$ | $(8,641.15)$ | \$ | $(11,681.56)$ |
| 6:00 AM | 1.79 | 0.11 | \$ | 56.26 | \$ | 3.71 | \$ | 16,190.20 | \$ | 21,886.76 |
| 7:00 AM | 0.78 | 0.05 | \$ | 24.44 | \$ | 1.61 | \$ | 7,034.06 | \$ | 9,509.00 |
| 8:00 AM | 1.98 | 0.13 | \$ | 62.17 | \$ | 4.10 | \$ | 17,891.84 | \$ | 24,187.11 |
| 9:00 AM | 1.02 | 0.07 | \$ | 32.14 | \$ | 2.12 | \$ | 9,250.40 | \$ | 12,505.17 |
| 10:00 AM | 2.70 | 0.17 | \$ | 84.91 | \$ | 5.59 | \$ | 24,434.70 | \$ | 33,032.10 |
| 11:00 AM | 4.19 | 0.27 | \$ | 131.48 | \$ | 8.66 | \$ | 37,838.65 | \$ | 51,152.25 |
| 12:00 PM | 7.83 | 0.50 | \$ | 245.97 | \$ | 16.20 | \$ | 70,785.72 | \$ | 95,691.80 |
| 1:00 PM | 6.20 | 0.40 | \$ | 194.74 | \$ | 12.83 | \$ | 56,043.34 | \$ | 75,762.30 |
| 2:00 PM | 11.43 | 0.73 | \$ | 358.97 | \$ | 23.65 | \$ | 103,304.87 | \$ | 139,652.88 |
| 3:00 PM | 84.10 | 5.37 | \$ | 2,640.51 | \$ | 173.93 | \$ | 759,900.86 | \$ | 1,027,273.39 |
| 4:00 PM | 173.15 | 11.05 | \$ | 5,436.09 | \$ | 358.08 | \$ | 1,564,424.82 | \$ | 2,114,870.59 |
| 5:00 PM | 286.23 | 18.27 | \$ | 8,986.40 | \$ | 591.94 | \$ | 2,586,151.67 | \$ | 3,496,093.93 |
| 6:00 PM | 298.86 | 19.08 | \$ | 9,382.93 | \$ | 618.06 | \$ | 2,700,267.26 | \$ | 3,650,361.30 |
| 7:00 PM | 251.50 | 16.05 | \$ | 7,895.99 | \$ | 520.12 | \$ | 2,272,347.42 | \$ | 3,071,877.06 |
| Total |  |  | \$ | 35,502.96 | \$ | 2,338.61 | \$ | 10,217,224.66 | \$ | 13,812,174.08 |

3C. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2057 (Last Year of Analysis
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps
Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3C-1. Project Limit Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2058 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay (hr) | Average Delay (hr/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 3,894 | 6,914 | 162 | 43 | 14 | 0.004 |
| 6:00 AM | 5,288 | 7,927 | 208 | 38 | 25 | 0.005 |
| 7:00 AM | 5,476 | 8,076 | 213 | 38 | 26 | 0.005 |
| 8:00 AM | 5,763 | 7,787 | 223 | 35 | 33 | 0.006 |
| 9:00 AM | 4,009 | 5,220 | 155 | 34 | 22 | 0.006 |
| 10:00 AM | 3,352 | 4,014 | 129 | 31 | 22 | 0.007 |
| 11:00 AM | 3,974 | 4,655 | 157 | 30 | 30 | 0.008 |
| 12:00 PM | 5,677 | 6,835 | 267 | 27 | 85 | 0.016 |
| 1:00 PM | 5,288 | 6,416 | 306 | 28 | 139 | 0.037 |
| 2:00 PM | 8,374 | 11,024 | 480 | 29 | 214 | 0.040 |
| 3:00 PM | 9,353 | 12,036 | 557 | 26 | 266 | 0.044 |
| 4:00 PM | 7,064 | 8,743 | 442 | 27 | 226 | 0.052 |
| 5:00 PM | 6,217 | 7,607 | 375 | 29 | 185 | 0.049 |
| 6:00 PM | 4,027 | 4,545 | 287 | 28 | 166 | 0.060 |
| 7:00 PM | 2,843 | 3,201 | 242 | 29 | 158 | 0.064 |
| Total | 80,599.00 | 105,000.46 | 4,203.34 | 31.35 | 1,611.31 | 0.03 |

Table 3C-2. Delays by Vehicle Type, Projected 2058 No-Action Conditions

| Time | Car Traffic Share | Truck Traffic Share | Car Traffic | Car Delay (hrs) | Truck Traffic | Truck Delay (hrs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,660.36 | 13.21 | 233.64 | 0.84 |
| 6:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,970.72 | 23.90 | 317.28 | 1.53 |
| 7:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,147.44 | 24.02 | 328.56 | 1.53 |
| 8:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,417.22 | 31.34 | 345.78 | 2.00 |
| 9:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,768.46 | 21.13 | 240.54 | 1.35 |
| 10:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,150.88 | 20.50 | 201.12 | 1.31 |
| 11:00 AM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,735.56 | 28.60 | 238.44 | 1.83 |
| 12:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,336.38 | 87.44 | 340.62 | 5.58 |
| 1:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 4,970.72 | 186.28 | 317.28 | 11.89 |
| 2:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 7,871.56 | 312.96 | 502.44 | 19.98 |
| 3:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 8,791.82 | 383.13 | 561.18 | 24.45 |
| 4:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 6,640.16 | 345.75 | 423.84 | 22.07 |
| 5:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 5,843.98 | 288.85 | 373.02 | 18.44 |
| 6:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 3,785.38 | 227.95 | 241.62 | 14.55 |
| 7:00 PM | 94\% | 6\% | 2,672.42 | 170.92 | 170.58 | 10.91 |
|  |  |  | 75,763.06 | 2,165.99 | 4,835.94 | 138.25 |

3C. Travel Time Savings Backup, 2057 (Last Year of Analysis
Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps
Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3C-3. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2058 Proposed Action Conditions

| Time | Total Vehicles Traveling Within the Network | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) | VHT: Total Time Within the Network (hr) | Average Speed (mph) | Total Delay: All Vehicles (hr) | Average Delay: Per Vehicle (hriveh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 3,892 | 6,908 | 154 | 45 | 10 | 0.003 |
| 6:00 AM | 5,282 | 7,964 | 193 | 41 | 19 | 0.004 |
| 7:00 AM | 5,457 | 8,091 | 199 | 41 | 22 | 0.004 |
| 8:00 AM | 5,749 | 7,840 | 209 | 38 | 30 | 0.005 |
| 9:00 AM | 3,997 | 5,227 | 143 | 37 | 19 | 0.005 |
| 10:00 AM | 3,338 | 4,029 | 115 | 35 | 16 | 0.005 |
| 11:00 AM | 3,959 | 4,680 | 140 | 33 | 22 | 0.006 |
| 12:00 PM | 5,796 | 7,060 | 217 | 32 | 43 | 0.007 |
| 1:00 PM | 5,493 | 6,806 | 200 | 34 | 34 | 0.006 |
| 2:00 PM | 8,813 | 11,911 | 350 | 34 | 78 | 0.009 |
| 3:00 PM | 9,901 | 13,109 | 435 | 30 | 136 | 0.014 |
| 4:00 PM | 7,404 | 9,374 | 283 | 33 | 64 | 0.009 |
| 5:00 PM | 6,536 | 8,283 | 235 | 35 | 40 | 0.006 |
| 6:00 PM | 4,172 | 4,971 | 146 | 34 | 22 | 0.005 |
| 7:00 PM | 2,872 | 3,454 | 98 | 35 | 12 | 0.004 |
| Total | 82,661.00 | 109,707.22 | 3,116.91 | 35.87 | 568.57 | 0.01 |

Table 3C-4. Project Limits Network MOEs Summary - Projected 2058 Delays, Proposed Action


Table 4C-7. Travel Time Savings Benefits Summary - Projected 2058 Conditions

| Time | Car Delay Savings (hrs) | Truck Delay Savings (hrs) | Car Savings Benefits (Daily) |  | Truck Savings Benefits (Daily) |  | Total Benefits, Low (270 Days) |  | Total Benefits, High (365 Days) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:00 AM | 3.43 | 0.22 | \$ | 107.74 | \$ | 7.10 | \$ | 31,004.89 | \$ | 41,914.02 |
| 6:00 AM | 6.07 | 0.39 | \$ | 190.51 | \$ | 12.55 | \$ | 54,825.91 | \$ | 74,116.51 |
| 7:00 AM | 3.13 | 0.20 | \$ | 98.35 | \$ | 6.48 | \$ | 28,303.96 | \$ | 38,262.76 |
| 8:00 AM | 3.11 | 0.20 | \$ | 97.59 | \$ | 6.43 | \$ | 28,085.55 | \$ | 37,967.50 |
| 9:00 AM | 2.83 | 0.18 | \$ | 88.82 | \$ | 5.85 | \$ | 25,560.55 | \$ | 34,554.07 |
| 10:00 AM | 5.72 | 0.37 | \$ | 179.74 | \$ | 11.84 | \$ | 51,725.24 | \$ | 69,924.86 |
| 11:00 AM | 7.93 | 0.51 | \$ | 249.08 | \$ | 16.41 | \$ | 71,680.19 | \$ | 96,901.00 |
| 12:00 PM | 46.84 | 2.99 | \$ | 1,470.53 | \$ | 96.86 | \$ | 423,195.46 | \$ | 572,097.57 |
| 1:00 PM | 154.74 | 9.88 | \$ | 4,858.15 | \$ | 320.01 | \$ | 1,398,103.28 | \$ | 1,890,028.51 |
| 2:00 PM | 239.39 | 15.28 | \$ | 7,515.94 | \$ | 495.08 | \$ | 2,162,975.91 | \$ | 2,924,022.99 |
| 3:00 PM | 255.47 | 16.31 | \$ | 8,020.67 | \$ | 528.33 | \$ | 2,308,228.83 | \$ | 3,120,383.42 |
| 4:00 PM | 285.39 | 18.22 | \$ | 8,960.19 | \$ | 590.22 | \$ | 2,578,610.93 | \$ | 3,485,899.96 |
| 5:00 PM | 250.92 | 16.02 | \$ | 7,877.78 | \$ | 518.92 | \$ | 2,267,108.70 | \$ | 3,064,795.10 |
| 6:00 PM | 207.17 | 13.22 | \$ | 6,504.26 | \$ | 428.44 | \$ | 1,871,830.86 | \$ | 2,530,438.01 |
| 7:00 PM | 159.68 | 10.19 | \$ | 5,013.39 | \$ | 330.24 | \$ | 1,442,779.20 | \$ | 1,950,423.74 |
| Total | 1,631.82 | 104.16 | \$ | 51,232.73 | \$ | 3,374.75 | \$ | 14,744,019.47 | \$ | 19,931,730.03 |

Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3D-1. Daily Project Limits Network MOEs Summary, No-Action Scenario by Year

| Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2023 | Total Traffic in Network <br> (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled <br> (VMT/Day) |
| 2024 | 67,068 | $85,314.60$ |

Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3D-2. Daily Project Limits Network MOEs Summary, Preferred Action Scenario by Year

| Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2023 | Total Traffic in Network <br> (Veh/Day) | Vehicle Miles Traveled <br> (VMT/Day) |
| 2024 | 67,440 | $87,952.34$ |

Date: August 21, 2023

Table 3D-3. Tavel Time Savings Benefits Summary, Preferred Action Scenario, All Years


|  | Apart from the requested MPDG funding, no funding for this project requires satisfying any unique conditions. If RIDOT were to receive an award that differs from the request in this <br> application, the Department would make every effort to identify alternative sources of funding to make up the gap and ensure that award execution and obligation would proceed on <br> the timeline outlined in this application. If this project does not receive any grant funding, it will revert to its original limited scope and extended schedule. <br> These calculations also assume that the project will begin construction in 2025. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Methodology | RIDOT and VHB developed a cost estimate based on the items identified in inspection reports, field reviews, and additional prioritization of preventative work to reduce further <br> maintenance costs. Quantity-level estimates were developed and revised as shown below. |
| Baseline | N/A |
| Sources of Data | RIDOT WAUP data; bridge inspection data; historical average soft costs |
| Key Input Parameters | $2 \%$ future inflation rate; RIDOT blue book cost escalation policies |

Table 10-1. Future Eligible Project Costs by Phase and Task

| Phase | Task | Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) | Expected Cost (\$) | Contingency <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Total <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design | Design | 2022 | $\$$ | $630,000.00$ | $\$$ | $70,000.00$ | $\$$ | $700,000.00$ |
| Design | Design and <br> Construction <br> Initiation | 2023 | $\$$ | $4,851,000.00$ | $\$$ | $539,000.00$ | $\$$ | $5,390,000.00$ |
| Design | Construction Phase <br> 1 | 2024 | $\$$ | $43,650,000.00$ | $\$$ | $4,850,000.00$ | $\$ 48,500,000.00$ |  |
| Construction | Construction Phase <br> 2 | 2025 | $\$$ | $16,380,000.00$ | $\$$ | $1,820,000.00$ | $\$$ | $18,200,000.00$ |
| Construction | Construction Phase <br> 3 | 2026 | $\$$ | $6,660,000.00$ | $\$$ | $740,000.00$ | $\$$ | $7,400,000.00$ |
| Construction | Project Closeout | 2027 | $\$$ | $9,729,000.00$ | $\$$ | $1,081,000.00$ | $\$$ | $10,810,000.00$ |
| Total |  |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{8 1 , 9 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |


|  | Apart from the requested MPDG funding, no funding for this project requires satisfying any unique conditions. If RIDOT were to receive an award that differs from the request in this <br> application, the Department would make every effort to identify alternative sources of funding to make up the gap and ensure that award execution and obligation would proceed on <br> the timeline outlined in this application. If this project does not receive any grant funding, it will revert to its original limited scope and extended schedule. <br> These calculations also assume that the project will begin construction in 2024. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Methodology | RIDOT and VHB developed a cost estimate based on the items identified in inspection reports, field reviews, and additional prioritization of preventative work to reduce further <br> maintenance costs. Quantity-level estimates were developed and revised as shown below. |
| Baseline | N/A |
| Sources of Data | RIDOT WAUP data; bridge inspection data; historical average soft costs |
| Key Input Parameters | 2\% future inflation rate; RIDOT blue book cost escalation policies |

Table 10-1. Future Eligible Project Costs by Phase and Task

| Phase | Task | Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) | Expected Cost (\$) | Contingency <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Total <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design | Design | 2022 | $\$$ | $432,000.00$ | $\$$ | $48,000.00$ | $\$$ | $480,000.00$ |
| Design | Design and <br> Construction <br> Initiation | 2023 | $\$$ | $1,971,000.00$ | $\$$ | $219,000.00$ | $\$$ | $2,190,000.00$ |
| Design | Construction Phase <br> 1 | 2024 | $\$$ | $17,910,000.00$ | $\$$ | $1,990,000.00$ | $\$$ | $19,900,000.00$ |
| Construction | Construction Phase <br> 2 | 2025 | $\$$ | $9,810,000.00$ | $\$$ | $1,090,000.00$ | $\$$ | $10,900,000.00$ |
| Construction | Construction Phase <br> 3 | 2026 | $\$$ | $4,612,500.00$ | $\$$ | $512,500.00$ | $\$$ | $5,125,000.00$ |
| Construction | Project Closeout | 2027 | $\$$ | $4,864,500.00$ | $\$$ | $540,500.00$ | $\$$ | $5,405,000.00$ |
| Total |  |  | $\$$ | $39,600,000.00$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\$$ | $44,000,000.00$ |

## 11. Work Zone Impact Costs (With Grant)

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves

Date: August 21, 2023

| Assumptions | It is estimated that approximately $\mathbf{2 2 1 , 8 9 2}$ vehicles will be directly impacted by bridge work zone impacts from this project. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Methodology | To be conservative, RIDOT is calculating the anticipated work zone impact using $200 \%$ of the projected 2028 weighted <br> average delay. In other words, this benefit-cost analysis assumes that for the duration of the construction period, typical <br> DELAY times through the corridor will increase by 100\% for all vehicles traveling through the immediate area. The <br> construction timeframe for the preferred action scenario is approximately 30 days for the bridge work. |
| Baseline | Existing conditions and alignments within the project limits |
| Sources of Data | Traffic analysis presented in Section 4 of this BCA |
| Key Input Parameters | The expense of additional travel time for all affected vehicles is calculate below. From the U.S. Department of <br> Transportation's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs," All Purpose private vehicle travel <br> monetized value is $\$ 18.80$ and for Commercial Vehicle operators (an average of truck drivers and bus drivers) is $\$ 32.40$. |

Table 11-1. Preferred Action Scenario Bridge Rehab Work Zone Impact
Daily Traffic: $221,892.00$ vehicles
Percentage of daily cars: $\frac{24 \%}{6 \%}$ Cost of Additional Travel Time (cars):
Cost of Additional Travel Time (commercial): Additional commuting time: $\$ 18.80$ dollars Length of work zone impacts 30 $\$ 32.40$ dollars Length of work zone impacts 30 days

Total Bridge Rehab Work Zone Impact \$ 1,708,070.10
With Proposed Improvements (Preferred Action Scenario--With Grant)

| Table 11-2. Projected Impact by Year |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year Cost |  |  |
|  | 2022 |  |
| 2023 | $\$$ | $113,871.34$ |
| 2024 | $\$$ | $455,485.36$ |
| 2025 | $\$$ | $455,485.36$ |
| 2026 | $\$$ | $455,485.36$ |
| 2027 | $\$$ | $227,742.68$ |


| Assumptions | It is estimated that approximately 68,660 vehicles will be directly impacted by bridge work zone impacts from this project. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Methodology | To be conservative, RIDOT is calculating the anticipated work zone impact using 200\% of the projected 2028 weighted <br> average delay. In other words, this benefit-cost analysis assumes that for the duration of the construction period, typical <br> DELAY times through the corridor will increase by 100\% for all vehicles traveling through the immediate area. The <br> construction timeframe for the preferred action scenario is approximately 18 days for the bridge work. |
| Baseline | Existing conditions and alignments within the project limits |
| Sources of Data | Traffic analysis presented in Section 4 of this BCA |
| Key Input Parameters | The expense of additional travel time for all affected vehicles is calculate below. From the U.S. Department of <br> Transportation's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs," All Purpose private vehicle travel <br> monetized value is $\$ 17.80$ and for Commercial Vehicle operators (an average of truck drivers and bus drivers) is $\$ 32.00$. |

Table 11-1. Preferred Action Scenario Bridge Rehab Work Zone Impact
Daily Traffic: $\quad 68,660$ vehicles
Percentage of daily cars: $94 \%$
Percentage of daily trucks: 6\%
Cost of Additional Travel Time (cars): $\quad \$ 18.80$ dollars Cost of Additional Travel Time (commercial):
$\$ 32.40$ dollars
Additional commuting time: $\quad 0.0267$ hours/day
Length of work zone impacts 18
days
Total Bridge Rehab Work Zone Impact \$ 647,661.31
With Proposed Improvements (Preferred Action Scenario--With Grant)

| Table 11-2. Projected Impact by Year |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Year |  |  |  |
|  | 2022 |  |  |
| 2023 | $\$$ | $43,177.42$ | 3 |
| 2024 | $\$$ | $172,709.68$ | 12 |
| 2025 | $\$$ | $172,709.68$ | 12 |
| 2026 | $\$$ | $172,709.68$ | 12 |
| 2027 | $\$$ | $86,354.84$ | 6 |


| Assumptions | Represents the anticipated 30-year maintenance needs for newly constructed infrastructure |
| :---: | :---: |
| Methodology | Based on historic bridge data and best practices, the existing bridge deterioration is projected to future years. Similarly, based on historic information, future unit cost projections are applied to the projected deterioration. |
| Baseline | Cost estimation based on bridge condition. |
| Sources of Data | RIDOT Bridge Inspection Reports, RIDOT weighted average unit price database. |
| Key Input Parameters | RIDOT historic cost estimates |

Table 12-1. Future Bridge Maintenance Costs, Preferred Action Scenario

| Bridge ID | Description / Task | Unit Cost | Iterations | 30 Year Maintenance Cost | 30-Year Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100001 | Bridge Inspection | \$52,000 | 15 | \$780,000 | \$1,560,000 |
| 100001 | High Pressure Water Cleaning on Bridge Structure | \$23,500 | 15 | \$352,500 | \$705,000 |
| 100001 | Concrete Surface <br> Treatment (Protective Coating) | \$96,000 | 5 | \$480,000 | \$960,000 |
| 100001 | Joint Replacement | \$94,500 | 4 | \$378,000 | \$756,000 |
| 100001 | Paint Superstructure | \$3,624,000 | 1 | \$3,624,000 | \$7,248,000 |
| 100001 | Replace Wearing Surface | \$3,613,000 | 1 | \$3,613,000 | \$7,226,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$ 18,455,000.00 |

12. Lifecycle Management Costs (With INFRA)

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves
Date: August 21, 2023

Table 12-2. Future Pavement Maintenance Costs, Preferred Action Scenario

| Road | Description/Task | Units/Square Feet/Each |  | A/SF | Iterations |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-95 South | Crack Sealing |  | \$ | 75,000.00 |  | 10 | \$ | 750,000.00 |
| New Ramps | Crack Sealing |  | \$ | 65,000.00 |  | 10 | \$ | 650,000.00 |
| 1-95 S and Ramps | Mill \& Fill | 750,000.00 | \$ | 3.00 |  | 2 | \$ | 4,500,000.00 |
| Subtotal Foregone Cost Before EC\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 5,900,000.00 |
| Engineering, Contingencies, and Mobilizations (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,770,000.00 |
| Subtotal Foregone Cost, Pavement Reconstruction |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,670,000.00 |

Table 12-3. Future Eligible Costs Summary

| Item | Description | Cost Over 30 Years |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Bridge Maintenance | 30-Year Lifecycle <br> Maintenance | $\$$ | $18,455,000.00$ |
| Pavement <br> Maintenance | 30-Year Lifecycle <br> Maintenance | $\$$ | $7,670,000.00$ |
| Total |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 1 2 5 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |


| Assumptions | Represents the anticipated 30-year maintenance needs for newly constructed infrastructure |
| :---: | :---: |
| Methodology | Based on historic bridge data and best practices, the existing bridge deterioration is projected to future years. Similarly, based on historic information, future unit cost projections are applied to the projected deterioration. |
| Baseline | Cost estimation based on bridge condition. |
| Sources of Data | RIDOT Bridge Inspection Reports, RIDOT weighted average unit price database. |
| Key Input Parameters | RIDOT historic cost estimates |

Table 12-1. Future Bridge Maintenance Costs, Preferred Action Scenario

| Bridge ID | Description / Task | Unit Cost | Iterations | 30 Year <br> Maintenance <br> Cost | 30-Year Cost <br> (\$) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | Retaining Wall <br> Inspection | $\$$ | $25,000.00$ | 15 | $\$$ | $375,000.00$ |

12. Lifecycle Management Costs (With INFRA)

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps
Date: August 21, 2023

Table 12-2. Future Pavement Maintenance Costs, Preferred Action Scenario

| Road | Description/Task | Units/Square Feet/Each |  | A/SF | Iterations |  |  | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RI-403 | Crack Sealing | 1 | \$ | 45,000.00 |  | 10 | \$ | 450,000.00 |
| New Ramps | Crack Sealing | 1 | \$ | 35,000.00 |  | 10 | \$ | 350,000.00 |
| RI-403 and Ramps | Mill \& Fill | 350,000.00 | \$ | 3.00 |  | 2 | \$ | 2,100,000.00 |
| Subtotal Foregone Cost Before EC\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,900,000.00 |
| Engineering, Contingencies, and Mobilizations (30\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 870,000.00 |
| Subtotal Foregone Cost, Pavement Reconstruction |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 3,770,000.00 |

Table 12-3. Future Eligible Costs Summary

| Item | Description | Cost Over 30 Years |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Bridge Maintenance | 30-Year Lifecycle <br> Maintenance | $\$$ | $1,550,000.00$ |
| Pavement <br> Maintenance | 30-Year Lifecycle <br> Maintenance | $\$$ | $3,770,000.00$ |
| Total |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 2 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

This tab includes parameter values published in USDOT's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs" (March 2022),
The values listed on this tab are utilized throughout this benefit-cost analysis.

Table A-1/A-2. Value of Reduced Fatalities, Injuries, and Damage


Table A-2. Property Damage Only (PDO) Crashes

| Table A-2. Property Damage Only (PDO) Crashes |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Crash Type } & \text { Monetized Value (2021 \$) } & 4,800.00 \\ \hline \text { Property Damage Only (PDO) } & \text { \$ } & \end{array}\right)$ |  |  |

Table A-3. Recommended Travel Time Savings


Table A-4. Average Vehicle Occupancy Rates for Highway Passenger Vehicles

| Vehicle Type | Average Occupancy |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Passenger Vehicles (Weekday Peak) | 1.48 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles (Weekday Off-Peak) | 1.58 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles ( (eeekend) | 2.02 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles (All Travel) | 1.67 |  |

Table A-5. Vehicle Operating Costs


Light Duty Vehicles
Commercial Trucks

Table A-6. Damage Costs for Emissions per Metric Ton*

| Year | NOX |  |  | SOx | ${ }_{44,30000}$ PM2.5 796700.00 |  |  | CO2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 | \$ | 16,600.00 | \$ |  |  |  | \$ | 56.00 |
|  | 2023 | \$ | 16,800.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 810,500.00 | \$ | 57.00 |
|  | 2024 | \$ | 17,000.00 | \$ | 46,000.00 | \$ | 824,500.00 | \$ | 58.00 |
|  | 2025 | \$ | 17,200.00 | \$ | 46,900.00 | \$ | 838,800.00 | \$ | 59.00 |
|  | 2026 | \$ | 17,500.00 | \$ | 47,800.00 | \$ | 852,100.00 | \$ | 60.00 |
|  | 2027 | \$ | 17,900.00 | \$ | 48,700.00 | \$ | 865,600.00 | \$ | 61.00 |
|  | 2028 | \$ | 18,200.00 | \$ | 49,500.00 | \$ | 879,400.00 | \$ | 62.00 |
|  | 2029 | \$ | 18,600.00 | \$ | 50,400.00 | \$ | 893,400.00 | \$ | 63.00 |
|  | 2030 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 65.00 |
|  | 2031 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 66.00 |
|  | 2032 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 67.00 |
|  | 2033 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 68.00 |
|  | 2034 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 69.00 |
|  | 2035 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 70.00 |
|  | 2036 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 72.00 |
|  | 2037 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 73.00 |
|  | 2038 | + | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 74.00 |
|  | 2039 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 75.00 |
|  | 2040 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 76.00 |
|  | 2041 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 78.00 |
|  | 2042 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 79.00 |
|  | 2043 | S | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 80.00 |
|  | 2044 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 81.00 |
|  | 2045 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 82.00 |
|  | 2046 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 84.00 |
|  | 2047 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 85.00 |
|  | 2048 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 86.00 |
|  | 2049 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 87.00 |
|  | 2050 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2051 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2052 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2053 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2054 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2055 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2056 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2057 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2058 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2059 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |

Table A-7. Inflation Adjustment Values


Table A-8. Pedestrian Facility Improvements


Table A-9. Cycling Facility Improvements


Table A-13. Mortality Reduction Benefits of Induced Active Transportation Mode and Age Range $\quad \frac{\text { Recommended Value }}{\mathrm{S}}$ | Walking, Ages 20-74 |
| :--- |
| Cycling, Ages 20-64 | Recom

$\$$


Table A-10. Transit Facility Amenity

| Attribute Type | Bus Stop |  | Light Rail/Streetcar Stop |  | Rail Station |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clocks | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.06 |
| Electronic Real-Time Information Displays | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 0.86 |
| Information /Emergency Button | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.11 |
| PA System | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 0.10 |
| Platform/Stop Seating Availability1 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | 0.13 |
| Platform/Stop Weather Protection1 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.13 |
| Restroom Availability | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.10 |
| Retail/Food Outlet Availability | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.06 |
| Staff Availability | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.18 |
| Step-Free Access to Station/Stop | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.20 |
| Step-Free Access to Vehicle | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.0 |
| Surveillance Cameras | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.32 |
| Temperature Controlled Environment1 | \$ | 0.62 | \$ | 0.62 | \$ | 0.62 |
| Ticket Machines | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Timetables | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.48 |
| Bike Facilities | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 0.10 |
| Car Access Facilities | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 0.11 |
| Elevator | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.07 |
| Escalators | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 0.04 |
| On-Site Ticket Office | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.09 |
| Taxi Pickup/Dropoff | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.05 |
| Waiting Room | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 0.20 |

Table A-11. Transit Vehicle Amenity Values

| Attribute Type | Bus |  | Light RailStreetcar | Rail |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronic Real-Time Information Displays | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.22 |
| Handrails | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.31 |
| Luggage Storage | \$ | 0.09 | \$ | 0.09 | \$ | 0.09 |
| PA System | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | 0.39 |
| Surveillance Cameras | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.2 | \$ | 0.63 |
| Temperature Control | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | 0.47 |
| Wheelchair Space | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.04 |
| Food Service Availability | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 0.03 |
| Restroom Availability | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.19 |

Table A-12. Transit Mode Ride and Boarding Quality Revealed Preference Values

| Transit Mode | Boarding Qual Vehicle Ride Quality** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low-Intensive BRT | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.38 |
| Medium-Intensive BRT | \$ | 0.63 | \$ | 0.75 |
| High-Intensive BRT | \$ | 1.57 | \$ | 1.50 |
| Streetcar or On-street Light Rail Transit | \$ | 1.88 | \$ | 1.88 |
| Off-street Light Rail Transit | \$ | 3.13 | \$ | 3.38 |
| Heavy Rail | \$ | 3.45 | \$ | 3.76 |
| Commuter Rail | \$ | 5.01 | \$ | 3.76 |
| Ferry | \$ | 3.45 | \$ | 3.76 |
| *Benefit per Boarding |  |  |  |  |
| **Benefit per passenger hour |  |  |  |  |
| Table A-14. External Highway Us | s | and |  |  |


mponent: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps

This tab includes parameter values published in USDOT's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs" (March 2022),
The values listed on this tab are utilized throughout this benefit-cost analysis.

Table A-1/A-2. Value of Reduced Fatalities, Injuries, and Damage


Table A-2. Property Damage Only (PDO) Crashes

| Table A-2. Property Damage Only (PDO) Crashes |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crash Type | Monetized Value (2021 \$) | $4,800.00$ |  |
| Property Damage Only (PDO) | \$ |  |  |

Table A-3. Recommended Travel Time Savings


Table A-4. Average Vehicle Occupancy Rates for Highway Passenger Vehicles

| Vehicle Type | Average Occupancy |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Passenger Vehicles (Weekday Peak) | 1.48 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles (Weekday Off-Peak) | 1.58 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles ( (eeekend) | 2.02 |  |
| Passenger Vehicles (All Travel) | 1.67 |  |

Table A-5. Vehicle Operating Costs


Light Duty Vehicles
Commercial Trucks

Table A-6. Damage Costs for Emissions per Metric Ton*

| Year | Nox |  |  | Sox |  | PM2.5 |  | CO2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 | \$ | 16,600.00 | \$ | 44,300.00 | \$ | 796,700.00 | \$ | 56.00 |
|  | 2023 | S | 16,800.00 | \$ | 45,100.00 | \$ | 810,500.00 | \$ | 57.00 |
|  | 2024 | \$ | 17,000.00 | \$ | 46,000.00 | \$ | 824,500.00 | \$ | 58.00 |
|  | 2025 | \$ | 17,200.00 | \$ | 46,900.00 | \$ | 838,800.00 | \$ | 59.00 |
|  | 2026 | \$ | 17,500.00 | \$ | 47,800.00 | \$ | 852,100.00 | \$ | 60.00 |
|  | 2027 | \$ | 17,900.00 | \$ | 48,700.00 | \$ | 865,600.00 | \$ | 61.00 |
|  | 2028 | \$ | 18,200.00 | \$ | 49,500.00 | \$ | 879,400.00 | \$ | 62.00 |
|  | 2029 | \$ | 18,600.00 | \$ | 50,400.00 | \$ | 893,400.00 | \$ | 63.00 |
|  | 2030 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 65.00 |
|  | 2031 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 66.00 |
|  | 2032 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 67.00 |
|  | 2033 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 68.00 |
|  | 2034 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 69.00 |
|  | 2035 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 70.00 |
|  | 2036 | - | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 72.00 |
|  | 2037 | - | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 73.00 |
|  | 2038 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 74.00 |
|  | 2039 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 75.00 |
|  | 2040 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 76.00 |
|  | 2041 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 78.00 |
|  | 2042 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 79.00 |
|  | 2043 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 80.00 |
|  | 2044 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 81.00 |
|  | 2045 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 82.00 |
|  | 2046 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 84.00 |
|  | 2047 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 85.00 |
|  | 2048 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 86.00 |
|  | 2049 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 87.00 |
|  | 2050 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2051 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2052 | \$ | 18,900.00 | S | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2053 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2054 | \$ | 18,900.00 | S | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2055 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2056 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | S | 88.00 |
|  | 2057 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2058 | \$ | 18,900.00 | S | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | \$ | 88.00 |
|  | 2059 | \$ | 18,900.00 | \$ | 51,300.00 | \$ | 907,600.00 | S | 88.00 |

Table A-7. Inflation Adjustment Values


Table A-8. Pedestrian Facility Improvements


Table A-9. Cycling Facility Improvements


Table A-12. Mortality Reduction Benefits of Induced Active Transportation | Mode and Age Range | Recommended Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Walking, Ages 20-74 | $\$$ | Walking, Ages 20-74 $\stackrel{1}{\$}$

Cycling, Ages 20-64


Table A-10. Transit Facility Amenity

| Attribute Type | Bus Stop |  | Light Rail/Streetcar Stop |  | Rail Station |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clocks | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.06 |
| Electronic Real-Time Information Displays | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 0.86 |
| Information/Emergency Button | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.11 |
| PA System | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 0.10 |
| Platform/Stop Seating Availability1 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.13 |
| Platform/Stop Weather Protection1 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.13 |
| Restroom Availability | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.10 |
| Retail/Food Outlet Availability | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.06 |
| Staff Availability | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.0 | \$ | 0.18 |
| Step-Free Access to Station/Stop | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.20 |
| Step-Free Access to Vehicle | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Surveillance Cameras | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.32 |
| Temperature Controlled Environment1 | \$ | 0.62 | \$ | 0.62 | \$ | 0.62 |
| Ticket Machines | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Timetables | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.48 |
| Bike Facilities | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.10 |
| Car Access Facilities | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 0.11 |
| Elevator | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.07 |
| Escalators | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 0.04 |
| On-Site Ticket Office | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.09 |
| Taxi Pickup/Dropoff | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.05 |
| Waiting Room | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 0.20 |

Table A-11. Transit Vehicle Amenity Values

| Attribute Type | Bus |  | Light Railstreetcar | Rail |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electronic Real-Time Information Displays | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.22 |
| Handrails | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.31 |
| Luggage Storage | \$ | 0.09 | \$ | 0.09 | \$ | 0.09 |
| PA System | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.39 |
| Surveillance Cameras | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.2 | \$ | 0.63 |
| Temperature Control | \$ | 0.31 | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | 0.47 |
| Wheelchair Space | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.04 |
| Food Service Availability | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 0.03 |
| Restroom Availability | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.19 |

Table A-12. Transit Mode Ride and Boarding Quality Revealed Preference Values


Table A-14. External Highway Use Costs Noise and Congestion


Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 1, The Missing Moves Date: August 21, 2023

This tab lists key input values for this benefit-cost analysis including the correct project name, due date of submission, design and construction schedules, and more

| Table B1. Basic Project Information | Value |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Input Completing the I-95 Missing Move and  <br>  Quonset Connector Ramps  <br> Project Name August 21, 2023  <br> Date of Submission 2023  <br> First Year of Analysis 2058  <br> Last Year of Analysis   |  |

## Table B3. Master List of Years

| Year Number | Year |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2023 |
| 1 | 2024 |
| 2 | 2025 |
| 3 | 2026 |
| 4 | 2027 |
| 5 | 2028 |
| 6 | 2029 |
| 7 | 2030 |
| 8 | 2031 |
| 9 | 2032 |
| 10 | 2033 |
| 11 | 2034 |
| 12 | 2035 |
| 13 | 2036 |
| 14 | 2037 |
| 15 | 2038 |
| 16 | 2039 |
| 17 | 2040 |
| 18 | 2041 |
| 19 | 2042 |
| 20 | 2043 |
| 21 | 2044 |
| 22 | 2045 |
| 23 | 2046 |
| 24 | 2047 |
| 25 | 2048 |
| 26 | 2049 |
| 27 | 2050 |
| 28 | 2051 |
| 29 | 2052 |
| 30 | 2053 |
| 31 | 2054 |
| 32 | 2055 |
| 33 | 2056 |
| 34 | 2057 |
| 35 | 2058 |
| 1 |  |

Table B2. Project Scheduling Information

| Input | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| First Year of Design | 2023 |
| Last Year of Design | 2024 |
| First Year of Construction | 2025 |
| Last Year of Construction | 2027 |

Project Name: Completing the I-95 Missing Move and Quonset Connector Ramps
Component: Component 2, The Quonset Connector Ramps
Date: August 21, 2023

This tab lists key input values for this benefit-cost analysis including the correct project name, due date of submission, design and construction schedules, and more

Table B1. Basic Project Information

| Input | Value |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Project Name | Completing the I-95 Missing Move and <br> Quonset Connector Ramps |  |
| Date of Submission | August 21, 2023 |  |
| First Year of Analysis | 2023 |  |
| Last Year of Analysis | 2058 |  |


| Table B3. Master List of Years  <br> Year Number Year |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2023 |
| 1 | 2024 |
| 2 | 2025 |
| 3 | 2026 |
| 4 | 2027 |
| 5 | 2028 |
| 6 | 2029 |
| 7 | 2030 |
| 8 | 2031 |
| 9 | 2032 |
| 10 | 2033 |
| 11 | 2034 |
| 12 | 2035 |
| 13 | 2036 |
| 14 | 2037 |
| 15 | 2038 |
| 16 | 2039 |
| 17 | 2040 |
| 18 | 2041 |
| 19 | 2042 |
| 20 | 2043 |
| 21 | 2044 |
| 22 | 2045 |
| 23 | 2046 |
| 24 | 2047 |
| 25 | 2048 |
| 26 | 2049 |
| 27 | 2050 |
| 28 | 2051 |
| 29 | 2052 |
| 30 | 2053 |
| 31 | 2054 |
| 32 | 2055 |
| 33 | 2056 |
| 34 | 2057 |
| 35 | 2058 |
|  |  |

Table B2. Project Scheduling Information

| Input | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| First Year of Design | 2023 |
| Last Year of Design | 2024 |
| First Year of Construction | 2025 |
| Last Year of Construction | 2027 |

Appendix D-1
Safety

## Introduction

The Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT) is responding to a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for grant funding through the Nationally Significant Multimodal Freight \& Highway Projects (INFRA) discretionary grant program to request a construction grant for I-95 and Route 4 Missing Move Interchange Redesign. RIDOT requested support from VHB for preparation of the NOFO application, including estimation of the traffic, safety, noise, environmental, and other impacts, as well as costs, of the proposed design. This memorandum summarizes the expected safety effects of the proposed design.

The primary safety issue is the absence of system interchange ramps connecting Northbound Route 4 to Southbound $\mathrm{I}-95$ and Northbound I-95 to Southbound Route 4. This is a safety issue because vehicles who desire to make these movements are required to leave the access-controlled roads and navigate on surface streets through several intersections and ramp terminals. The proposed alternative includes new ramps providing continuous flow between the freeway facilities for those vehicles. Additionally, there are several features in the project area at high risk for roadway departure crashes, including four high-to-low speed exit loop ramps and a horizontal curve on Northbound Route 4 leading to Northbound I-95. RIDOT proposes the installation of High-Friction Surface Treatment (HFST) on these features.

The following sections describe the methodology, data, and results of the analysis for each safety issue.

## Methodology

Guided by the U.S. Department of Transportation's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs," (January 2023) Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) for selected countermeasures were applied to the crash history by severity and by crash type. The monetized values for injuries by severity were obtained to determine a monetary benefit.

Reconstruction of the I-95 and Route 4 interchange is a substantial change in the transportation network that is not easily summarized using crash modification factors. In order to predict the change in crashes due to that change in roadway network, crash prediction models were used to quantify data-driven safety impacts and create a pseudoCMF.

## Missing Moves at I-95 and Route 4 Interchange

The existing I-95/Route 4 interchange has two missing system interchange ramps, requiring vehicles desiring to make two movements to use surface streets when transferring between freeways. Additionally, there are several ramps and a
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horizontal curve on Route 4 Northbound which could benefit from the addition of HFST. Figure 1 shows the project area considered for this safety issue.

Figure 1: Existing Conditions at the I-95 and Route 4 Interchange in Warwick, RI.


Source: Google Earth, 2023

## Proposed Improvements

Figure 2 shows RIDOT's proposed improvements for the interchange. This includes the construction of a new ramp connecting Northbound I-95 to Southbound Route 4 as well as a ramp connecting Northbound Route 4 and Southbound I-95. Additionally, the Northbound Route 2 to Southbound I-95 loop ramp is closed, and vehicles desiring to make that movement now turn left onto the Southbound Route 2 to Southbound I-95 direct ramp using a redesigned ramp terminal. Finally, HFST is proposed for the four loop exit ramps as well as the Northbound Route 4 horizontal curve to l-95.

Figure 2: Proposed Safety Issue 1 Improvements


## Safety Analysis Methodology

Ideally, the safety analysis would include the application of CMFs to an expected future crash frequency calculated using the Empirical Bayes approach. Unfortunately, this is not feasible in this context for two reasons:

1. CMFs are not available for the proposed redesign of the interchange.
2. RIDOT does not have calibrated crash prediction models (CPMs) which could be used for Empirical Bayes.

Therefore, VHB proposed a modified approach to estimating safety performance using default Highway Safety Manual (HSM) CPMs. VHB applied the CPMs to predict crashes by KABCO severity category for existing conditions under current volumes and design year volumes. Additionally, VHB applied the HSM CPMs to predict crashes for the proposed design with design year volumes.

VHB proposed estimating design year safety performance ( $C_{\text {obs,future }}$ ) by taking the observed crash frequency during the study period ( $C_{\text {Annual,Observed }}$ ) and growing it to the design year using the ratio of predicted crashes under existing conditions for the design year ( $N_{\text {pr, Design }}$ ) to the study years ( $N_{\text {pr,Study }}$ ). Figure 3 describes this calculation.

Figure 3: Calculating expected no-build crash frequency in the design year.

$$
C_{\text {exp,future }}=C_{\text {annual,observed }} * N_{P r, \text { Design }} / N_{P r, S t u d y}
$$

VHB then calculated a surrogate CMF (CMFsurrogate) using the ratio of predicted design year crashes ( $N_{\text {PR,Build }}$ ) for the proposed design to the predicted design year crashes for the existing design ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{PR}, \mathrm{Design}}$ ). Figure 4 describes the calculation.

Figure 4: Calculate the surrogate CMF for the proposed design.

$$
C M F_{\text {Surrogate }}=N_{P R, B u i l d} / N_{P R, \text { Design }}
$$

Finally, VHB estimated the change in safety performance ( $C_{\text {Reduced, Design }}$ ) by applying the surrogate CMF to the grown design year crashes, as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5: Calculation of expected crash reduction in the design year.

$$
C_{\text {Reduced,Design }}=C_{\text {obs,future }}-C_{\text {obs,future }} * C M F_{\text {Surrogate }}
$$

This process was repeated at each KABCO severity category. The next section describes the analysis performed following this methodology.

## Safety Analysis Results

## Existing Crash Frequency

VHB reviewed RIDOT crash data for this project location for the years 2016 through 2021 in support of prior conceptual design efforts. Table 1 summarizes those crashes by KABCO severity level ${ }^{1}$ and crash type. Crash data for 2022 are not applicable due to construction for a separate project within the project area.

Table 1: Summary of Study Area Crashes - Safety Issue 1, 2016-2021

| Crash Type | K Severity | A Severity | B Severity | C Severity | O Severity | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angle | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 94 | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ |
| Head On | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| Not a Collision Between Two <br> Motor Vehicles | 4 | 1 | 9 | 33 | 163 | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ |
| Rear End | 1 | 3 | 9 | 167 | 609 | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ |
| Sideswipe, Same Direction | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 210 | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ |
| Sideswipe, Opposite Direction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Other or Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 9}$ |

The project area experienced 1,379 crashes during that time period, an average frequency of 230 per year, 46.5 of which are fatal and injury (FI, or KABC) and 183.5 of which are property damage only (PDO, or O).

[^0]
## Predicted Crash Frequency, No Build

VHB applied the proposed methodology using the applicable HSM spreadsheet tools, including the Enhanced Interchange Safety Analysis Tool (ISATe) ${ }^{2}$ and the HSM Chapter 12 Urban and Suburban Arterials Spreadsheet ${ }^{3}$, downloaded in July 2023. VHB followed HSM guidance when segmenting the area. The ramps, freeway mainline segments for I-95 and Route 4, and ramp terminals were modeled using the relevant ramp, mainline, and ramp terminal CPMs in ISATe. The remaining segments and intersections in the study area were modeled using the Chapter 12 spreadsheet CPMs. Table 2 summarizes the predicted crashes for 2023 traffic volumes and design year 2058 nobuild traffic volumes.

Table 2: Summary of Annual Predicted Crashes for No-Build Conditions

| Sites | Predicted FI Crashes, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Predicted PDO <br> Crashes, 2023 | Predicted FI Crashes, <br> 2058 | Predicted PDO <br> Crashes, 2058 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway Segments | 21.6 | 70.4 | 24.0 | 78.1 |
| Freeway Ramps | 7.5 | 17.3 | 7.9 | 18.3 |
| Freeway Ramp Terminals | 9.1 | 26.0 | 9.6 | 28.1 |
| Arterial Segments | 2.8 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 11.2 |
| Arterial Intersections | 6.4 | 18.1 | 7.2 | 20.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 . 7}$ |

[^1]The results in Table 2 suggest FI and PDO crashes are both, on average, expected to increase by a factor of 1.10 from the study period to the design year. VHB used those results and the equation in Figure 3 to grow the observed crash frequency during the study period (2016-2021) to the expected no build crash frequency in the design year, broken down by KABCO severity level. Table 3 summarizes those results at each KABCO severity level.

Table 3: Calculation of Expected No Build Crashes in the Design Year

| Crash Severity | Historic Crash Frequency | Growth Factor | Estimated Crashes in Design Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.8 | 1.07 | 0.89 |
| A | 0.8 | 1.08 | 0.90 |
| B | 4.00 | 1.07 | 4.26 |
| C | 40.8 | 1.12 | 45.69 |
| PDO | 183.5 | 1.10 | 201.38 |
| All | 230.0 | N/A | 253.1 |

## Predicted Crash Frequency, Build

VHB used the same tools (ISATe and HSM Spreadsheets) to predict crashes in the design year (2058) for the Build condition. The proposed design was segmented within the project area to the best estimate of the proposed design. As described earlier, the proposed design also includes the implementation of HFST on 4 loop exit ramps as well as the Northbound Route 4 horizontal curve. To account for HFST in the Build prediction, VHB applied five-star rated HFST CMFs to the designated segments -0.49 for FI crashes (CMF Clearinghouse ID 10319) ${ }^{4}$ and 0.529 for All Severity crashes (CMF Clearinghouse ID 10318) ${ }^{5}$. Table 4 summarizes predicted crashes by severity for the Build condition by facility type.

Table 4: Summary of Predicted Crashes for the Design Year Proposed Conditions

| Sites | FI, Design Year | PDO, Design Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway Segments | 26.6 | 91.6 |
| Freeway Ramps | 5.4 | 13.2 |
| Freeway Ramp Terminals | 9.3 | 29.7 |
| Arterial Segments | 3.2 | 10.5 |
| Arterial Intersections | 6.8 | 19.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 4 . 1}$ |

[^2]VHB applied the equation in Figure 4 and the results from Table 2 and Table 4 to calculate surrogate CMFs for each KABCO severity level - these are summarized in Table 5. Based on these results, VHB expects the proposed RIDOT improvements to result in an overall increase in crashes in the project area; however, there will be a reduction in fatal and injury crashes, which are expected to outweigh the increase in PDO crashes.

Table 5: Calculation of Surrogate CMFs.

| Crash Severity | Predicted Crashes, No Build, Design Year | Predicted Crashes, Build, <br> Design Year | Surrogate CMF |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| K | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.95 |
| A | 2.96 | 2.78 | 0.94 |
| B | 12.44 | 11.87 | 0.95 |
| C | 36.03 | 35.90 | 1.00 |
| PDO | 103.52 | 112.72 | 1.09 |

Finally, VHB used the results in Table 2 and Table 5 to calculate the expected change in safety performance with the equation in Figure 5. Table 6 summarizes the expected annual change in safety performance for the proposed design. In total, VHB expects the design to produce an annual reduction of 0.47 fatal and injury crashes offsetting an annual increase of 17.90 PDO crashes.

Table 6: Expected Annual Crash Reduction.

| Crash Severity | Estimated Crash Frequency in <br> Design Year, No Build | Surrogate <br> CMF | Estimated Crash Frequency <br> in Design Year, Build | Expected Annual <br> Crash Reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.05 |
| A | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.06 |
| B | 4.26 | 0.95 | 4.07 | 0.19 |
| C | 45.69 | 1.00 | 45.51 | 0.17 |
| PDO | 201.38 | 1.09 | 219.28 | -17.90 |

The Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) process of the INFRA grant application requires the distribution of the change in safety performance to be summarized by crash severity category. For this application, RIDOT rounded estimated crash frequency in the design year no-build to the nearest whole crash. Table 7 summarizes the inputs and results of the INFRA grant safety BCA application. This includes the surrogate crash reduction factor (CRF), calculated as 1 minus the CMF. As shown in the table, the proposed design is expected to provide $\$ 596,682$ in annual safety benefits, as the benefits from the reduction in injury crashes outweigh the disbenefits from the increase in PDO crashes.

Table 7: Expected Safety Benefits for INFRA Grant.

| Crash Severity | Estimated Crash Frequency in <br> Design Year, No Build | Surrogate <br> CRF | Expected Annual Crash <br> Reduction | Annual Savings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | $\$ 590,000$ |
| A | 1 | 0.06 | 0.06 | $\$ 33,858$ |
| B | 4 | 0.05 | 0.20 | $\$ 30,740$ |
| C | 46 | 0.004 | 0.18 | $\$ 14,444$ |
| PDO | 201 | -0.09 | $\mathbf{- 1 8 . 0 9}$ | $-\$ 72,360$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 7 . 6 0}$ | $\$ 596,682$ |

## Introduction

The Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT) is responding to a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for grant funding through the Nationally Significant Multimodal Freight \& Highway Projects (INFRA) discretionary grant program to request a construction grant for the Route $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ Interchange Redesign. RIDOT requested support from VHB for preparation of the NOFO application, including estimation of the traffic, safety, noise, environmental, and other impacts, as well as costs, of the proposed design. This memorandum summarizes the expected safety effects of the proposed design.

The safety issue is the absence of several ramps connecting Route 403 to crossroads, meaning vehicles desiring to make certain access movements must use surface streets and intersections as opposed to the access control facility. RIDOT plans to address these concerns by constructing ramps for the missing movements. Additionally, RIDOT proposes adaptive signal controllers along the ramp termini and intersections on Post Road within the project area to improve traffic flow along the corridor. Finally, RIDOT proposes the installation of High-Friction Surface Treatment (HFST) on two sharp horizontal curves on Route 403 and an exit ramp to reduce the probability of severe roadway departure crashes, particularly related to wet pavement.

The following sections describe the methodology, data, and results of the analysis for each safety issue.

## Methodology

Guided by the U.S. Department of Transportation's "Benefit-Cost Analysis Guidance for Discretionary Grant Programs" (January 2023), Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) for selected countermeasures were applied to the crash history by severity and by crash type. The monetized values for injuries by severity were obtained to determine a monetary benefit.

Reconstruction of the Route 403 interchange area is a substantial change in the transportation network that is not easily quantified using CMFs. To predict the change in crashes due to that change in roadway network, crash prediction models (CPMs) were used to quantify data-driven safety impacts and create a pseudo-CMF.

Memorandum

## Missing Moves on Route 403

The existing Route 403 interchange area has several missing interchange ramps, including Northbound exits and Southbound entrances to West Davisville Road as well as the Northbound entrance from Post Road. Figure 1 shows the project area considered for this safety issue. Facilities include Route 403 and ramps, West Davisville Road, Devils Foot Road, Post Road, and Gate Road.

Figure 1: Existing Conditions at the Route 403 Interchanges in North Kingstown, RI.


Source: Google Earth, 2023©

## Proposed Improvements

Figure 2 shows RIDOT's proposed improvements for the interchange. This includes the construction of:

- An exit ramp from Northbound Route 403 to West Davisville Road.
- An entrance ramp from West Davisville Road to Southbound Route 403 .
- An entrance ramp from Post Road to Northbound Route 403.
- The installation of HFST for two sharp horizontal curves on Route 403 and on the Southbound Route 403 exit ramp to the Gate Road and Davisville Road roundabout.
- Conversion to adaptive signal control at the Southbound Route 403 and Post Road ramp terminal, the new Route 403 Northbound ramp and Post Road ramp terminal, and the Devils Foot Road and Post Road intersection.

Note there is also the proposed construction of a roundabout at the West Davisville Road and Compass Circle intersection; however, this was not included in the safety analysis.

Figure 2: Proposed Improvements


## Safety Analysis Methodology

Ideally, the safety analysis would include the application of CMFs to an expected future crash frequency. Unfortunately, this is not feasible for two reasons:

1. CMFs are not available for the proposed redesign of the interchange.
2. RIDOT does not have calibrated crash prediction models (CPMs) which could be used for Empirical Bayes.

As a result, VHB proposed a modified approach to estimating safety performance using default Highway Safety Manual (HSM) CPMs. VHB applied the CPMs to predict crashes by KABCO severity category for existing conditions under current volumes and design year volumes. Additionally, VHB applied the HSM CPMs to predict crashes for the proposed design with design year volumes.

VHB proposed estimating design year safety performance ( $C_{o b s, f u t u r e}$ ) by taking the observed crash frequency during the study period ( $C_{\text {Annual, Observed }}$ ) and growing it to the design year using the ratio of predicted crashes under existing conditions for the design year ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {Pr, Design }}$ ) to the study years ( $\mathrm{NPr}_{\mathrm{Pr}, S t u d y}$ ). Figure 3 describes this calculation.

Figure 3: Calculating expected no-build crash frequency in the design year.

$$
C_{\text {exp,future }}=C_{\text {annual,observed }} * N_{P r, \text { Design }} / N_{P r, \text { Study }}
$$

VHB would then calculate a surrogate CMF (CMF surrogate ) using the ratio of predicted design year crashes ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {PR,Build }}$ ) for the proposed design to the predicted design year crashes for the existing design ( $N_{P R, D e s i g n}$ ). Figure 4 describes the proposed calculation.

Figure 4: Calculate the surrogate CMF for the proposed design.

$$
C M F_{\text {Surrogate }}=N_{P R, B u i l d} / N_{P R, \text { Design }}
$$

Finally, VHB would estimate the change in safety performance ( $C_{\text {Reduced, Design }}$ ) by applying the surrogate CMF to the grown design year crashes, as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5: Calculation of expected crash reduction in the design year.

$$
C_{\text {Reduced,Design }}=C_{\text {obs,future }}-C_{\text {obs,future }} * C M F_{\text {surrogate }}
$$

This process can be repeated at each KABCO severity category. The next section describes the analysis performed following this methodology.

Safety Analysis Results

## Existing Crash Frequency

VHB reviewed RIDOT crash data for this project location for the years 2016 through 2022 in support of prior conceptual design efforts. Table 1 summarizes those crashes by KABCO severity level ${ }^{1}$ and crash type.

Table 1: Summary of Study Area Crashes - Safety Issue 1, 2016-2022

| Crash Type | K Severity | A Severity | B Severity | C Severity | O Severity | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angle | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 35 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |
| Head On | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Not a Collision Between Two <br> Motor Vehicles | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| Rear End | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 62 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ |
| Sideswipe, Same Direction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Sideswipe, Opposite Direction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Other or Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ |

The project area experienced 192 crashes during that time period, an average frequency of 27.4 per year, 6 of which are fatal and injury ( Fl , or KABC) and 21.4 of which are property damage only (PDO, or O).

[^3]
## Predicted Crash Frequency, No Build

VHB applied the proposed methodology using the applicable HSM spreadsheet tools, including the Enhanced Interchange Safety Analysis Tool (ISATe) ${ }^{2}$ and the HSM Chapter 12 Urban and Suburban Arterials Spreadsheet ${ }^{3}$, downloaded in July 2023. VHB followed HSM guidance when segmenting the area. The ramps, freeway mainline segments for Route 403, and ramp terminals were modeled using the relevant ramp, mainline, and ramp terminal CPMs in ISATe. The remaining segments and intersections in the study area were modeled using the Chapter 12 spreadsheet CPMs. Table 2 summarizes the predicted crashes for 2023 traffic volumes and design year 2058 no-build traffic volumes.

Table 2: Summary of Annual Predicted Crashes for No-Build Conditions

| Sites | Predicted FI Crashes, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Predicted PDO <br> Crashes, 2023 | Predicted FI Crashes, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 5 8}$ | Predicted PDO <br> Crashes, 2058 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway Segments | 6.4 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 14.4 |
| Freeway Ramps | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Freeway Ramp Terminals | 5.1 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 7.0 |
| Arterial Segments | 2.4 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 5.5 |
| Arterial Intersections | 3.1 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 6.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 9}$ |

The results in Table 3 suggest that due to traffic growth, FI crashes are expected to increase 9 percent and PDO crashes are expected to increase 10 percent. VHB used those results and the equation in Figure 3 to grow the observed crash frequency during the study period (2016-2022) to the expected no build crash frequency in the design year, broken down by KABCO severity level.

Table 3: Calculation of Expected No Build Crashes in the Design Year

| Crash Severity | Historic Crash Frequency | Growth Factor | Estimated Crashes in Design Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.1 | 1.08 | 0.2 |
| A | 0.3 | 1.09 | 0.3 |
| B | 1.3 | 1.08 | 1.4 |
| C | 4.3 | 1.09 | 4.7 |
| PDO | 21.4 | 1.10 | 23.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1}$ |

[^4]
## Predicted Crash Frequency, Build

VHB used the same tools (ISATe and HSM Spreadsheets) to predict crashes in the design year (2058) for the Build condition. The proposed design was segmented within the project area to the best estimate of the proposed design. VHB included CMFs in the build prediction to account for the proposed HFST and adaptive signals. The CMFs include:

- HFST - 0.49 for FI crashes (CMF Clearinghouse ID 10319) ${ }^{4}$ and 0.529 for All Severity crashes (CMF Clearinghouse ID 10318) ${ }^{5}$.
- Adaptive Signal Control - 0.958 for FI crashes (CMF Clearinghouse ID 11236$)^{6}$ and 0.943 for PDO crashes (CMF Clearinghouse ID 11237) ${ }^{7}$.

Table 4 summarizes predicted crashes by severity for the Build condition by facility type.
Table 4: Summary of Predicted Crashes for the Design Year Proposed Conditions

| Sites | FI, Design Year | PDO, Design Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway Segments | 6.5 | 13.9 |
| Freeway Ramps | 2.9 | 2.1 |
| Freeway Ramp Terminals | 6.1 | 8.2 |
| Arterial Segments | 1.9 | 3.8 |
| Arterial Intersections | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 9}$ |

VHB applied the equation in Figure 4 and the results from Table 2 and Table 4 to calculate surrogate CMFs for each KABCO severity level, as shown in Table 5. Based on these results, VHB expects the proposed RIDOT improvements to generally decrease crashes in the area at all severity levels except for suspected minor injury crashes (B).

Table 5: Calculation of Surrogate CMFs.

| Crash Severity | Predicted Crashes, No Build, Design Year | Predicted Crashes, Build, <br> Design Year | Surrogate CMF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.96 |
| A | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.95 |
| B | 4.5 | 4.9 | 1.09 |
| C | 14.1 | 12.9 | 0.91 |
| PDO | 34.9 | 31.1 | 0.89 |

[^5]Finally, VHB used the results in Table 2 and Table 5 to calculate the expected change in safety performance with the equation in Figure 5. Table 6 summarizes the expected annual change in safety performance for the proposed design. The improvements are expected to produce a reduction of 0.31 annual FI crashes and 2.60 PDO crashes.

Table 6: Expected Annual Crash Reduction.

| Crash Severity | Estimated Crash Frequency in <br> Design Year, No Build | Surrogate <br> CMF | Estimated Crash Frequency <br> in Design Year, Build | Expected Annual <br> Crash Reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.2 | 0.96 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| A | 0.3 | 0.95 | 0.3 | 0.02 |
| B | 1.4 | 1.09 | 1.5 | -0.12 |
| C | 4.7 | 0.91 | 4.3 | 0.41 |
| PDO | 23.5 | 0.89 | 20.9 | 2.60 |

The Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) process of the INFRA grant application requires the distribution of the change in safety performance to be summarized by crash severity category. For the purpose of the application, RIDOT rounded estimated crash frequency in the design year no-build to the nearest whole crash. Table 7 summarizes the inputs and results of the INFRA grant safety BCA application. This includes the surrogate crash reduction factor (CRF), calculated as 1 minus the CMF. As shown in the table, the proposed design is expected to provide $\$ 127,044$ in annual safety benefits.

Table 7: Expected Safety Benefits for INFRA Grant.

| Crash Severity | Estimated Crash Frequency in <br> Design Year, No Build | Surrogate <br> CRF | Expected Annual Crash <br> Reduction | Annual Savings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.01 | $\$ 94,400$ |
| A | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0.02 | $\$ 8,465$ |
| B | 1.4 | -0.09 | -0.12 | $-\$ 19,366$ |
| C | 4.7 | 0.09 | 0.41 | $\$ 33,206$ |
| PDO | 23.5 | 0.11 | 2.60 | $\$ 10,340$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 2}$ | $\$ \mathbf{1 2 7 , 0 4 5}$ |

Appendix D-2
Travel Time

To: Ken White, Ph.D.
Assistant Director for Administrative Services Division of Planning
Rhode Island Department of Transportation
Two Capitol Hill, Room 318
Providence, Rhode Island

From: Kristin Caouette, PE
Peter Pavao, PE
Amphone Soupharath
Zachary Tiang, PE

The Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT) is responding to a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for grant funding through Multimodal Project Discretionary Grant program to request a construction grant for the Completing The Missing Move. RIDOT requested support from VHB for preparation of the NOFO application, including estimation of the traffic, safety, noise, environmental, and other impacts, as well as costs, of the proposed design.

This memorandum documents the traffic and travel time analysis completed for Component 1 of the Completing the Missing Move Project focused on the I-95 at Route 4 interchange and providing new access between Route 4N-I-95S and I-95N-Route 4S.

RIDOT has requested that VHB evaluate and determine the travel time savings associated with the proposed improvements at the Route 4 and I-95 interchange by installing new on/off-ramps from Route 4 northbound to I-95 southbound and from I-95 northbound to Route 4 southbound, respectively. The purpose of this memorandum is to document the methodologies and assumptions used in the development of the VISSIM microsimulation model and provide documentation of the model results.

At the l-95 at Route 4 interchange, a review identified "missing moves" that if installed, would create direct travel between the interstate and freeway, alleviating principal arterials that currently facilitate movement between the Route 4 at Route 401 and I95 at Route 2 interchanges. This review found that there would be positive travel time benefits by installing the two deferred ramp systems. The results presented in the Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) are the net results for the Route 4 and I-95 interchange modeled study area, described in the following section. This memorandum concludes with a summary of the change in travel time by roadway facility in the modeled study area.

## Study Area

The Route 4 and I-95 interchange study area includes Route 4 and I-95 freeway network system as well as the Route 2 and Route 401 arterial roadways network system, providing both local and regional travel between the nearby developments in East Greenwich, Warwick, and West Warwick and access the regional developments such as Quonset Business Park. The study area extends between the I-95 at Route 4 interchange, Route 4 at Route 401 interchange, and Route 401 at Route 2 intersection. The following is a description of the study area roadways and intersections.

Colonel Rodman Highway (Route 4) is a north-south six-lane divided freeway under the jurisdiction of the Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT). RIDOT also currently maintains the ramps and the approaches to the bridge over Route 4. Overhead roadway lighting is present throughout the study area. The posted speed limit within the study area is 55 mph for southbound traffic and from 55 mph to 45 mph following Exit 9A (Route 401 East) for northbound traffic.

| Engineers | Scientists | Planners | Designers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Cedar Street, Suite 400, Providence, Rhode Island 02903 |  |  |  |
| P 401.272.8100 | F 401.277.8400 | www.vhb.com |  |

I-95 is a north-south four-lane interstate south of Route 4 and widened to eight-lane north of Route 4, under RIDOT jurisdiction. Overhead roadway lighting is present throughout the study area. The posted speed limit within the study area goes from 65 mph to 55 mph following Exit 24B for northbound traffic and from 55 mph to 65 mph following Exit 24A for southbound traffic.

Division Street (Route 401) is an east-west principal arterial under RIDOT jurisdiction. In the study area, there are on- and offramps providing access to and from Route 4 with access to l-95 North and access to and from I-95 South can be accommodated with the connection off Route 2Overhead roadway lighting is present throughout the study area. The posted speed limit within the study area is generally 35 mph .

Quaker Lane (Route 2 ) is a north-south principal arterial under RIDOT jurisdiction. Route 2 and Route 401 connect Route 4 to I95 and is currently the only way to directly travel from I-95 northbound to Route 4 southbound and Route 4 northbound to I-95 southbound. Overhead roadway lighting is present throughout the study area. The posted speed limit within the study area is 45 mph.

Figure $1 \quad$ Study Area
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## Model Development

To quantify existing traffic operations, the study area roadways and intersections were modeled and analyzed using VISSIM microscopic traffic simulation software (Version 21). Because of its extensive modeling and analysis capabilities, the VISSIM model provides a more comprehensive evaluation of complex transportation facilities. VISSIM can therefore better model complex networks that include such things as multi-modal users, ramp systems with closely spaced intersections (signalized, stop/yield controlled and/or roundabout), compared to the traditional traffic analysis methodology based on the Highway Capacity Manual. For the purpose of the Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) grant, VISSIM is well suited to provided network level measures for quantifying impacts and benefits. For this analysis, traffic was projected to the year 2028 (opening year) and 2058 ( 30 year planning horizon). No Build and Build Condition analyses were completed for each year. The VISSIM model was calibrated to model existing 2023 conditions and then used to project future operations with (Build Condition) and without (No Build Condition) the project.

## Data Collection

To identify current traffic flow characteristics, traffic counts were collected in December 2022 at key intersections in the study area as well as along I-95 and Route 4. A review of the historical traffic counts in the November 2020 Interchange Justification Report and historical local counts revealed that the December 2022 counts were comparable to the 2020 counts. A review of the seasonal adjustment factors in December was approximately 2 percent less, therefore, the December 2022 volumes were adjusted by the seasonal factor to develop the 2023 existing conditions. The 2023 existing daily and peak hour volumes are shown below in Table 1.

Table 1 Existing Traffic Volumes

|  | Daily <br> Location | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday ${ }^{1}$ | Volume $^{2}$ | Dir. Dist. $^{3}$ |  | Volume $^{2}$ | Dir. Dist. ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| I-95, west of Route 4 | 58,144 | 5,024 | $55 \%$ NB | 5,233 | $60 \%$ SB |  |
| Route 4, at Route 401 | 83,000 | 7,544 | $55 \%$ SB | 7,991 | $58 \%$ NB |  |
| Route 2, south of I-95 | 37,788 | 2,911 | $73 \%$ SB | 3,401 | $51 \%$ SB |  |
| Route 401, west of Route 4 | 32,522 | 1,947 | $57 \%$ EB | 2,927 | $55 \%$ EB |  |

Source: PDI. Based on automatic traffic recorder (ATR) counts conducted in December 2022.
1 average daily traffic (ADT) volume expressed in vehicles per day
2 peak hour traffic volumes expressed in vehicles per hour
3 directional distribution of peak period traffic
Note: Peak hours do not necessarily coincide with the peak hours of the individual intersection turning movement counts
Google Maps average traffic conditions and travel times were used to provide travel time and speed measurements and to help identify hotspot and bottleneck locations. StreetLight was used to perform a 2019 Origin/Destination study to determine the proportion of origins and their destinations in the study area. These proportions help determine the turning movements throughout the network.

## Model Geometrics and Traffic Controls

The existing roadway, interchange, and intersection geometries were initially modeled using aerial mapping provided within VISSIM and verified with a combination of field observations, Google Maps/StreetView, and Nearmap. Traffic controls and signal timings were modeled and verified by field observations. Vehicle speed data was modeled and verified using the Posted Speed Limit, in miles-per-hour (mph) in the field.

## Model Verification and Error Checking

Before beginning the calibration process, the model was checked for errors. Roadway geometry, traffic volumes and routes, speeds, signal timing data, and other inputs were reviewed along with a visual check of the simulation. The VISSIM error file was also reviewed, and critical errors were corrected. The simulation was reviewed to verify traffic signals were operating correctly, to visually inspect queue lengths, and to check general traffic operations throughout the model. Coding errors were corrected and adjustments were made to various model parameters to accurately reflect field conditions.

## Model Calibration and Results

The North America default network parameters were used and adjusted as part of the calibration process including conflict areas, lane-change distances, and driver behaviors.

Based on the required benefit-cost analysis inputs, the 2023 existing VISSIM model was developed and modeled for 15 -hours from 5:00 AM to 8:00 PM in order to capture both the AM and PM peak hour periods as well as the shoulder hours building up the congestion and the recovering periods after the peak hour periods. This 15 -hour period accounted for approximately $90 \%$ of the daily traffic demand.

Ten model runs with random seed numbers were simulated to provide varying nature of vehicles entering the network. The simulated traffic volumes (average of the ten model runs) were within $10 \%$ of the 2022 counted volumes and the simulated travel times and speeds were with $15 \%$ of the observed data. A visual inspection of the calibrated model simulation during the AM and PM peak hour periods indicated that the model simulates the traffic operations reasonably and correctly. The heat/speed maps shown in Figures 2 and 3 during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively, are consistent with field observations. The 2023 existing VISSIM model has been calibrated and serves as the base model for the future No-Build and Build alternatives.

Figure 2


Figure 3


## Alternatives Analysis

The proposed improvements are expected to be constructed and opened to traffic in 5 years. This alternatives analysis will be evaluating the following future year conditions:
) No-Build - Opening Year (2028),
) Build - Opening Year (2028),
) No-Build - 30 Year Planning Horizon (2058),
) Build - 30 Year Planning Horizon (2058)
Traffic volumes in and around the project area are expected to change in the future based on the ambient background traffic growth in addition to the Quonset Development Corporation (QDC) master plan. A review of the RIDOT historical count data indicated that traffic volumes in the area remained steady with minimal growth. In order to provide some growth in the area, a background traffic growth rate of $0.25 \%$ annually was chosen and applied to the 2023 existing condition to get the 2028 condition and a conservative growth rate of $0.10 \%$ annually was chosen and applied to the 2028 condition to get to the 2058 condition. The Quonset Business Park has available land, and the Interchange Justification Report (IJR) for the Route 4 and I-95 Interchange has outlined known traffic growth due to business developments. The IJR based planned project traffic growth on the increase of employees by 5,000 (approximately $40 \%$ increase) as projected in the 2019 QDC Master Plan. The additional 5,000 employees are anticipated to be realized by the 30 -year project horizon. Approximately $20 \%$ of that number is anticipated by 2026. While the IJR assumed approximately $40 \%$ of the 5,000 employees would arrive/depart during a peak hour, it seems more likely that these employees would be working across more shifts per day than, for example, office workers who often work during one shift. For the VISSIM exercise these trips were distributed across the 15 -hour analysis with significant peaks during traditional work day shifts but additional windows of activity during the off-peak periods. This distribution is based loosely on available 24-hour traffic counts at gateways to the various QDC sites. Trips are generated to I-95 and Route 4 based on the size (acreage) of vacant parcels accessed by each gateway.

In addition to employees, this analysis assumed that an approximately 40\% increase in employees would also result in a proportional increase in truck activity. Using origin-destination information, historic truck counts, and best available traffic volumes, approximately $40 \%$ more truck activity for the future conditions were also estimated and layered into the No Build and Build Condition models. Table 2 summarizes the 2058 daily traffic volumes.

Table 2 Projected 2058 Traffic Volumes

|  | Daily <br> Location | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wolume $^{2}$ | Dir. Dist. |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: PDI. Based on automatic traffic recorder (ATR) counts conducted in December 2022 grown annually by $0.25 \%$ from 2023 to 2028 and $0.20 \%$ from 2028 to 2058.
average daily traffic (ADT) volume expressed in vehicles per day peak hour traffic volumes expressed in vehicles per hour
directional distribution of peak period traffic
Note: Peak hours do not necessarily coincide with the peak hours of the individual intersection turning movement counts

## Projected 2028 and 2058 No-Build Conditions

Figures 4 to 7 illustrate the 2028 and 2058 No-Build Condition AM and PM peak hour heat/speed maps. There are few changes in speed under the 2028 No-Build AM peak hour. With the anticipated 20 percent buildout of Quonset Business Park by 2028 and full buildout ( 5,000 trips) by the year 2058 and the lack of direct connection from I-95 North to Route 4 South and from Route 4 North to I-95 South, the existing arterial network system along Route 401 and Route 2 will experience increased in delay. However, the existing deficiencies on the Route 4 North and I-95 North after the Route 4 merge will be significantly improved with the proposed I-95 North improvements. With full buildout of Quonset Business Park and the increase in background traffic volumes in 2058, the speed along the arterial network system as well as the I-95/Route 2 and Route 4/Route 401 interchanges would be significantly reduced.

It should be noted that a background project is programmed for 2024 which will extend the Route 4 North on-ramp auxiliary lane to provide some additional weaving space for vehicles. This is included in all No-Build and Build Conditions.

Figure 4
2028 No-Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 5


Figure 6
2058 No-Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 72058 No-Build PM Peak Condition


## Projected 2028 and 2058 Build Conditions

The proposed Route 4 and I-95 interchange improvements will install a new ramp from I-95 northbound to Route 4 southbound. Additionally, a ramp from Route 4 northbound to l-95 southbound will be installed to complete the interchange and allow for simultaneous access to and from Route 4.

Tables 3 and 4 summarize the Route 4 and I-95 freeway network system and the arterial roadway network system, respectively, for the hourly volumes, vehicle miles traveled (VMT), vehicle hours traveled (VHT), average speed, and total delay comparison between the 2058 No-Build and Build conditions. As noted earlier, the 15 -hour modeling period accounted for $90 \%$ of the total daily demand. As highlighted in Table 3, the proposed improvements "Build" alternative is expected to process more vehicles in the network with increases in VMT and decreases to VHT as traffic volumes are shifting from the arterial to the freeway. The traffic volumes, VMT, and VHT along the arterial roadway network system are expected to decrease. Even though the average speeds are comparable between the No-Build and Build alternatives, the delays are less especially during the PM peak hour periods with the proposed improvements.

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network |  | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) |  | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) |  | Average Speed (mph) |  | Total Delay (hr) |  | Average Delay (hr/veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2058$ <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build | $2058$ <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build | $2058$ <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build | 2058 <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build | 2058 <br> No-Build | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | 2058 <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build |
| 6:00 AM | 5,981 | 6,125 | 19,000 | 18,987 | 389 | 391 | 48 | 48 | 8 | 8 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| 7:00 AM | 10,233 | 10,477 | 33,635 | 34,840 | 689 | 710 | 47 | 48 | 16 | 18 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 8:00 AM | 16,767 | 17,207 | 53,275 | 55,618 | 1,531 | 1,503 | 40 | 40 | 178 | 307 | 0.011 | 0.018 |
| 9:00 AM | 15,728 | 16,360 | 48,146 | 52,342 | 2,055 | 1,624 | 37 | 40 | 393 | 456 | 0.025 | 0.028 |
| 10:00 AM | 13,824 | 13,726 | 44,011 | 45,581 | 1,433 | 1,228 | 39 | 42 | 206 | 239 | 0.015 | 0.017 |
| 11:00 AM | 12,232 | 11,890 | 39,972 | 39,526 | 996 | 808 | 45 | 47 | 82 | 22 | 0.007 | 0.002 |
| 12:00 PM | 11,923 | 11,888 | 39,366 | 39,778 | 894 | 812 | 45 | 47 | 50 | 22 | 0.004 | 0.002 |
| 1:00 PM | 13,024 | 13,294 | 41,439 | 42,585 | 880 | 891 | 46 | 47 | 30 | 28 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| 2:00 PM | 13,202 | 13,520 | 42,859 | 43,578 | 914 | 924 | 47 | 47 | 40 | 33 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| 3:00 PM | 17,747 | 18,442 | 55,661 | 57,758 | 1,349 | 1,320 | 45 | 46 | 125 | 85 | 0.007 | 0.005 |
| 4:00 PM | 18,169 | 19,336 | 56,621 | 59,679 | 1,919 | 1,744 | 40 | 38 | 377 | 320 | 0.021 | 0.017 |
| 5:00 PM | 17,568 | 19,815 | 50,277 | 60,505 | 2,978 | 2,072 | 31 | 38 | 904 | 530 | 0.051 | 0.027 |
| 6:00 PM | 18,008 | 18,752 | 50,659 | 56,514 | 2,689 | 1,861 | 33 | 40 | 767 | 443 | 0.043 | 0.024 |
| 7:00 PM | 15,994 | 13,710 | 45,708 | 41,716 | 1,653 | 1,064 | 40 | 43 | 344 | 136 | 0.022 | 0.010 |
| 8:00 PM | 11,617 | 8,870 | 36,114 | 27,363 | 1,079 | 572 | 42 | 47 | 171 | 20 | 0.015 | 0.002 |
| Total | 212,015 | 213,412 | 656,743 | 676,370 | 21,449 | 17,523 | 41 | 43 | 3,692 | 2,667 | 0.0174 | 0.0125 |

[^7]Table 4 Arterial Roadway Network System Measures of Effectiveness (MOE) Comparison

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network |  | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) |  | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) |  | Average Speed (mph) |  | Total Delay (hr) |  | Average Delay (hr/veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | 2058 <br> Build | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | 2058 <br> Build | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $2058$ <br> Build | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $2058$ <br> Build | $2058$ <br> No-Build | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | 2058 <br> Build |
| 6:00 AM | 1,225 | 1,081 | 3,892 | 3,351 | 80 | 69 | 28 | 28 | 21 | 23 | 0.017 | 0.021 |
| 7:00 AM | 2,096 | 1,849 | 6,889 | 6,148 | 141 | 125 | 27 | 26 | 41 | 39 | 0.019 | 0.021 |
| 8:00 AM | 3,434 | 3,037 | 10,912 | 9,815 | 314 | 265 | 23 | 27 | 442 | 217 | 0.129 | 0.071 |
| 9:00 AM | 3,221 | 2,887 | 9,861 | 9,237 | 421 | 287 | 22 | 27 | 976 | 285 | 0.303 | 0.099 |
| 10:00 AM | 2,832 | 2,422 | 9,014 | 8,044 | 294 | 217 | 25 | 27 | 511 | 190 | 0.180 | 0.078 |
| 11:00 AM | 2,505 | 2,098 | 8,187 | 6,975 | 204 | 143 | 27 | 26 | 202 | 46 | 0.081 | 0.022 |
| 12:00 PM | 2,442 | 2,098 | 8,063 | 7,020 | 183 | 143 | 27 | 27 | 124 | 46 | 0.051 | 0.022 |
| 1:00 PM | 2,667 | 2,346 | 8,488 | 7,515 | 180 | 157 | 26 | 25 | 73 | 63 | 0.027 | 0.027 |
| 2:00 PM | 2,704 | 2,386 | 8,778 | 7,690 | 187 | 163 | 26 | 25 | 68 | 73 | 0.025 | 0.031 |
| 3:00 PM | 3,635 | 3,255 | 11,400 | 10,193 | 276 | 233 | 20 | 17 | 212 | 165 | 0.058 | 0.051 |
| 4:00 PM | 3,721 | 3,412 | 11,597 | 10,532 | 393 | 308 | 13 | 19 | 638 | 389 | 0.171 | 0.114 |
| 5:00 PM | 3,598 | 3,497 | 10,298 | 10,677 | 610 | 366 | 11 | 24 | 1,529 | 549 | 0.425 | 0.157 |
| 6:00 PM | 3,688 | 3,309 | 10,376 | 9,973 | 551 | 328 | 12 | 27 | 1,297 | 473 | 0.352 | 0.143 |
| 7:00 PM | 3,276 | 2,419 | 9,362 | 7,362 | 339 | 188 | 19 | 22 | 583 | 172 | 0.178 | 0.071 |
| 8:00 PM | 2,379 | 1,565 | 7,397 | 4,829 | 221 | 101 | 25 | 18 | 289 | 38 | 0.121 | 0.024 |
| Total | 43,425 | 37,661 | 134,514 | 119,359 | 4,393 | 3,092 | 21 | 24 | 7,006 | 2,768 | 0.1613 | 0.00735 |

Figures 8 to 11 illustrate the 2028 and 2058 Build Condition AM and PM peak hour speeds. The speeds along arterial roadway network system are expected to $y$ improve with the proposed improvements as traffic are shifting to the freeway network system compared to the No-Build alternative.

Figure 8
2028 Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 9
2028 Build PM Peak Condition



Figure 11
2058 Build PM Peak Condition


Table 5 summarizes the study area travel time segment comparison between the 2058 No-Build and Build conditions. While some segments show minor increases to travel time, the I-95 Northbound to Route 4 Southbound movement shows significant decreases in travel time, primarily in the weekday PM peak hour which was identified to be the worst-case condition.

Table 5 Travel Time Segment Comparison

| Travel Time Segments | 2058 No-Build |  |  |  | 2058 Build |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | sec | min | sec | min | sec | min | sec | min |
| Freeway <br> Route 4 NB to l-95 NB | 321 | 5.4 | 329 | 5.5 | 322 | 5.4 | 362 | 6.0 |
| Freeway I-95 SB to Route 4 SB | 284 | 4.7 | 241 | 4.0 | 281 | 4.7 | 298 | 5.0 |
| Freeway I-95 NB to Route 4 SB | 404 | 6.7 | 643 | 10.7 | 192 | 3.2 | 227 | 3.8 |
| Arterial Route 2 SB to Route 4 SB | 337 | 5.6 | 865 | 14.4 | 304 | 5.1 | 196 | 3.3 |

Memorandum

To: Ken White, Ph.D.
Assistant Director for Administrative Services Division of Planning
Rhode Island Department of Transportation
Two Capitol Hill, Room 318
Providence, Rhode Island

From: Kristin Caouette, PE
Peter Pavao, PE
Amphone Soupharath
Zachary Tiang, PE

The Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT) is responding to a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for grant funding through Multimodal Project Discretionary Grant program to request a construction grant for the Completing The Missing Move. RIDOT requested support from VHB for preparation of the NOFO application, including estimation of the traffic, safety, noise, environmental, and other impacts, as well as costs, of the proposed design.

This memorandum documents the traffic and travel time analysis completed for Component 2 of the Completing the Missing Move Project focused on the Route 403 corridor and providing new access between Post Road (US-1), Route 403, and West Davisville Road.

RIDOT has requested that VHB evaluate and determine the travel time savings associated with the proposed improvements at the Route 403 at Route 1 (Post Road) and Route 403 at West Davisville Road interchanges by installing an on-ramp from Post Road to Route 403 westbound and on/off-ramps to West Davisville Road westbound/eastbound respectively. The purpose of this memorandum is to document the methodologies and assumptions used in the development of the VISSIM microsimulation model and provide documentation of the model results.

Along Route 403, a review identified "missing moves" that if installed, would create direct travel between the two Quonset Business Parks and alleviate local roads that currently facilitate movement between the two parks. This review found that there would be positive travel time benefits by installing the three deferred ramp systems. The results presented in the Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) are the net results for the Route 403 modeled study area, described in the following section. This memorandum concludes with a summary of changes in travel times by roadway facility in the modeled study area.

## Study Area

The Route 403 study area includes the Route 403 freeway network system and the arterial roadway network system, providing both local and regional access to the Quonset developments and North Kingstown. The study area extends from Route 403 approaching West Davisville Road at the ramp terminus, along Route 403 to the roundabout east of Gate Road and west of the Quonset Business Park. The study area also includes the ramps and approach roads on the ends of Post Road, including the Route 403 interchange and The Shops at Quonset Point driveways. The following is a description of the study area roadways and intersections.

Route 403 is an east-west four-lane divided freeway under the jurisdiction of the Rhode Island Department of Transportation (RIDOT). RIDOT also currently maintains the ramps and the approaches to the West Davisville Road Bridge. Overhead roadway lighting is present throughout the study area. The posted speed limit is 45 mph however vehicles operate at much higher speeds.

Post Road is a north-south principal arterial under RIDOT jurisdiction in North Kingstown. In the study area, there are on- and off-ramps providing access to and from Route 403 and Quonset Business Park. Sidewalks are present along both sides of Post Road. The posted speed limit within the study area is 35 mph .

Gate Road is an east-west major collector under RIDOT jurisdiction in North Kingstown. Gate Road provides access between Post Road and the Quonset Business Park as well as The Shops at Quonset Point. Sidewalks are present along both sides of Gate Road. The posted speed limit within the study area is 35 mph .

Davisville Road is an east-west principal arterial under RIDOT jurisdiction in North Kingstown. Davisville Road is two legs of the roundabout and connects the northern Quonset Business Park to Post Road and Route 403 westbound. Overhead roadway lighting is present approaching the roundabout westbound. The posted speed limit within the study area is 25 mph .

Devils Foot Road is an east-west minor arterial under RIDOT jurisdiction in North Kingstown. Devils Foot Road connects Post Road to West Davisville and is currently the only way to access the West Davisville Business Park from Post Road. Sidewalks are present along both sides of Devils Foot Road. The posted speed limit within the study area is generally 35 mph .

West Davisville Road is a north-south major collector under North Kingstown's jurisdiction. In the study area, there are on- and off-ramps providing access to Route 407 westbound and from Route 403 eastbound. There are no ramps providing access to Route 403 eastbound or from Route 403 westbound. West Davisville Road provides access to and from the West Davisville Business Park. The posted speed limit within the study area is 25 mph .

Figure 1 Study Area


## Model Development

To quantify existing traffic operations, the study area roadways and intersections were modeled and analyzed using VISSIM microscopic traffic simulation software (Version 21). Because of its extensive modeling and analysis capabilities, the VISSIM model provides a more comprehensive evaluation of complex transportation facilities. VISSIM can therefore better model complex networks that include such things as multi-modal users, ramp systems with closely spaced intersections (signalized, stop/yield controlled and/or roundabout), compared to the traditional traffic analysis methodology based on the Highway Capacity Manual. For the purpose of the Infrastructure for Rebuilding America (INFRA) grant, VISSIM is well suited to provide network level measures for quantifying impacts and benefits. For this analysis, traffic was projected to the year 2028 (opening year) and 2058 ( 30 year planning horizon). No Build and Build Condition analyses were completed for each year. The VISSIM model was calibrated to model the 2023 existing conditions and then used to project future operations with (Build Condition) and without (No Build Condition) the project.

## Data Collection

To identify current traffic flow characteristics, supplemental traffic counts were collected in December 2022 at key intersections in the study area as well as along Post Road and Route 403. A review of the historical traffic counts in the November 2020 Interchange Justification Report and historical local counts revealed that the December 2022 counts were comparable to the 2020 counts. A review of the seasonal adjustment factor in December was approximately 2 percent less, therefore, the December 2022 volumes were adjusted by the seasonal factor to develop the 2023 existing conditions. The 2023 existing daily and peak hour volumes are shown below in Table 1.

Table 1 Existing Traffic Volumes

| Location | Daily <br> Weekday ${ }^{1}$ | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume ${ }^{2}$ | Dir. Dist. ${ }^{3}$ | Volume ${ }^{2}$ | Dir. Dist. ${ }^{4}$ |
| Post Road, north of Devils Foot Road | 15,632 | 729 | 56\% SB | 1,343 | 58\% NB |
| Route 403, east of West Davisville Road | 22,184 | 1,709 | 72\% EB | 1,655 | 73\% WB |

Source: PDI. Based on automatic traffic recorder (ATR) counts conducted in December 2022.
1 average daily traffic (ADT) volume expressed in vehicles per day
2 peak hour traffic volumes expressed in vehicles per hour
3 directional distribution of peak period traffic
Note: Peak hours do not necessarily coincide with the peak hours of the individual intersection turning movement counts

Google Maps average traffic conditions and travel times were used to provide travel time and speed measurements and to help identify hotspot and bottleneck locations. StreetLight was used to perform a 2019 Origin/Destination study to determine the proportion of origins and their destinations in the study area. These proportions help determine the turning movements throughout the network.

## Model Geometrics and Traffic Controls

The existing roadway, interchange, and intersection geometries were initially modeled using aerial mapping provided within VISSIM and verified with a combination of field observations, Google Maps/StreetView, and Nearmap. Traffic controls and signal timings were modeled and verified by field observations. Vehicle speed data was modeled and verified using the Posted Speed Limit in the field.

## Model Verification and Error Checking

Before beginning the calibration process, the model was checked for errors. Roadway geometry, traffic volumes and routes, speeds, signal timing data, and other inputs were reviewed along with a visual check of the simulation. The VISSIM error file was also reviewed, and critical errors were corrected. The simulation was reviewed to verify traffic signals were operating correctly, to visually inspect queue lengths, and to check general traffic operations throughout the model. Coding errors were corrected, and adjustments were made to various model parameters to accurately reflect field conditions.

## Model Calibration and Results

The North America default network parameters were used and adjusted as part of the calibration process including conflict areas, lane-change distances, and driver behaviors.

Based on the required benefit-cost analysis inputs, the 2023 existing VISSIM model was developed and modeled for 15-hours from 5:00 AM to 8:00 PM in order to capture both the AM and PM peak hour periods as well as the shoulder hours building up the congestion and the recovering periods after the peak hour periods. This 15 -hour period accounted for approximately $90 \%$ of the daily traffic demand.

Ten model runs with random seed numbers were simulated to provide varying nature of vehicles entering the network. The simulated traffic volumes (average of the ten model runs) were within $10 \%$ of the 2022 counted volumes and the simulated travel times and speeds were with $15 \%$ of the observed data. A visual inspection of the calibrated model simulation during the AM and PM peak hour periods indicated that the model simulates the traffic operations reasonably and correctly. The heat/speed maps shown in Figures 2 and 3 during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively, are consistent with field observations. The 2023 existing VISSIM model has been calibrated and serves as the base model for the future No-Build and Build alternatives.

Figure 22023 Existing AM Peak Condition


Figure 32023 Existing PM Peak Condition


## Alternatives Analysis

The proposed improvements are expected to be constructed and opened to traffic in 5 years. This alternatives analysis will be evaluating the following future year conditions:
) No-Build - Opening Year (2028),
) Build - Opening Year (2028),
) No-Build - 30 Year Planning Horizon (2058),
) Build - 30 Year Planning Horizon (2058)
Traffic volumes in and around the project area are expected to change in the future based on the ambient background traffic growth in addition to the Quonset Development Corporation (QDC) master plan. A review of the RIDOT historical count data indicated that traffic volumes in the area remained steady with minimal growth. In order to provide some growth in the area, a background traffic growth rate of $0.25 \%$ annually was chosen and applied to the 2023 existing condition to get the 2028 and 2058 No-Build conditions. The Quonset Business Park has available land and the Interchange Justification Report (IJR) for the Route 4 and I-95 interchange has outlined known traffic growth due to business developments. The IJR based planned project traffic growth on the increase in the number of employees by 5,000 (approximately $40 \%$ increase) as projected in the 2019 QDC Master Plan. The additional 5,000 employees are anticipated to be realized by the 30-year project horizon. Approximately 20\% of that number is anticipated by 2026. While the IJR assumed approximately $40 \%$ of the 5,000 employees would arrive/depart during a peak hour, it seems more likely that these employees would be working across more shifts per day than, for example, office workers who often work during one shift. For the VISSIM exercise these trips were distributed across the 15 hour analysis with significant peaks during traditional work day shifts but additional windows of activity during the off-peak periods. This distribution is based on available 24-hour traffic counts at gateways to the various QDC sites. Trips are generated to West Davisville Road, Davisville Road, or Roger Williams Way based on the size (acreage) of vacant parcels accessed by each gateway.

In addition to employees, this analysis assumed that an approximately $40 \%$ increase in employees would also result in a proportional increase in truck activity. Using origin-destination information, historic truck counts, and best available traffic volumes, approximately $40 \%$ more truck activity for the future conditions were also estimated and layered into the No Build and Build Condition models.

Table 2 summarizes the 2058 daily traffic volumes.
Table 2 Projected 2058 Traffic Volumes

| Location | Daily <br> Weekday ${ }^{1}$ | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume ${ }^{2}$ | Dir. Dist. ${ }^{3}$ | Volume ${ }^{2}$ | Dir. Dist. ${ }^{3}$ |
| Post Road, north of Devils Foot Road | 17,059 | 785 | 56\% SB | 1,446 | 58\% NB |
| Route 403, east of West Davisville Road | 32,576 | 2,443 | 55\% EB | 2,312 | 63\% WB |

Source: PDI. Based on automatic traffic recorder (ATR) counts conducted in December 2022 grown annually by $0.25 \%$.
1 average daily traffic (ADT) volume expressed in vehicles per day
2 peak hour traffic volumes expressed in vehicles per hour
3 directional distribution of peak period traffic
Note: Peak hours do not necessarily coincide with the peak hours of the individual intersection turning movement counts
Projected 2028 and 2058 No-Build Conditions

Figures 4 to 7 illustrate the 2028 and 2058 No-Build Condition AM and PM peak hour heat/speed maps. There are minor changes in speed under the 2028 No-Build AM peak hour compared to the existing condition, primarily along Route 403 and more significant decreases to speed along Gate Road in the 2028 No-Build PM peak hour. Due to the existing lack of access from Post Road to Route 403 westbound, the northbound left-turn from Post Road to Devils Foot Road deficiency is expected to escalate in the future, causing speeds on Post Road and Gate Road to reduce with vehicle queues spilling back to the lafrate Way off-ramp. With the increase in traffic volumes in 2058, the speed along Post Road would be further reduced.

Figure 42028 No-Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 5
2028 No-Build PM Peak Condition


Figure 6
2058 No-Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 72058 No-Build PM Peak Condition


## Projected 2028 and 2058 Build Conditions

The Route 403 proposed improvements will install a new ramp from Post Road to Route 403 westbound across from Gate Road. Additionally, a pair of on and off-ramps will be installed at West Davisville Road to complete the interchange and allow for simultaneous access to Route 403 eastbound and from Route 403 westbound.

Tables 3 and 4 summarize the Route 403 freeway network system and arterial roadway network system, respectively, for the hourly volumes, vehicle miles traveled (VMT), vehicle hours traveled (VHT), average speed, and total delay comparison between the 2058 No-Build and Build conditions. As noted earlier, the 15 -hour modeling period accounted for $90 \%$ of the total daily demand. As highlighted in Table 3, the proposed improvements "Build" alternative is expected to process more vehicles in the network with increases in VMT and VHT along the freeway network system as traffic are shifting from the arterial to the freeway. However, the traffic volumes, VMT, and VHT along the arterial roadway network system are expected to decrease as shown in Table 4. Even though the average speeds are comparable between the No-Build and Build alternatives, the delays are less especially during the PM peak hour periods with the proposed improvements.

| Time | Total Vehicles in Network |  | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) |  | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) |  | Average Speed (mph) |  | Total Delay (hr) |  | Average Delay (hr/veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2058 <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build | $2058$ <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build | $2058$ <br> No-Build | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $2058$ <br> No-Build | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | 2058 <br> No-Build | $2058$ Build | $2058$ <br> No-Build | 2058 <br> Build |
| 5:00 AM | 2,492 | 2,569 | 4,425 | 4,559 | 104 | 101 | 45 | 46 | 3 | 3 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| 6:00 AM | 3,384 | 3,486 | 5,073 | 5,256 | 133 | 127 | 45 | 46 | 6 | 4 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| 7:00 AM | 3,505 | 3,602 | 5,169 | 5,340 | 136 | 131 | 45 | 46 | 6 | 4 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| 8:00 AM | 3,688 | 3,794 | 4,983 | 5,174 | 143 | 138 | 45 | 46 | 8 | 5 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| 9:00 AM | 2,566 | 2,638 | 3,341 | 3,450 | 99 | 94 | 45 | 46 | 5 | 3 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| 10:00 AM | 2,145 | 2,203 | 2,569 | 2,659 | 83 | 76 | 45 | 46 | 5 | 3 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| 11:00 AM | 2,543 | 2,613 | 2,979 | 3,089 | 101 | 92 | 45 | 46 | 7 | 3 | 0.003 | 0.001 |
| 12:00 PM | 3,633 | 3,825 | 4,375 | 4,660 | 171 | 144 | 45 | 46 | 20 | 7 | 0.005 | 0.002 |
| 1:00 PM | 3,384 | 3,625 | 4,106 | 4,492 | 196 | 132 | 45 | 46 | 33 | 6 | 0.009 | 0.002 |
| 2:00 PM | 5,359 | 5,817 | 7,055 | 7,862 | 307 | 231 | 43 | 44 | 51 | 19 | 0.009 | 0.003 |
| 3:00 PM | 5,986 | 6,535 | 7,703 | 8,652 | 356 | 287 | 42 | 42 | 64 | 45 | 0.010 | 0.007 |
| 4:00 PM | 4,521 | 4,887 | 5,595 | 6,187 | 283 | 187 | 42 | 44 | 54 | 19 | 0.012 | 0.004 |
| 5:00 PM | 3,979 | 4,314 | 4,868 | 5,467 | 240 | 155 | 44 | 46 | 44 | 7 | 0.011 | 0.002 |
| 6:00 PM | 2,577 | 2,754 | 2,909 | 3,281 | 183 | 96 | 45 | 47 | 40 | 3 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| 7:00 PM | 1,820 | 1,896 | 2,049 | 2,280 | 155 | 65 | 45 | 47 | 38 | 1 | 0.020 | 0.001 |
| Total | 51,583 | 54,556 | 67,200 | 72,407 | 2,690 | 2,057 | 44 | 45 | 386 | 132 | 0.007 | 0.002 |

[^8]Table 4 Arterial Roadway Network System Measures of Effectiveness (MOE) Comparison

|  | Total Vehicles in Network |  | VMT: Total Path Distance (mi) |  | VHT: Total Time in Network (hr) |  | Average Speed (mph) |  | Total Delay (hr) |  | Average Delay (hr/veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | 2058 <br> Build | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $2058$ <br> Build | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2058 \\ \text { No-Build } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2058 \\ & \text { Build } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:00 AM | 1,402 | 1,323 | 2,489 | 2,349 | 58 | 52 | 25 | 26 | 11 | 8 | 0.007 | 0.005 |
| 6:00 AM | 1,904 | 1,796 | 2,854 | 2,708 | 75 | 66 | 25 | 25 | 19 | 15 | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| 7:00 AM | 1,971 | 1,855 | 2,907 | 2,751 | 77 | 68 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| 8:00 AM | 2,075 | 1,955 | 2,803 | 2,666 | 80 | 71 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 0.011 | 0.012 |
| 9:00 AM | 1,443 | 1,359 | 1,879 | 1,777 | 56 | 49 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 16 | 0.011 | 0.011 |
| 10:00 AM | 1,207 | 1,135 | 1,445 | 1,370 | 47 | 39 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 13 | 0.013 | 0.011 |
| 11:00 AM | 1,431 | 1,346 | 1,676 | 1,591 | 57 | 48 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 0.015 | 0.013 |
| 12:00 PM | 2,044 | 1,971 | 2,461 | 2,400 | 96 | 74 | 22 | 24 | 64 | 36 | 0.029 | 0.017 |
| 1:00 PM | 1,904 | 1,868 | 2,310 | 2,314 | 110 | 68 | 22 | 24 | 105 | 28 | 0.050 | 0.014 |
| 2:00 PM | 3,015 | 2,996 | 3,969 | 4,050 | 173 | 119 | 21 | 23 | 162 | 59 | 0.049 | 0.018 |
| 3:00 PM | 3,367 | 3,366 | 4,333 | 4,457 | 200 | 148 | 21 | 22 | 202 | 91 | 0.053 | 0.025 |
| 4:00 PM | 2,543 | 2,517 | 3,147 | 3,187 | 159 | 96 | 21 | 23 | 172 | 46 | 0.061 | 0.017 |
| 5:00 PM | 2,238 | 2,222 | 2,738 | 2,816 | 135 | 80 | 22 | 24 | 141 | 34 | 0.057 | 0.014 |
| 6:00 PM | 1,450 | 1,418 | 1,636 | 1,690 | 103 | 50 | 23 | 24 | 126 | 19 | 0.077 | 0.013 |
| 7:00 PM | 1,023 | 976 | 1,152 | 1,174 | 87 | 33 | 23 | 25 | 120 | 11 | 0.102 | 0.010 |
| Total | 29,016 | 28,105 | 37,800 | 37,300 | 1,513 | 1,060 | 23 | 24 | 1,225 | 437 | 0.039 | 0.015 |

Figure 4
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Figure 5
2028 No-Build PM Peak Condition
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Figure 6
2058 No-Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 72058 No-Build PM Peak Condition


Figures 8 to 11 illustrate the 2028 and 2058 Build Condition AM and PM peak hour speeds. The proposed on-ramp from Post Road and the on/off-ramps at West Davisville Road improved the operation within both the freeway and arterial system compared to the No-Build alternative.

Figure 82028 Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 9
2028 Build PM Peak Condition


Figure 102058 Build AM Peak Condition


Figure 112058 Build PM Peak Condition


Table 5 summarizes the study area travel time segment comparison between the 2058 No-Build and Build conditions. While some segments show minor increases to travel time, the West Davisville to Post Road southbound movement shows significant decreases in travel time, primarily in the weekday PM peak hour which was identified to be the worst-case condition.

Table 5 Travel Time Segment Comparison

|  | 2058 No-Build |  |  |  | 2058 Build |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | sec | min | sec | min | sec | min | sec | min |
| Arterial <br> Post Rd NB | 102.5 | 1.71 | 120.0 | 2.00 | 104.7 | 1.75 | 117.3 | 1.96 |
| Arterial <br> Post Rd SB | 92.3 | 1.54 | 204.6 | 3.41 | 94.8 | 1.58 | 111.8 | 1.86 |
| Freeway <br> West Davisville to Post Rd NB | 238.4 | 3.97 | 287.4 | 4.79 | 240.6 | 4.01 | 290.1 | 4.84 |
| Freeway <br> West Davisville to Post Rd SB | 263.5 | 4.39 | 315.3 | 5.26 | 191.9 | 3.20 | 226.9 | 3.78 |
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## APPENDIX G

ROUTE 4 AND I-95 TRAFFIC COUNT COMPARISON AND GROWTH FACTORS

## INTERSECTIONS

## Quaker Lane at J.P. Murphy Industrial Highway

## AM Peak

2007 Total intersection entering volume 2771
2011 Total intersection entering volume 2358 15\% Lower
No additional counts conducted after 2011
PM Peak
2007 Total intersection entering volume 3681
2011 Total intersection entering volume 3133 15\% Lower
No additional counts conducted after 2011

## Quaker Lane at I-95 SB off Ramp

## AM Peak

2007 Total intersection entering volume 2433
2011 Total intersection entering volume 2219 8.8\% Lower
No additional counts conducted after 2011
PM Peak
2007 Total intersection entering volume 2899
2011 Total intersection entering volume 2666 8\% Lower
No additional counts conducted after 2011

## Quaker Lane at Division Street

## AM Peak

2007 Total intersection entering volume 3790
2011 Total intersection entering volume 3417 9.8\% Lower
No additional counts conducted after 2011
PM Peak
2007 Total intersection entering volume 4637
2011 Total intersection entering volume 4494 3\% Lower
No additional counts conducted after 2011

Division St. @ , Showcase/EG Square

## AM Peak

2007 Total intersection entering volume 2678
2011 Total intersection entering volume 2351 12.6\% Lower
2019 Total intersection entering volume 2707 1.1\% Higher
PM Peak
2007 Total intersection entering volume 3408
2011 Total intersection entering volume 3431 0.7\% Higher
2019 Total intersection entering volume 3474 1.9\% Higher

## Division St. @ Route 4 SB Ramps/1149

## AM Peak

2007 Total intersection entering volume 2958
2011 Total intersection entering volume 2653 10\% Lower
2019 Total intersection entering volume 3054 3.2\% Higher
PM Peak
2007 Total intersection entering volume 3972
2011 Total intersection entering volume 3819 3.9\% Lower
2016 Total intersection entering volume 3163 20\% Lower
2019 Total intersection entering volume 3792 4.5\% Lower

## Division St. @ Route 4 NB Ramps

## AM Peak

2007 Total intersection entering volume 2969
2011 Total intersection entering volume 2655 10.7\% Lower
2019 Total intersection entering volume 2784 6.27\% Lower
PM Peak
2007 Total intersection entering volume 3824
2011 Total intersection entering volume 3686 3.6\% Lower 2019 Total intersection entering volume 3715 2.8\% Lower

## CONCLUSIONS

- 2011 traffic counts were lower than 2007 counts at all 6 intersections except in one instance.
- At the Division St. @ Showcase/EG Square intersection the 2019 count was slightly higher than the 2007 count.
- At the Division Street at Route 4 SB Ramps/ 1149 intersection the 2016 PM peak count was $20 \%$ lower than the 2007 count. The 2019 counts were $3.2 \%$ higher during the AM peak and $4.5 \%$ lower during the PM peak.
- At the Division Street at Route 4 NB Ramps intersection the 2019 count was lower than the 2007 count during the AM and PM peaks.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

Due to the majority of the counts taken since 2007 being lower than the 2007 counts it appears there was zero growth between 2007 and 2019. Therefore, we recommend using the 2007 traffic counts for estimated 2020 volumes for the three (3) Route 2 intersections where no additional counts have been conducted since 2011. New counts will be very low due to the Covid-19 virus and it is our opinion that the virus would also impact the percentage of turning volumes.

For the three (3) Division Street intersections we recommend using the July, 2019 counts.

## ATR's

## I-95 and ROUTE 4

I-95 at Route 2 - Station 380002 - Ten (10) months of 2019 counts were obtained from RIDOT. The average weekday AM and PM peak hour volumes are shown below and compared with the 2007 volumes.

|  | AM SB | PM SB | AM NB | PM NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 2804 | 3484 | 3662 | 2826 |
| 2019 | 2643 | 3553 | 2938 | 2290 |

RECOMMENDATION: The 2007 volumes are higher in three of four peak hours but we recommend using the 2019 data for existing volumes in the report. These peak hour volumes are based on ten (10) months of counts and are much more recent.

Route 4 at Division Street - The count station on Route 4 south of Division Street was not working the last few years so the August, 2016 counts from the Division Street TMP were
used. The average weekday AM and PM peak hour volumes are shown below and compared with the 2007 volumes.

|  | AM SB | PM SB | AM NB | PM NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 1830 | 2436 | 4088 | 3575 |
| 2016 | 1732 | 2095 | 4204 | 4772 |

RECOMMENDATION: The 2007 volumes are higher on SB Route 4 and lower on NB Route 4. We recommend using the 2016 data for existing volumes in the report. These peak hour volumes are much more recent.

I-95 North of Route 4 - Station 351000 at Cowesett Rd. - 2019 counts were obtained from RIDOT. The average weekday AM and PM peak hour volumes are shown below and compared to the 2007 volumes.

|  | AM SB | PM SB | AM NB | PM NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 4330 | 5491 | 8336 | 6881 |
| 2019 | 5708 | 6607 | 7257 | 6987 |

RECOMMENDATION: The 2007 volumes are higher on only one of the four peak hours. We recommend using the 2019 data for existing volumes in the report. They are based on twelve (12) months of counts and are much more recent.

## GROWTH RATES

2020 (Existing) to 2025 (Construction)
From Statewide Planning's Model AADT Projections

| Station | $\underline{\text { Location }}$ | $\underline{2020}$ | $\underline{2025}$ | \% Inc. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I-95 at Route 2 NB | 38221 |  | 38731 |
| 380002 | I-95 at Route 2 SB | 31744 | 31763 | $1.3 \%$ |
| 35002 | I-95 at Centerville NB | 76164 | 77106 | $0.06 \%$ |
| 350164 | I-95 at Centerville SB | 72654 | 73456 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 090001 | Route 4 So. of Division NB | 40739 | 41311 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 090001 | Route 4 So. of Division SB | 41666 | 42630 | $2.3 \%$ |

## CONCLUSION

- Percent increase over 5 years ranges from $0.06 \%$ to $2.3 \%$ which is no increase to $0.5 \%$ increase per year. The four other locations average $1.25 \%$ increase over 5 years which is 0.25 increase per year.


## RECOMMENDATION

- Use a growth rate of $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 \%}$ increase per year for all locations for 2020 to 2025.

2025 (Construction) to 2045 (Design Year)
From Statewide Planning's Model AADT Projections

| $\underline{\text { Station }}$ | $\underline{\text { Location }}$ | $\underline{2025}$ |  | $\underline{2045}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 380002 | I-95 at Route 2 NB | 38731 |  | 39642 |  |
| 380002 | I-95 at Route 2 SB | 31763 |  | 32151 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 350164 | I-95 at Centerville NB | 77106 | 79491 | $3.2 \%$ |  |
| 350164 | I-95 at Centerville SB | 73456 |  | 76082 | $3.1 \%$ |
| 090001 | Route 4 So. of Division NB | 41311 |  | 42812 | $3.6 \%$ |
| 090001 | Route 4 So. of Division SB | 42630 |  | 44977 | $5.5 \%$ |

## CONCLUSION

- Percent increase over 20 years ranges from 1.2 to $5.5 \%$ which is $0.06 \%$ increase to $0.28 \%$ increase. The four other locations average $3.18 \%$ increase over 20 years which is $0.16 \%$ increase per year.


## RECOMMENDATION

- Use a growth rate of $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 \%}$ increase per year for all locations for 2025 to 2045.


## APPENDIX H

QUONSET POINT FUTURE TRIP GENERATION

## Quonset Point Future Trip Generation

From Master Plan and Development Plan 2019

- Page 42 - Projects 5,000 additional jobs
- Employment today - 11,700


## Trip Generation

PM Peak 0.44 trips/employee x $5,000=2,200$ trips
Enter 86\% 1,892
Exit 14\% 304
PM Peak 0.42 trips/employee x $5,000=2,100$ trips
Enter 20\% 420
Exit 80\% 1,680
From 2001 Master Plan - Page 4-11
$57 \%$ of traffic to/from 95 N of Rt. 4
$9 \%$ of traffic to/from Rt. 2 N. of Div.
$9 \%$ of traffic to/from 95 S of Rt. 4
Assume Full Build Out By 2045
Assume 20\% Build Out By 2025
AM Peak
$1892 \times .57=1078$ trips 95 S to Route 4 S (216 in 2025)
1892 x $.09=170$ trips Rt 2 S to Div. St. E to 4 S (34 in 2025)
$1892 \times .09=170$ trips $95 N$ to Rt. $2 S$ to Division $E$ to $4 S(34$ in 2025)
$304 \times .57=173$ trips 4 N to 95 N ( 35 in 2025)
$304 \times .09=27$ trips to $\mathbf{4 N}$ to Div. W to Rt. 2N (5 trips in 2025)
$304 \times \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{2 7}$ trips to $\mathbf{4 N}$ to Div. W to $\mathbf{2 N} \& \mathbf{~ 9 5 S}(5$ trips in 2025)
PM Peak
$420 \times .57=239$ trips 95 S to 4 S ( 48 trips in 2025)
$420 \times .09=38$ trips 2 S to Div. E to 4 S (8 trips in 2025)
$420 \times .09=38$ trips $95 N$ to $2 S$ to Div. E to $4 S(8$ trips in 2025)
$1680 \times .57=958$ trips 4 N to 95 N (192 trips in 2025)
$1680 \times \mathbf{0 9}=151$ trips $4 N$ to Div. $W$ to $2 N(30$ trips in 2025)
$1680 \times .09=151$ trips $4 N$ to Div. $W$ to $2 N$ to $95 S(30$ trips in 2025)

The industrial park's roadway cross-section (shoulder width and landscaping) establishes the character of the development. A first class site should develop roadways with ample landscaping, large frontages and sufficient roadway capacities to accommodate peak period traffic flows. All collector roads are, therefore, recommended to be two-lane roads with shoulders.

Local Access Streets: Local streets are not discussed, as many of the existing streets are likely to be replaced to better serve future parcel development for industrial tenants/owners. Local streets should be two lanes wide and intersect with collector roads only, not with the three major roads, if at all feasible.

### 4.4 Parking

The RIEDC "Development Package" published in 1992 suggests various controls on development of the "Quonset Point/Davisville Industrial Park", including parking. Recognizing that these controls may not be readily adaptable to all development situations, RIEDC would work closely with business clients in adapting controls to specific development situations. Parking within the industrial park should be consistent with the RIEDC Revised Development Regulations prepared as part of the 1998 Draft Master Plan work effort. Major objectives of the revised regulations included parking requirements consistent with business and industry needs and site planning requirements that would provide for landscaped parking areas and transit oriented developments, where appropriate.

### 4.5 Commuter Characteristics

RIEDC sent a survey to Park employers in April 2001 to determine the commuting habits of employees within the Park. Employers were asked to indicate their district within the Park, current and future staffing levels, modes of transportation used by employees to arrive at work, employee transit use, staff arrival and departure times, and the estimated amount of vehicles arriving on site. In addition, employers were asked to provide a list of the towns and zip codes in which employees lived and the number of employees residing in each. As of May 2, 2001 survey forms were received from 32 companies and 31 zip code lists were received, covering approximately 3,500 employees. This response represents over half of the 6,200 employees at the site ( 56 percent) and provides a reasonable sample.
The economic reach of Quonset Davisville Port and Commerce Park spreads throughout the entire state of Rhode Island and into neighboring southeastern Connecticut and southeastern Massachusetts. Of the 3,498 employees for whom residence zip code information was provided by employers approximately 89 percent of current employees live in Rhode Island, 2.3 percent in Connecticut and 3.6 percent in Massachusetts. Over a third of the Rhode Island employees ( 37.3 percent) live within a 10 -mile radius of the site, primarily in North Kingstown, Warwick, West Warwick and Coventry (see Figure 4.4.)4.3, Locations of Residences of Employees at Quonset Davisville.) (Insert figure here.) Secondary concentrations of employees are located in Providence (7.4 percent) and Cranston (4.9 percent). The largest concentrations of employees were in the following:

1. North Kingstown-392
2. Warwick-358
3. Coventry-321
4. Providence-276
5. West Warwick-158
6. Cranston-152
7. East Greenwich-109

Major roads have been overlaid on top of the map showing the number of employees living within each zip code. From observing the map, it is assumed that most of these employees living within a10-mile radius travel on Routes $1,4,95,138$, and 403 (in various combinations) to and from the site.

A total of 3,534 employees are accounted for in the returned surveys. Based on the responses, virtually all employees commute by private automobile; very few by commuter van. According to the survey, very few employees use public transit because the schedules are inconvenient. According to the survey, over half of the companies ( 18 of 32 or 56 percent) did not express employee interest in an internal (on-site) shuttle bus service. Reasons cited varied, but generally included incompatibility with work hours, lack of single destinations, and the flexibility provided by using a car. However, over a third of the firms ( 36 percent) did state that employees would

## REMAINING DEVELOPMENT PARCELS

| (1) | 5.1 ACRES | (24) | 9.0 ACRES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) | 6. 1 ACRES | (27) | 15.3 ACRES |
| (3) | 8.3 ACRES | (28) | 11.9 ACRES |
| (6) | 3.3 ACRES | (35) | 2.6 ACRES |
| (7) | 5.8 ACRES | (36) | 4.2 ACRES |
| (8) | 2.1 ACRES | (3) | 4.5 AC |
| (9) | 3.2 ACRES | (40) | 3.7 AC |
| (1) | 11.7 ACRES | (42) | 41.8 ACRES |
| (13) | 2.0 ACRES | (30) | 18.7 ACRES |
| (14) | 2.9 ACRES | (3) | 30.0 ACRES |
| (15) | 8.4 ACRES | (45) | 2.0 ACRES |
| (16) | 7.4 ACRES | (4) | 4.7 AC |
| (1) | 10.6 ACRES | (A) | 16.2 ACres |
| (18) | 4.0 ACRES | (B) | 15.7 ACres |
| (19) | 1.4 ACRES | (c) | 6.0 ACres |
| (2) | 12.7 ACRES | (D) | 13.4 ACRES |
| (22) | 2.7 ACRES | (E) | 15.7 ACres |
| (23) | 2.9 ACRES | (F) | 8.5 ACRES |



## Appendix D-3 Emissions

To: Ken White, Ph.D.
Date: August 4, 2023
Assistant Director for Administrative
Services
Division of Planning
Rhode Island Department of Transportation
Two Capitol Hill, Room 318
Providence, Rhode Island
Project \#: 73337.05

From: Mark Arnoldy, PE ${ }^{1}$
Re: I-95 Missing Links Emissions Analysis
Kristin Caouette, PE
Peter Pavao, PE
Heidi Richards, PE¹
The proposed I-95 and Route 4 Interchange Improvements Project will provide full freeway-to-freeway access between I-95 and Route 4 in Warwick and East Greenwich, Rhode Island. The proposed improvements are needed because the current system of ramps at this interchange does not provide direct links for access from Interstate Route 95 northbound to Route 4 southbound nor for access from Route 4 northbound to Interstate Route 95 southbound. These missing freeway-to-freeway links force motorists to leave the freeway and use local streets for access. The Project will result in interchange-related traffic congestion being reduced in the surrounding communities and improvements to the commuter and freight roadway network.

An air quality study of the Project was conducted using traffic data developed for the transportation analysis. Emission factors for the study area were developed using the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES3) ${ }^{2}$. Emissions were analyzed for the opening year (2028) and the design year (2058). Analyses were conducted for the No Build and Build alternatives to determine the emissions reduction associated with the Project. The emission factors represent the corresponding year of the traffic modeling. The factors were derived by calculating a seasonal average during the evening peak hour with a representative vehicle mix. Oxides of Nitrogen ( $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ ), Sulfur Dioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), Particulate Matter $2.5\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$, and Carbon Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ were studied based on the latest grant application guidance. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Particulate Matter 10 ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ) were estimated for informational purposes and do not have associated damage costs.

## Emissions Results

The detailed emissions analyses are presented in the summary table below and on the following pages. Damages costs are calculated using the latest benefit-cost analysis guidance from the US Department of Transportation. ${ }^{3}$ Since the guidance only includes damage costs to 2050, damages in the design year of 2058 were calculated using the 2050

[^9]1 Cedar Street
Suite 400
<br>vhb.com\gb<br>proj\Providence\73337.05\tech\AQ\Report<br>95 Missing Links BCA AQ Analysis Memo.docx
Providence, RI 02903-1023
P 401.272.8100
values. For the purposes of quantifying benefits for the grant application, it is reasonable to apply a linear approximation for the years between 2028 and 2058 using the values estimated in this document.

Table 1. I-95 Missing Links Emissions Analysis Summary

|  |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | PM ${ }_{2.5}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | VOC | PM ${ }_{10}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | Savings (Metric Tons/yr) | 0.16 | 0.0006 | 0.016 | 100.8 | 0.06 | 0.10 |  |
|  | Savings (\$) | \$2,832 | \$30 | \$13,818 | \$6,249 | - | - | \$22,929 |
| Non | Savings (Metric Tons/yr) | 2.01 | 0.0082 | 0.352 | 1,356.7 | 1.15 | 2.81 |  |
|  | Savings (\$) | \$38,046 | \$421 | \$319,723 | \$119,393 | - | - | \$477,583 |

In the opening year, the Build scenario operates with a slightly higher average speed than the No Build scenario, but also slightly increases the VMT in the study area. VMT is expected to increase from the No Build to Build scenario by approximately $0.2 \%$ in the opening year. Under the Build Scenario, average speeds are estimated to have a modest 0.5 mph increase relative to the No Build Scenario. As such, emission factors in the opening year are expected to decrease from the No Build to Build scenario by a range of $0.3 \%$ to $1.3 \%$, depending on the pollutant. The larger increases in emission factors are seen for Particulate Matter because a major component of this pollutant is brakewear which is largely dependent on variations in speed. For all pollutants, the anticipated increase in VMT is less than the corresponding decrease in emission factor, resulting in a decrease in emissions. Overall, the estimated pollutant savings results in a moderate damage benefit for the opening year $(\$ 22,929)$.

By 2058, the No Build scenario is expected to deteriorate from opening year levels such that the change in speed between the No Build and Build scenarios is significant (approximately 5 mph ). However, the VMT in the network is expected to only increase from the No Build scenario by $0.6 \%$. This slight increase in VMT is counted by the significant increase in average travel speed and results in emission benefits for all pollutants. resulting in a benefit of $\$ 477,583$. Further detail on the emissions analysis is presented on the following pages.

195/Rt4 Missing Link
Emissions Reduction Benefits


195/Rt4 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2028 Opening Year

|  |  | Vehicle Hours <br> Travelled <br> (hrs/day) | Daily Traffic Parameters <br> Alt ID <br> (mph) | Vehicle Miles <br> Travelled <br> (mi/day) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | No Build | 17,245 | 47.0 | 804,925 | VMT Change |
| BD | Build Alternative | 17,065 | 47.5 | 806,187 | $0.2 \%$ |



Notes:
-1 short ton equals 907.185 kilograms

195/Rt4 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2028 Opening Year


195/Rt4 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2058 Design Year

|  | Daily Traffic Parameters |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alt ID Condition Vehicle Hours <br> Travelled <br> (hrs/day) Average Speed <br> (mph) Vehicle Miles <br> Travelled <br> (mi/day)  <br> NB No Build 29,391 35.9 900,066 VMT Change <br> BD Build Alternative 23,461 41.1 905,274 $0.6 \%$ |  |



Notes:
-1 short ton equals 907.185 kilograms

195/Rt4 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2058 Design Year


To: Ken White, Ph.D.
Date: August 4, 2023
Assistant Director for Administrative
Services
Division of Planning
Rhode Island Department of Transportation
Two Capitol Hill, Room 318
Providence, Rhode Island
Project \#: 73337.05

From: Mark Arnoldy, PE ${ }^{1}$
Re: Route 403 Missing Links Emissions Analysis
Kristin Caouette, PE
Peter Pavao, PE
Heidi Richards, PE¹
The proposed Project will construct "missing" exit and entrance ramps on Route 403 at West Davisville Road and Post Road in North Kingstown, Rhode Island. These missing links force motorists to take indirect routes to access and leave the freeway. The Project will result in interchange-related traffic congestion being reduced in the surrounding communities and improvements to the commuter and freight roadway network by providing more direct routes.

An air quality study of the Project was conducted using traffic data developed for transportation analysis. Emission factors for the study area were developed using the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES3) ${ }^{2}$. Emissions were analyzed for the opening year (2028) and the design year (2058). Analyses were conducted for the No Build and Build alternatives to determine the emissions reduction associated with the Project. The emission factors represent the corresponding year of the traffic modeling. The factors were derived by calculating a seasonal average during the evening peak hour with a representative vehicle mix. Oxides of Nitrogen ( NOx ), Sulfur Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$, Particulate Matter $2.5\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$, and Carbon Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ were studied based on the latest grant application guidance. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and Particulate Matter 10 ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ) were estimated for informational purposes and do not have associated damage costs.

## Emissions Results

The detailed emissions analyses are presented in the summary table below and on the following pages. Damages costs are calculated using the latest benefit-cost analysis guidance from the US Department of Transportation. ${ }^{3}$ Since the guidance only includes damage costs to 2050, damages in the design year of 2058 were calculated using the 2050 values. For the purposes of quantifying benefits for the grant application, it is reasonable to apply a linear approximation for the years between 2028 and 2058 using the values estimated in this document.

[^10]1 Cedar Street
Suite 400
<br>vhb.com\gb<br>proj\Providence\73337.05\tech\AQ\Report\Rt403 Missing Links BCA AQ Analysis Memo.docx
Providence, RI 02903-1023
P 401.272.8100

Table 1. Route 403 Missing Links Emissions Analysis Summary

|  |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | PM ${ }_{2.5}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | VOC | PM ${ }_{10}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | Savings (Metric Tons/yr) | 0.32 | -0.0002 | 0.051 | 29.8 | 0.08 | 0.29 |  |
|  | Savings (\$) | \$5,820 | -\$9 | \$44,997 | \$1,845 | - | - | \$52,653 |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | Savings (Metric Tons/yr) | 0.36 | -0.0011 | 0.037 | -113.0 | 0.01 | 0.30 |  |
|  | Savings (\$) | \$6,879 | -\$55 | \$33,348 | -\$9,946 | - | - | \$30,225 |

In the opening year (2028), the Build scenario will operate more efficiently than the No Build scenario, meaning average speeds are improved but vehicle miles travelled (VMT) will increase. The average speed is expected to increase by approximately 4 mph in the Build scenario. This increase in speed results in emission factor reductions between $2.9 \%$ and $17.5 \%$, depending on the pollutant. On the other hand, VMT in the traffic network is expected to increase by $3.3 \%$. Since the improvement in average speed generally leads to emission factor reductions that are equal to or larger than the estimated increase in VMT, the majority Build scenario pollutants emissions are expected to be the same or reduced from the No Build. The only exception is $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, which sees a minor increase in emissions as the change VMT is larger than the change reduction in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ emission factors. The reductions in pollutant emissions lead to an overall damage benefit for the opening year of $\$ 52,653$.

In 2058, the average speed improvement from the No Build scenario to the Build scenario is again approximately 4 mph across the network, but the anticipated VMT increase is approximately $5 \%$. The VMT increase is partially due to the additional capacity from the proposed design that allows the unmet demand in the No Build scenario to be processed in the Build scenario. The improvement in speed results in emission factor reductions between $2.9 \%$ and $17.1 \%$, depending on the pollutant. Similar to the Opening Year, since the improvement in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emission factors are estimated to be less than the increase in VMT, these pollutants show increases in emissions from the No Build scenario to the Build scenario. NOx, VOC and PM have emission factor reductions that are large enough to counteract the estimated increase in VMT, resulting in emissions reductions. Overall, these emissions reductions result in a slight damage benefit for the design year $(\$ 30,225)$, despite the increases in other pollutant emissions. Further detail on the emissions analysis is presented on the following pages.

## Route 403 Missing Link

Emissions Reduction Benefits

|  |  |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | voc | $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ | Total |  |  |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\text {x }}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | VOC | $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Rt 403 | Savings (Short Tons/yr) | 0.35 | -0.0002 | 0.056 | 32.8 | 0.09 | 0.32 |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | Rt 403 | Savings (Metric Tons/yr) | 0.32 | -0.0002 | 0.051 | 29.8 | 0.08 | 0.29 |  |
|  |  | Savings (\$) | \$5,820 | -\$9 | \$44,997 | \$1,845 | - | - | \$52,653 |  |  | Savings (\$) | \$5,820 | -\$9 | \$44,997 | \$1,845 | - | - | \$52,653 |
| 闾 | Rt 403 | Savings (Short Tons/yr) | 0.40 | -0.0012 | 0.040 | -124.5 | 0.01 | 0.33 |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\sim}$ | Rt 403 | Savings (Metric Tons/yr) | 0.36 | -0.0011 | 0.037 | -113.0 | 0.01 | 0.30 |  |
|  |  | Savings (\$) | \$6,879 | -\$55 | \$33,348 | -\$9,946 | - | - | \$30,225 |  |  | Savings (\$) | \$6,879 | -\$55 | \$33,348 | -\$9,946 | - | - | \$30,225 |

Rt 403 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2028 Opening Year


Notes:
-1 short ton equals 907.185 kilograms

Rt 403 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2028 Opening Year


Rt 403 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2058 Design Year


Notes:
-1 short ton equals 907.185 kilograms

Rt 403 Mesoscale Air Quality Analysis
2058 Design Year
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